# Clinical manifestations, management, and outcomes of primary silent sinus syndrome: a systematic review\*

# Lauren Michelle, Amy T. Du, Arash Abiri, Edward C. Kuan

Department of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery, University of California Irvine Medical Center, Orange, CA, USA

Rhinology 61: 4, 297 - 311, 2023 https://doi.org/10.4193/Rhin23.028

\*Received for publication: January 24, 2023 Accepted: May 1, 2023

## Abstract

**Introduction**: Silent sinus syndrome (SSS) is a rare disorder of the maxillary sinus, which may present with orbital symptoms. Most reports of silent sinus syndrome are limited to small series or case reports. This systematic review comprehensively characterizes the various clinical presentations, management, treatment, and outcomes in patients with SSS.

**Methods**: A systematic literature search of the PubMed, Cochrane, Web of Science, and Scopus databases. Inclusion criteria were studies describing the presentation, management, or treatment of SSS or chronic maxillary atelectasis.

**Results**: One hundred fifty-three articles were included in the final review (n=558 patients). Mean age at diagnosis was  $38.8 \pm 14.1$  years, with a relatively even distribution among sexes. Enophthalmos and/or hypoglobus were the most frequent symptoms, along with diplopia, headache, or facial pressure/pain. Most patients (87%) underwent functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS), and 23.5% received orbital floor reconstruction. Post-treatment, patients had significant reductions in enophthalmos ( $2.67 \pm 1.39$  vs.  $0.33 \pm 0.75$  mm) and hypoglobus ( $2.22 \pm 1.43$  vs.  $0.23 \pm 0.62$  mm). Most patients (83.2%) achieved partial or total resolution of clinical symptoms.

**Conclusions**: SSS has a variable clinical presentation, with enophthalmos and hypoglobus being most common. FESS with or without orbital reconstruction are effective treatments to address the underlying pathology and structural deficits.

**Key words**: chronic maxillary atelectasis, imploding antrum, silent sinus syndrome, spontaneous enophthalmos, spontaneous hypoglobus

## Introduction

Silent sinus syndrome (SSS), also known as imploding antrum syndrome or spontaneous enophthalmos, is the result of chronic maxillary sinus atelectasis and remodeling of the orbital floor <sup>(1)</sup>. Clinically, patients typically present between 30-60 years old with a progressive but painless ipsilateral enophthalmos and/or hypoglobus, with partial or total opacification of the maxillary sinus <sup>(2)</sup>. It is difficult to accurately estimate incidence or prevalence of SSS given its rarity, and most reports in the literature have been limited to case reports and case series published in the ophthalmology, otolaryngology, and radiology literature. While the syndrome was described in the literature as early as 1981 by Wilkins and colleagues, the term "silent sinus syndrome" was first used by Soparkar et al in a 1994 multicenter retrospective review of 19 cases <sup>(3,4)</sup>. The authors concluded that the above constellation of clinical findings in the absence of trauma or surgery represented a new syndrome previously undescribed <sup>(3)</sup>. Initially thought to be due to a preexisting hypoplasia of the maxillary sinus, further case reports have since established the presence of patients with normal sinus anatomy on imaging prior to developing SSS <sup>(5)</sup>.

Understanding of the pathophysiology of SSS has since evolved, and is now known to be primarily driven by obstruction of the osteomeatal complex, development of hypoventilation and negative pressure of the maxillary sinus, and subsequent collapse of the sinus with inward bowing of the ipsilateral orbital floor <sup>(5-7)</sup>. Management involves restoring healthy maxillary sinus ventilation and anatomy and may also require simultaneous or asynchronous repair of the orbital floor. Prior accounts of SSS have largely been limited to case series and reports. This systematic review of the literature comprehensively analyzes existing reports of SSS and discusses the various clinical presentations and current strategies in management.

## **Materials and methods**

This systematic review was performed according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines. Because deidentified data from existing published literature databases was utilized, no Institutional Review Board approval was required.

#### Search strategy

A comprehensive search was performed in PubMed (1975-2021), Cochrane (2013-2020), Web of Science (1981-2021), and Scopus (1981-2022) databases in June 2022 using key words "silent sinus syndrome" OR "chronic maxillary atelectasis" OR "imploding antrum" OR "spontaneous enophthalmos" OR "spontaneous hypoglobus". Articles were initially screened via Covidence v2708 by title name and abstract contents by two independent investigators (L.M. and A.D.) using inclusion and exclusion criteria. Conflicts in article interpretation were resolved by the senior author (E.C.K.). Full text articles were then reviewed for final incorporation into the systematic review.

Selection criteria, data extraction, and statistical analysis Inclusion criteria were clinical studies or case reports describing or characterizing the presentation, management, or treatment of silent sinus syndrome or chronic maxillary atelectasis (CMA) in patients of any age. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) reports involving history of facial trauma or previous sinus surgery, 2) studies which described CMA stage I or stage II only, 3) studies lacking primary data (e.g., reviews, comments, metaanalyses, letters to the editor). Risk of bias of individual studies was assessed by assigning articles "Levels of Evidence" scores developed by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine <sup>(8)</sup>. All information on patient age, sex, clinical and radiological presentation, SSS laterality, treatments, outcomes, and adverse events were extracted and processed in IBM SPSS v.28.0.0.0 (Armonk, NY, USA). Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to evaluate intra-patient differences in the means of continuous variables. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### Results

Database searching yielded 246 results from PubMed, 280 articles from Web of Science, 239 studies from Scopus, and 3 results from Cochrane. Search results yielded 298 unduplicated results, and 153 articles were included in the final review (Figure 1). A total of 558 patients were included across 1 prospective non-randomized clinical study, 28 retrospective studies, and 124



Figure 1. Flow chart depicting PRISMA search for studies on the characterization, presentation, and management of silent sinus syndrome.

case reports or case series (Table 1). Studies with single-subject data are summarized in Table 2.

Among the included cases, the mean age at diagnosis was 38.8  $\pm$  14.1 years (range, 7-76 years, median 39.0). There were 221 female (39.6%) and 246 (44.1%) male patients, with 91 (16.3%) patients whose sex was undisclosed. SSS affected 218 (39.1%) patients on the right side, 173 (31.0%) patients on the left side, 14 (2.5%) patients bilaterally, and 153 (27.4%) patients with unreported laterality. Mean reported follow-up (n=71 studies) was 14.8 months  $\pm$  18.9 months.

The most common presenting symptoms were enophthalmos (280/354, mean 2.67  $\pm$  1.39 mm) and/or hypoglobus (183/257, mean 2.22  $\pm$  1.43 mm), with a minority of patients experiencing diplopia (n=78/215), headache (n=19/271), or facial pressure/ pain (n=85/290). In the 364 cases where computed tomography (CT) scan results were reported, 67 (18.4%) patients had complete and 26 (7.1%) had partial opacification of the affected sinus. Degree of opacification was not specified in 147 (40.4%) cases. Collapse, retraction, atelectasis, or hypoplasia of at least one wall of the affected sinus was reported in 309/339 cases, most commonly with downward bowing of the orbital floor forming the roof of the maxillary sinus. Rarely, cases of frontal (n=1) or ethmoid (n=3) SSS were reported (9-12).

In cases where treatment was described (n=426), the therapy of choice for the majority of patients (n=371) was functional

Table 1. Summary of search results on the presentation and management of silent sinus syndrome.

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Wilkins, 1981 <sup>4</sup>	5	-	-	EOT, HG	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wesley, 1986 <sup>25</sup>	1	27	F	EOT	SA	L	CL, OFR	Minimal resi- dual enopht- halmos	30	none
So, 1994 <sup>22</sup>	19	36 mean (29-46 range)	-	EOT, HG	SA	-	-	-	-	-
Dailey, 1995 <sup>26</sup>	2	35-42 range	1F, 1M	EOT, HG, lagopht- halmos	SA	2R	CL, OFR	RS	12	none
Kass, 1996 <sup>7</sup>	2	33-41 range	1F, 1M	HG	-	-	FESS	Stable	6	none
Kass, 1997 <sup>21</sup>	5	33-45 range	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	2R, 3L	FESS, CL	RS	6	none
Durig, 1998 <sup>27</sup>	1	55	Μ	EOT, HG, DP	Thinned orbital floor, maxillary sinus mu- cocele	2L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Gillman, 1999 <sup>28</sup>	2	44-47 range	1F, 1M	EOT	SA, SO	1R, 1L	FESS, septo- plasty	Stable	12	none
Davidson, 1999⁵	1	27	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	-	-	none
Wan, 2000 <sup>29</sup>	3	38-44 range	1F, 2M	EOT, HG	SA, SO, septal deviation	1R, 1L, 1BL	FESS	RS	-	none
Kubis, 2000³º	1	32	F	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	L	FESS, OFR	RS	18	Mild, tran- sient vertical diplopia which re- solved
Hunt, 2000 <sup>31</sup>	1	49	Μ	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	6	Symptoma- tic L frontal sinusitis un- responsive to medical therapy
Raghavan, 2001 <sup>32</sup>	1	29	М	HG	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	36	none
Vander Meer, 2001 <sup>23</sup>	4	38-47 range	1F, 2M	EOT, HG, FP	SA, SO	2R, 2L	FESS, OFR	RS	10	none
Illner, 2002 <sup>33</sup>	5	47 mean (39-65 range)	1F, 4M	EOT, HG, DP, FP	SA, SO	3R, 2L	FESS	-	-	-
Castelein, 2002 <sup>34</sup>	1	-	-	EOT	-	-	FESS	RS	-	-
Ende, 2002 <sup>35</sup>	1	32	F	HG, DP	SA, SO	L	FESS	Stable	40	none
Audemard, 2002 <sup>36</sup>	1	29	F	EOT, DP, FP	SR	L	FESS	RS	-	-
Thomas, 2003 <sup>37</sup>	4	27-40 range	2F, 2M	HG	SA, SO	2R, 2L	FESS, OFR, septoplasty	RS	6	none

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Rose, 2003 <sup>38</sup>	14	41.3 mean (25-78 range)	7F, 7M	EOT, HG, DP, FP	SA, SO, increased fat in PPG fossa	8R, 6L	FESS, OFR	RS	4-33 mo	-
Yip, 2003 <sup>39</sup>	1	9	F	EOT, HG, DP	SA, SO	R	-	-	-	-
Roach, 2003 <sup>40</sup>	1	28	F	EOT	SA, SO	R	-	-	-	-
Ong, 2003 <sup>41</sup>	1	46	F	HG	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	-	-
Iseli, 2003 <sup>42</sup>	1	56	М	EOT, HG, DP	SA	L	Prisms for diplopia	Stable	22	none
Wang, 2004 <sup>43</sup>	1	75	М	EOT, HG, DP	SA, SO	L	-	-	-	-
Hobbs, 2004 <sup>44</sup>	1	27	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	L	FESS, septo- plasty	RS	-	none
Hira, 200445	1	28	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	L	FESS, OFR	RS	2	none
Hobbs, 2004 <sup>46</sup>	1	41	F	EOT, DP, FP	SO	R	FESS	RS	-	-
Rapidis, 2004 <sup>47</sup>	2	32-36 range	1F, 1M	EOT, HG, change in palepbral sulcus	SA	2L	CL, OFR	RS	36	none
Hens, 2005 <sup>48</sup>	2	25 mean	6F, 6M	EOT	-	-	FESS	-	-	-
Toh, 2005 <sup>49</sup>	1	29	F	FP, change in palpebral sulcus	SA, SO	R	FESS	-	-	-
Sonbole- stan, 2005⁵	1	58	F	EOT, FP	SA	R	Diagnostic na- sal endoscopy	-	-	-
Braganza, 2005 <sup>9</sup>	1	32	F	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	R	FESS	RS	6	none
Yiotakis, 2005⁵¹	1	33	М	EOT, HG	SA, SO	-	FESS	RS	12	none
Numa, 2005 <sup>52</sup>	1	33	М	EOT, HG, DP	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Ibanez Mico, 2005 <sup>53</sup>	1	14	F	EOT	SO	R	None	Stable	-	none
Ando, 2005 <sup>54</sup>	2	36-50 range	1F, 1M	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	SO	1R, 1L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Nkenke E, 2005⁵⁵	1	26	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	3	none
Hourany R, 2005 <sup>56</sup>	1	30	М	EOT, HG	SO	R	None	Stable	-	none
Behbehani, 2006¹⁵	5	32-42 range	3F, 2M	HG, change in eye size, contact lens falling out	SA, SO	2R, 3L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Babinski, 2006⁵ <sup>7</sup>	3	20-39 range	1F, 2M	EOT, DP	SA, SO	-	FESS, septo- plasty	Minimal resi- dual enopht- halmos	-	-
Kaeser, 2006 <sup>58</sup>	1	31	М	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	Sinusitis	R	FESS	Asymptoma- tic at 6 mo f/u	6	none
Facon, 2006 <sup>59</sup>	1	56	F	EOT, FP	SA	R	FESS	RS	2	none
Dinis, 2006 <sup>60</sup>	1	35	М	EOT	SO	L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Wise, 200761	11	46.5 mean	4F, 7M	EOT, DP, FP	SA, SO	-	FESS	-	-	none

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Rodriguez, 2007 <sup>62</sup>	1	66	М	EOT	-	R	FESS, OFR	RS	3	none
Moreno, 2007 <sup>63</sup>	1	45	F	HG	SA, SO	R	FESS	RS	1	none
Burduk, 2007 <sup>64</sup>	1	15	Μ	Malar cheek depression	-	R	FESS	RS	-	none
Brochart, 2007 <sup>65</sup>	1	22	F	EOT	SO	L	CL, OFR	-	-	none
Vaidhya- nath, 2008 <sup>66</sup>	1	66	F	EOT	SO	R	FESS	RS	-	none
Sanchez- Dalmau, 2008 <sup>67</sup>	1	29	F	EOT, HG	SA	R	FESS	RS	-	none
Gomez, 2008 <sup>68</sup>	1	59	Μ	EOT	SO	L	FESS, OFR	RS	6	none
Habibi, 2008 <sup>69</sup>	1	16	F	EOT	SA, SO	L	CL procedure, enucleation of large maxillary sinus mucocele	RS	24	none
Tan, 2008 <sup>70</sup>	1	21	Μ	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Korn, 2009 <sup>71</sup>	5	4 M, 1 F; 40.6 yo mean	4 M, 1 F; 40.6 yo mean	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	-	-	FESS, OFR	RS	-	Transient hy- poesthesia of midface (n=1)
Babin, 2009 <sup>72</sup>	18	19-54 range	14 F, 9 M	EOT, facial asym- metry	SA, SO	12R, 6L	FESS, septo- plasty	RS, minimal residual enophthal- mos	15	Persistent enophthal- mos (n=1)
Miman, 2009 <sup>73</sup>	1	-	-	Existing SSS diagnosis	SA, SO	-	FESS	RS	8	none
Arikan, 2009 <sup>74</sup>	1	33	F	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	SA	L	FESS, OFR	RS	3	none
Stevens, 2010 <sup>75</sup>	1	42	Μ	EOT, HG, DP	SA	L	OFR	RS	-	none
McArdle, 2010 <sup>10</sup>	1	33	F	Change in palpe- bral sulcus, HA	SO	L	FESS	Minimal resi- dual enopht- halmos	-	none
Heilmeier, 2010 <sup>76</sup>	1	57	-	EOT	Imploded lamina papryacea	L	Blepharoplasty	-	-	none
Alons- terHoeven, 2010 <sup>77</sup>	1	57	Μ	HG, change in palpebral sulcus	SA, SO	R	CL	RS	12	none
Sesenna, 2010 <sup>78</sup>	3	28-46 range	1F, 2M	EOT, HG, DP, chan- ge in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	3L	FESS, OFR	RS	16	none
Waqar, 2010 <sup>79</sup>	1	27	Μ	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS	Minimal resi- dual enopht- halmos	2	none
Zhang, 2010 <sup>80</sup>	1	41	Μ	EOT, HG, DP	SA	R	Inferior oblique myectomy	RS	1.5	none
Babar- Craig, 2011 <sup>81</sup>	16	-	-	-	-	-	FESS, intranasal steroids and decongestions	RS	31	none

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- Iow up (months)	Adverse Events
George, 2011 <sup>82</sup>	1	28	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS	RS	6	none
Liss, 2011 <sup>83</sup>	1	56	М	EOT, HG, lagopht- halmos	-	BL	FESS, OFR	RS	18	none
Haefliger, 2011 <sup>84</sup>	1	36	F	EOT, HG, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	R	FESS	Stable	18	none
Izadi, 2011 <sup>85</sup>	1	35	Μ	EOT	SA, ob- structed nasolacri- mal duct	L	Endoscopic washout	Biopsy showed non- caseating granulomas/ sarcoidosis	-	none
Singh, 2011 <sup>86</sup>	1	44	Μ	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS	-	-	none
Mavrikakis, 2012 <sup>87</sup>	1	44	F	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	-	L	FESS, HAG injection	RS	6	none
Ferri, 2012 <sup>88</sup>	1	27	F	EOT, DP, change in palpebral fissure	SA	L	FESS, OFR	RS	1	none
Bas, 2012 <sup>89</sup>	1	27	М	EOT, HG	SA	R	-	-	-	-
Barbosa, 201290	2	34-65 range	2M	EOT	-	-	FESS	RS	-	-
Cobb, 2012 <sup>91</sup>	1	42	Μ	DP, facial asym- metry	SA, SO	L	FESS, OFR, septoplasty	RS	36	none
Suh, 2012 <sup>92</sup>	1	29	М	-	SA	BL	FESS	-	-	none
Bahgat, 2012 <sup>93</sup>	1	35	Μ	HG, malar cheek depression	SA, SO	L	FESS	Stable	6	none
Bas, 2012 <sup>89</sup>	1	27	Μ	EOT, HG	SO	R	-	-	-	-
Gaudino, 2013 <sup>94</sup>	6	44 mean (22-67 range)	2F, 4M	EOT, HG, DP, facial asymmetry	SA, SO	2R, 4L	FESS, OFR	Stable	-	none
Kohn, 2013 <sup>95</sup>	22	22-70 range (mean 41.2)	8F, 14M	EOT, HG, septal deviation	SA, SO, increased fat in PPG fossa	14R, 7L	-	-	-	-
Sheikhi, 201 <sup>32</sup>	1	60	F	EOT, facial asym- metry	SA, SO	R	-	-	-	-
Naik, 2013 <sup>11</sup>	1	48	Μ	EOT	SO due to ob- structing type III Kuhn cell	L	FESS with removal of ob- structing cell	RS	-	none
Saldanha, 2013 <sup>96</sup>	1	20	М	FP, malar cheek depression	SA, SO	R	FESS	Stable	3	none
Saffra, 2013 <sup>97</sup>	1	76	М	DP, FP	SA, SO	R	None	Stable	12	none
Grusha, 201398	1	43	F	EOT, HG	SA	-	FESS, HAG injection	RS	-	-
Guillen, 2013 <sup>99</sup>	1	66	М	HG	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	-	none
Eisa, 2013 <sup>100</sup>	1	23	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	L	FESS, OFR	RS	3	none
Singman, 2014 <sup>101</sup>	1	39	М	DP	SA	L	FESS, OFR, septoplasty	RS with conti- nued need for prisms	48	none

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Van Meche- len, 2014 <sup>102</sup>	1	29	F	HA, nasal obstruc- tion	SO	R	FESS	-	-	-
Mohindra, 2014 <sup>103</sup>	1	12	F	EOT, HG, DP, eye asymmetry	SA	R	FESS, OFR	RS	8	none
Gomez, 2014 <sup>104</sup>	1	53	М	EOT, HG	SO, septal deviation	R	-	-	-	-
Fonseca, 2014 <sup>105</sup>	1	8	Μ	Extraocular mus- cle weakness	SA, SO	L	FESS	Stable	12	none
Kram, 2014 <sup>106</sup>	1	27	F	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	BL	FESS	Mild residual enophthal- mos	5	none
Kilty, 2014 <sup>107</sup>	1	40	F	FP	SO	R	FESS	RS	3	none
Chang, 2014 <sup>108</sup>	1	7	F	EOT, HG, facial asymmetry	SA, SO	R	FESS	RS	12	none
Gan, 2014 <sup>109</sup>	1	44	F	EOT, HG, nasal obstruction	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	-	none
Savvateeva, 2015 <sup>110</sup>	14	-	-	-	-	-	FESS	RS	-	-
Lin, 2015 <sup>111</sup>	22	44.6 mean	12F, 10M	EOT, DP, FP, septal deviation, nasal obstruction	SA	12R, 9L, 1BL	FESS, OFR	RS	11	none
Grusha, 2015 <sup>112</sup>	1	45	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	L	FESS, HAG injection	Stable, recurrence of minimal enophthal- mos with re- peat injection	18	none
Gomez, 2015 <sup>113</sup>	1	53	F	EOT, DP	SA, SO	L	None	-	-	-
Gokmen, 2015 <sup>114</sup>	1	35	Μ	HG, change in palpebral sulcus	SO	R	FESS	RS	-	none
Claros, 2015 <sup>115</sup>	2	39-45	1F, 1M	EOT	SA	-	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Berczynski, 2015 <sup>116</sup>	1	48	F	EOT, change in vision	SA	R	Removal of posterior nasal spine, OFR	-	-	none
Magalhães, 2015 <sup>117</sup>	1	33	F	EOT, HG	SO	R	FESS	Mild residual enophthal- mos	-	none
Dumitrescu, 2015 <sup>118</sup>	1	46	-	EOT, HG, DP, facial asymmetry	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	-	none
Kashima, 2016 <sup>119</sup>	11	39.5 mean (23-62 range)	1F, 10M	Orbital asymme- try, FP, DP	-	6R, 5L	Balloon sinus dilatation, OFR with cartilage	RS	7	Infectious sinusitis (n=1), 1 mm overcorrec- tion (n=1), lower eyelid retraction (n=1), naso- lacrimal duct obstruction (n=1)
Martínez- Capoccioni, 2016 <sup>120</sup>	20	43 mean (28-67 range)	14F, 6M	EOT, FP, nasal obstruction	SA, SO	12R, 8L	FESS, septo- plasty	Stable	5-18mo	none

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- Iow up (months)	Adverse Events
Eyigör, 2016 <sup>121</sup>	16	42.37 mean (20-66 range)	10F, 6M	EOT, HG, nasal obstruction	SA	8R, 8L	FESS or none	-	-	none
Kim, 2016 <sup>122</sup>	1	35	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	R	FESS, blepha- roplasty	RS	-	none
Sen, 2016 <sup>123</sup>	1	17	М	Change in palpe- bral sulcus, FP	SA, SO	L	FESS	Stable	6	none
Ferro, 2016 <sup>124</sup>	1	28	F	FP	SA, SO	L	None	RS	-	-
Chavez- Montoya, 2017 <sup>125</sup>	3	37-48	1F, 2M	EOT, HG, DP, facial asymmetry, nasal obstruction	SA, SO	2R, 1L	FESS	RS	-	-
de Dorlo- dot, 2017 <sup>20</sup>	13	23-71 range	3F, 10M	EOT, DP, FP	SA, SO, septal deviation	10R, 3L	FESS	RS, minimal residual enophthal- mos, delayed OFR	6	none
Farneti, 2017 <sup>126</sup>	6	7-14 range	1F, 5M	EOT, HG, HA, sinusitis	SA, SO	3R, 3L	FESS	RS, minimal residual enophthal- mos, delayed OFR	71	none
Shieh, 2017 <sup>127</sup>	1	68	F	Thyroid eye disease with proptosis	SO	R	FESS	RS	1	-
Tieghi, 2017 <sup>128</sup>	1	43	F	EOT, HG, change in palepbral sulcus, malar depression	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	0.5	none
Trope, 2017 <sup>129</sup>	1	57	М	-	SO	L	FESS	Stable	-	-
Lau, 2017 <sup>130</sup>	1	59	Μ	Upper alveolar numbness	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	4	none
Varas, 2017 <sup>131</sup>	1	49	F	EOT, change in palpebral fissure	SA, SO	L	-	-	-	-
Kikuta 2017 <sup>132</sup>	1	40	F	DP, malar cheek depression	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	12	none
Wang 2018 <sup>133</sup>	8	48.1 yo mean	-	EOT, HG, FP	SA, SO	4R, 4L	FESS	RS	-	none
Saito, 2018 <sup>134</sup>	1	-	-	-	Inverted papilloma of maxil- lary sinus	-	FESS	RS	-	none
Cohn, 2018 <sup>135</sup>	1	55	М	EOT, HG, DP	-	L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	none
Tribich, 2018 <sup>136</sup>	1	49	Μ	Facial paraesthe- sia, DP	SO	R	FESS	RS, prism lenses for diplopia	-	none
Reggie, 2018 <sup>137</sup>	1	32	F	Transient ipsila- teral monocular vision loss during intense laughter	SO	R	FESS	RS	7	none
Lee, 2018 <sup>138</sup>	3	37-55	3M	DP	SA	L	FESS	RS	-	-
Claros, 2019 <sup>139</sup>	15	25-53 range	11F, 4M	EOT, HG	SO	6R, 9L	FESS, OFR	RS	-	-

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Nemet, 2019 <sup>140</sup>	5	-	-	Progressive enophthalmos and hypoglobus	SA	-	FESS, OFR	-	-	-
Jacobs, 2019 <sup>141</sup>	1	47	М	EOT, DP	SA, SO	R	FESS	Persistence of diplopia	3	none
Weiss, 2019 <sup>142</sup>	1	38	F	EOT, HG, mild abducens nerve palsy	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	1	none
Vahdani, 2019 <sup>143</sup>	1	40	Μ	EOT, HG	SO	BL	FESS, OFR	RS, later developed contralateral SSS	108	none
Bhalla, 2019 <sup>144</sup>	1	57	F	HG	SA	R	None	-	6	none
Arnon, 2019 <sup>145</sup>	1	30	М	EOT, HG, DP, facial asymmetry	SA, SO	R	FESS, OFR	RS	2	none
Hilden- brand, 2020 <sup>146</sup>	7	41 mean	-	EOT, maxillary pressure	SA, SO	3R, 3L, 1BL	FESS	RS, stable	-	-
Hura, 2020 <sup>147</sup>	29	49.8 mean (range 19- 91)	14F, 15M	HA, FP, DP, septal deviation	SA, septal deviation, increased fat in PPG fossa	16 R, 10 L, 3 -	FESS, OFR, or none	-	-	-
Manila, 2020 <sup>148</sup>	3	19-69 range	2M, 1 -	-	SA, SO	R	-	-	-	-
Freiser, 2020 <sup>149</sup>	57	12.5 mean (3.7-18 range)	26F, 31M	FP, facial asym- metry	-	-	FESS	RS	-	-
Petraroli, 2020 <sup>150</sup>	1	7	F	HG, facial asym- metry	SA, SO	R	None	Stable	-	-
Le, 2020 <sup>151</sup>	1	65	М	DP, change in palpebral sulcus	SO	BL	FESS, OFR	RS	-	-
Bonavolon- ta, 2020 <sup>152</sup>	2	35-44	2F	EOT, HG, DP, change in palpe- bral sulcus, malar depression	SA, SO	2R	FESS, OFR	RS	-	-
Rullan- Oliver , 2020 <sup>153</sup>	1	47	F	EOT	SA, SO	BL	FESS	RS, later developed contralateral SSS	60	-
AlSaloom, 2020 <sup>154</sup>	1	37	F	Jaw pain, HA	SO	L	FESS	RS	9	none
Leidens, 2020 <sup>155</sup>	1	12	F	EOT, HG	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	24	none
Farneti, 2020 <sup>126</sup>	1	47	Μ	EOT, HG, facial asymmetry	SA, SO	BL	FESS	RS, later developed contralateral SSS	60	none
Zheng, 2021 <sup>156</sup>	42	53.6 yo +/- 15.6	19 M, 23 F	Septal deviation	-	25R, 14L, 3BL	FESS	-	-	-
Habib, 2021 <sup>157</sup>	2	33-57 range	1F, 1M	EOT, HG, DP	-	1R, 1-	FESS, OFR	RS	-	-

Study (Author, Year)	n	Age (Years)	Sex	Clinical Presentation	Radiology	Side(s)	Treatments	Outcomes	Fol- low up (months)	Adverse Events
Keren, 2021 <sup>158</sup>	10	16-56 range	7F, 3M	EOT, HA, facial asymmetry, chan- ge in palpebral sulcus, infraorbi- tal paresthesia	SA, SO	4R, 6L	FESS	RS	-	-
Ribeiro, 2021 <sup>12</sup>	1	40	М	HA, post-nasal drip	SO	L	FESS	RS	-	-
Monis, 2021 <sup>159</sup>	1	28	F	EOT, HG, DP	SA, SO	L	FESS	RS	12	none
Deekonda, 2021 <sup>160</sup>	1	54	М	EOT with PMH of CLL	SA, SO	R	FESS	Started ibruti- nib for CLL	1	-

Abbreviations: CMA = chronic maxillary atelectasis; PMH = past medical history; CLL = chronic lymphocytic leukemia; Hx = history; CT = computed tomography; SSS = silent sinus syndrome; FESS = functional endoscopic sinus surgery; yo = year old; n/a = not available; EOT = enophthalmos; HG = hypoglobus; DP = diplopia; FP = facial pressure/pain; HA = headache; SA = sinus atelectasis; SO = sinus opacification; CL = Caldwell-Luc; OFR = orbital floor reconstruction; PPG = pterygopalatine ganglion; RS = complete or partial resolution of symptoms; HAG = hyaluronic acid gel.

endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) involving opening of the osteomeatal complex with a middle meatal antrostomy and/or uncinectomy. Less commonly, septoplasty (n=35) or ethmoidectomy (n=12) was also performed. Eighteen patients underwent a Caldwell-Luc procedure. One hundred patients also received orbital floor reconstruction (typically with an implant), which is offered to patients who are bothered by cosmetic aspects of enophthalmos or hypoglobus, or who have symptomatic diplopia. Twelve patients received intraorbital injection of hyaluronic acid gel rather than surgical orbital floor reconstruction to correct globe position. A minority of patients (n=48) declined FESS or orbital reconstructive surgery or opted for conservative medical treatments such as antibiotics, steroids, or decongestants. Ten of these patients did not receive treatment or surgery of any kind. Of these, outcomes were available for 8 patients. Three of these patients had spontaneous improvement of symptoms, while the others experienced stability of their symptoms. For the remaining patients, three had treatment with prisms or inferior myomectomy for diplopia, but no information was reported on the patients' long-term outcomes regarding SSS symptoms. One patient underwent blepharoplasty for cosmesis, additionally with no further information on SSS disease progression. Case reports for the remaining patients who were treated with medical therapies either did not report on long-term patient results or indicated that the patients had minor symptoms and maintained stability of these symptoms without further progression.

After treatment, patients exhibited significant reductions in enophthalmos ( $2.67 \pm 1.39 \text{ vs.} 0.33 \pm 0.75 \text{ mm}$ ; p<0.001) and hypoglobus ( $2.22 \pm 1.43 \text{ vs.} 0.23 \pm 0.62 \text{ mm}$ ; p<0.001). Clinically, most patients (n=263/316) achieved partial or total resolution of their symptoms, with 53 having no symptomatic progression, and no patients experiencing worsening of their disease. In a minority of patients, there was persistence of minor enophthalmos (n=13), a need for subsequent orbital floor reconstruction to correct persistent diplopia or enophthalmos (n=8), or later presentation with contralateral SSS (n=3). Diplopia improved post-treatment in 32 (86.4%) patients. Follow-up CT results were reported in 44 cases, reporting improved aeration in 35 patients, no change in one patient, and remodeling of one or more maxillary sinus walls in 5 patients. Improved volume of the affected sinus was noted in 21 patients, with a mean increase of 43.8% in volume post-treatment.

Few adverse events (11/146) were reported in connection with treatment of SSS. The most common complication was acute sinusitis (n=3), one of which did not respond to medical therapy and required stent and partial middle turbinectomy. There were two cases of self-resolving hypoesthesia, and seven cases of various ophthalmic conditions including one orbital abscess, persistent enophthalmos, nasolacrimal duct obstruction, lower eyelid retraction, 1 mm of hypoglobus overcorrection, transient diplopia, and transient hypertropia.

## Discussion

SSS is an uncommon clinical condition with an incidence rate only currently estimated by published case reports and retrospective studies, highlighting the need for a comprehensive review of the syndrome's presentation, management, and outcomes. On review of the literature, only two other systematic reviews have been conducted on the topic, but they have focused primarily on solidifying a consensus definition of SSS, and only minimally attempted to characterize quantitative and qualitative details on symptomatic presentation, radiographic findings, treatment options, and outcomes <sup>(13,14)</sup>. In this systematic review and pooled analysis, all 558 cases were analyzed Table 2. Summary of studies with single subject data (n=213).

Mean age	38.75 years (14.08)
Sex	49.0% female (98 F, 102 M)
Enophthalmos	144/167 (86.2%)
Hypoglobus	106/136 (77.9%)
Diplopia	38/99 (38.4%)
Facial pain/pressure	23/88 (26.1%)
Laterality	89/193 (46.1%) left, 96/193 (49.7%) right, 8/193 (4.1%) bilateral
Adverse events	8/136 (5.9%)
Change in enophthalmos	2.67 (1.39) vs. 0.33 (0.75); p<0.001
Change in hypoglobus	2.22 (1.43) vs. 0.23 (0.62); p<0.001

at a highly granular level to report disaggregated data on these metrics, given that there are no large studies on this rare condition. Other reviews have included at most 55 cases, whereas our review includes all SSS or CMA stage III reports found in the literature and represents the largest of its kind <sup>(13,14)</sup>.

In this systematic review, we found that a total of 558 cases had been reported across 153 articles. Our results reflect an amalgamation of various reports in the literature, including highest incidence in middle aged adults and with no sex predilection. We found a median age at diagnosis of 39, with a roughly equal distribution between the sexes. Additionally, we found that there does not appear to be a substantial difference in laterality of affected sinus; however, bilateral SSS remains a relatively uncommon but possible clinical presentation.

Treatment of choice for resolving the mechanism of obstruction is FESS to open the osteomeatal complex, with complete uncinectomy, and prevent the reaccumulation of negative intramaxillary pressure. FESS has largely supplanted the Caldwell-Luc approach. Additionally, some patients may experience residual bothersome ophthalmic symptoms, including enophthalmos and hypoglobus or persistent diplopia, and reconstruction of the orbital floor to address these concerns is therefore frequently performed in conjunction or in discontinuity with FESS. We found a statistically significant improvement in both enophthalmos and hypoglobus after treatment, coinciding with our qualitative findings of improved clinical symptoms in over 80% of patients.

Reported adverse events were rare, with severe sinusitis being the most reported. In many cases, the presence or absence of adverse events were not mentioned, suggesting possible underreporting. There were no reports specifically related to orbital injury during FESS for SSS. Although surgical complication rates vary by surgeon, serious orbital injury has been reported to range from 0.02-6.6% after FESS, though generally less than 1% <sup>(16-18)</sup>. Special care should be taken to avoid these major orbital complications; risk can be mitigated with a thorough preoperative assessment, including imaging to characterize extent of the disease and to pre-identify any anatomic anomalies such as SSS <sup>(19)</sup>.

CMA has been used in the literature interchangeably with SSS, though they are formally differentiated by whether or not sinus-related symptoms are present. Controversy remains over whether the terms should be synonymous or whether the CMA staging system should be universally adopted, due to the significant clinical overlap between CMA stage III and SSS, which have been postulated to be part of the spectrum of the same clinical process (13,20). CMA was first subdivided by Kass et al. into three stages depending on the progression of maxillary wall atelectasis. CMA stage I (membranous deformity) is defined by lateralization of the maxillary fontanel, stage II (bony deformity) by a progression to inward bowing of at least one osseous wall of the maxillary antrum, and stage III (clinical deformity) by a clinically-apparent enophthalmos, hypoglobus and/or deformity of the midface <sup>(21)</sup>. Conversely, SSS was first defined by Soparkar and colleagues as a change in the patient's facial appearance, absence of sinusitis, presence of enophthalmos and/or hypoglobus, and CT imaging showing atelectasis of the maxillary sinus <sup>(22)</sup>. Vander Meer et al. further argued for additional exclusion of patients who had experienced orbital trauma or who had a congenital or acquired anatomic abnormality of the sinuses and/or nasal cavities (23). In this review, we thus chose to exclude reports of SSS in patients with prior trauma or surgery, which can present similarly to SSS with enophthalmos due to a damaged orbital floor, though the mechanisms differ. However, the exclusion of post-traumatic SSS in the formal definition is debated. Araslanova and colleagues argued that the radiographic findings of SSS on CT (specifically opacification of the maxillary sinus) are pathognomonic of SSS, and thus traumatic causes of SSS should not preclude its diagnosis in patients with the appropriate symptoms <sup>(24)</sup>. Due to the persistent controversy regarding the definition of SSS and the inclusion and exclusion criteria used to describe it, to provide evidence-based, consistent diagnosis and treatment for patients, there is a need for a formalized consensus on the definition and management of the syndrome.

Though care was taken to conduct careful collection and analysis of data, our study has some limitations. Because of the paucity of high-quality evidence published on the subject, analysis was largely relegated to simple descriptive statistics. Additionally, given the rarity of SSS, our review includes a predominance of case reports and case series—with very few larger retrospective and prospective clinical studies—which limits the quality of evidence, granularity of data, and the generalizability of our conclusions.

## Conclusion

This systematic review comprehensively reports qualitative and quantitative findings on all published cases of silent sinus syndrome. Though presentation is variable, most patients present with symptoms of enophthalmos and hypoglobus. FESS is an effective treatment to resolve the underlying pathologic process, with synchronous or subsequent orbital reconstruction as an excellent option to correct any residual symptomatic and/ or cosmetic orbital defects.

## **Authorship contribution**

Conception and design: ECK. Acquisition of data: LM, ATD. Analysis and interpretation of data: LM, ATD, AA, ECK. Drafting of the manuscript: LM, ATD, AA, ECK. Critical revision of the manuscript: LM, ATD, AA, ECK. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors report no relevant conflict of interest.

## Funding

None relevant

### References

- 1. Pula JH, Mehta M. Silent sinus syndrome. Curr Opin Ophthalmol. 2014;25(6):480-484.
- 2. Sheikhi M, Jalalian F. The silent sinus syndrome. Dental Res J. 2013;10(2):264-264.
- Soparkar CN, Patrinely JR, Cuaycong MJ, et al. The silent sinus syndrome. A cause of spontaneous enophthalmos. Ophthalmology. 1994;101(4):772-778.
- Wilkins RB, Kulwin DR. Spontaneous Enophthalmos Associated with Chronic Maxillary Sinusitis. Ophthalmology. 1981;88(9):981-985.
- Davidson JK, Soparkar CNS, Williams JB, Patrinely JR. Negative Sinus Pressure and Normal Predisease Imaging in Silent Sinus Syndrome. Arch Ophthalmol. 1999;117(12):1653-1654.
- Soparkar CNS, Patrinely JR, Davidson JK, Rose GE. Silent sinus syndrome new perspectives? Ophthalmology. 2004;111(2):414-415.
- Kass ES, Salman S, Montgomery WW. Manometric study of complete ostial occlusion in chronic maxillary atelectasis. Laryngoscope. 1996;106(10):1255-1258.
- Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine: Levels of Evidence (March 2009) 2009; https://www.cebm.ox.ac.uk/resources/ levels-of-evidence/oxford-centre-for-evidence-based-medicine-levels-of-evidencemarch-2009, 2021.
- Braganza A, Khooshabeh R. Ethmoidal involvement in "imploding" (silent) sinus syndrome. Ophthalmic Plast. Reconstr. Surg. 2005;21(4):305-307.
- McArdle B, Perry C. Ethmoid silent sinus syndrome causing inward displacement of the orbit: Case report. J. Laryngol. Otol. 2010;124(2):206-208.
- Naik RM, Khemani S, Saleh HA. Frontal silent sinus syndrome. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 2013;148(2):354-355.
- Ribeiro SPP, Loureiro RM, Gil JM, Dutra DL, Gomes RLE, Daniel MM. Ethmoidal silent sinus syndrome after nasal swab test. Neuroradiology. 2021:1-3.
- 13. Brandt MG, Wright ED. The silent sinus syn-

drome is a form of chronic maxillary atelectasis: A systematic review of all reported cases. Am J Rhinol. 2008;22(1):68-73.

- Rosso C, Saibene AM, Felisati G, Pipolo C. Silent sinus syndrome: systematic review and proposal of definition, diagnosis and management. Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital. 2022;42(4):305-316.
- Behbehani R, Vacareza N, Bilyk J, Rubin PAD, Pribitkin E. Simultaneous endoscopic antrostomy and orbital reconstruction in silent sinus syndrome. Orbit. 2006;25(2):97-101.
- Seredyka-Burduk M, Burduk PK, Wierzchowska M, Kaluzny B, Malukiewicz G. Ophthalmic complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol. 2017;83(3):318-323.
- Ramakrishnan VR, Kingdom TT, Nayak JV, Hwang PH, Orlandi RR. Nationwide incidence of major complications in endoscopic sinus surgery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. 2012;2(1):34-39.
- Suzuki S, Yasunaga H, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Kondo K, Yamasoba T. Complication rates after functional endoscopic sinus surgery: analysis of 50,734 Japanese patients. Laryngoscope. 2015;125(8):1785-1791.
- Rene C, Rose GE, Lenthall R, Moseley I. Major orbital complications of endoscopic sinus surgery. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001;85(5):598-603.
- de Dorlodot C, Collet S, Rombaux P, Horoi M, Hassid S, Eloy P. Chronic maxillary atelectasis and silent sinus syndrome: two faces of the same clinical entity. Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol. 2017;274(9):3367-3373.
- Kass ES, Salman S, Weber AL, Rubin PAD, Montgomery WW. Chronic maxillary atelectasis. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 1997;106(2):109-116.
- 22. Soparkar CNS, Patrinely JR, Cuaycong MJ, et al. The Silent Sinus Syndrome: A Cause of Spontaneous Enophthalmos. Ophthalmology. 1994;101(4):772-778.
- 23. Vander Meer JB, Harris G, Toohill RJ, Smith TL. The silent sinus syndrome: A case series and literature review. Laryngoscope.

2001;111(6):975-978.

- Araslanova R, Allen L, Rotenberg BW, Sowerby LJ. Silent sinus syndrome after facial trauma: A case report and literature review. Laryngoscope. 2017;127(7):1520-1524.
- Wesley RE, Johnson JJ, II, Cate RC. Spontaneous enophthalmos from chronic maxillary sinusitis. Laryngoscope. 1986;96(4):353-355.
- Dailey RA, Cohen JI. Surgical repair of the silent sinus syndrome. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstruct Surg. 1995;11(4):261-268.
- Durig J, Borruat FX, Jaques B. Silent sinus syndrome: An unusual cause of transient vertical diplopia. Klinische Monatsblatter fur Augenheilkunde. 1998;212(5):397-399.
- Gillman GS, Schaitkin BM, May M. Asymptomatic enophthalmos: The silent sinus syndrome. Am J Rhinol. 1999;13(6):459-462.
- 29. Wan MK, Francis IC, Carter PR, Griffits R, van Rooijen ML, Coroneo MT. The spectrum of presentation of silent sinus syndrome. J Neuroophthalmol. 2000;20(3):207-212.
- Kubis KC, Danesh-Meyer H, Bilyk JR. Unilateral lid retraction during pregnancy. Surv. Ophthalmol. 2000;45(1):69-76.
- Hunt SM, Tami TA. Sinusitis-induced enophthalmos: The silent sinus syndrome. Ear, Nose Throat J. 2000;79(8):576-584.
- Raghavan U, Downes R, Jones NS. Spontaneous resolution of eyeball displacement caused by maxillary sinusitis. Br J Ophthalmol. 2001;85(1):118.
- Illner A, Davidson HC, Harnsberger HR, Hoffman J. The silent sinus syndrome: Clinical and radiographic findings. Am. J. Roentgenol. 2002;178(2):503-506.
- Castelein S, Cohen M, Ayache D, Klap P. Atelectasis of the maxillary sinus: Report of a case of acute onset. Rev. Laryngol. Otol. Rhinol. 2002;123(2):99-102.
- Ende K, Mah L, Kass ES. Progression of latestage chronic maxillary atelectasis. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 2002;111(8):759-762.
- 36. Audemard D, Galipienzo V, Marck E, et al. Silent sinus syndrome: A rare case

of enophthalmia. J. Fr. Ophtalmol. 2002;25(3):266-269.

- Thomas RD, Graham SM, Carter KD, Nerad JA. Management of the orbital floor in silent sinus syndrome. Am J Rhinol. 2003;17(2):97-100.
- Rose GE, Sandy C, Hallberg L, Moseley I. Clinical and radiologic characteristics of the imploding antrum, or "silent sinus," syndrome. Ophthalmology. 2003;110(4):811-818.
- Yip CC, McCulley TJ, Kersten RC, Tami TA, Kulwin DR. Silent sinus syndrome as a cause of diplopia in a child. J. Pediatr. Ophthalmol. Strabismus. 2003;40(5):309-311.
- Roach HD, Shuttleworth GN, Powell N. A case of unilateral enophthalmos. Br. J. Radiol. 2003;76(908):577-578.
- Ong LY, McNab AA. The silent sinus syndrome: A case with normal predisease imaging. Orbit. 2003;22(3):161-164.
- 42. Iseli HP, Hafezi F, Mojon DS. Conservative treatment of vertical diplopia in a patient with silent sinus syndrome. Ophthalmologica. 2003;217(4):308-309.
- Wang XR, Zhao XD. Secondary silent sinus syndrome: Case report. Chin. Med. J. 2004;117(5):785-786.
- Hobbs CGL, Saunders MW, Potts MJ. "Imploding antrum" or silent sinus syndrome following nasotracheal intubation [8]. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2004;88(7):974-975.
- 45. Hira NK, Lipham WJ, Marciniak MM. Silent sinus syndrome. Optometry. 2004;75(9):589-594.
- Hobbs CG, Saunders MW, Potts MJ. Spontaneous enophthalmos: silent sinus syndrome. J Laryngol Otol. 2004;118(4):310-312.
- Rapidis AD, Liarikos S, Ntountas J, Patel BCK. The silent sinus syndrome: Report of 2 cases. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2004;62(8):1028-1033.
- Hens G, Hermans R, Jorissen M. Chronic maxillary atelectasis. B-ENT. 2005;1(1):25-29.
- Toh TY, Weatherhead RG. Absent upper eyelid skin crease: A sign of hypoglobus. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol. 2005;33(5):539-540.
- Sonbolestan M, Rogha M, Davan MA. Silent sinus syndrome. J. Res. Med. Sci. 2005;10(2):105-106.
- Yiotakis I, Papanikolaou V, Alatzidou Z, Manolopoulos L, Nikolatou-Galiti O, Ferekidis E. Silent sinus syndrome, a case presentation. Rhinology. 2005;43(4):313-315.
- 52. Numa WA, Desai U, Gold DR, Heher KL, Annino DJ. Silent sinus syndrome: A case presentation and comprehensive review of all 84 reported cases. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. 2005;114(9):688-694.
- Ibáñez Micó S, Armengot Carceller M, Martorell Aragonés A. Chronic maxillary atelectasis: An uncommon cause of persistent radiological opacification. An. Pediatr. 2005;63(2):169-171.
- Ando A, Velasco Cruz AA. Management of enophthalmos and superior sulcus deformity induced by the silent sinus syndrome.

Aesthet. Plast. Surg. 2005;29(2):74-77.

- 55. Nkenke E, Alexiou C, Iro H, et al. Management of spontaneous enophthalmos due to silent sinus syndrome: a case report. Int J Oral Maxillofacial Surg. 2005;34(7):809-811.
- Hourany R, Aygun N, Della Santina CC, Zinreich SJ. Silent sinus syndrome: An acquired condition. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 2005;26(9):2390-2392.
- Babiński D, Skorek A, Stankiewics C. Chronic maxillary atelectasis (silent sinus syndrome). Otolaryngol. Pol. 2006;60(6):929-933.
- Kaeser PF, Borruat FX. Acquired unilateral pseudoptosis: A presentation of silent sinus syndrome. Klinische Monatsblatter Fur Augenheilkunde. 2006;223(5):409-411.
- Facon F, Eloy P, Brasseur P, Collet S, Bertrand B. The silent sinus syndrome. Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol. 2006;263(6):567-571.
- 60. Dinis PB, Branco C, Subtil J. Endonasal endoscopic repair of the orbital floor defect in the silent sinus syndrome. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2006;135(5):800-802.
- Wise SK, Wojno TH, DelGaudio JM. Silent sinus syndrome: Lack of orbital findings in early presentation. Am J Rhinol. 2007;21(4):489-494.
- Rodriguez ED, Bluebond-Langner R, Amable R, Manson PN. Microsurgical enophthalmos correction after silent sinus syndrome. J Craniofacial Surg. 2007;18(2):454-456.
- Moreno PM, Meseguer DH. Endoscopy sinus surgery with complete resolution of enophthalmos in a case of silent maxillary sinus. B-ent. 2007;3(2):93-95.
- Burduk PK, Dalke K, Mierzwiński J, Kaźmierczak W, Wierzchowska M. Silent sinus syndrome in child. Otolaryngol. Pol. 2007;61(4):458-462.
- Brochart C, Blanpain S, Lehmann P, et al. CT-scan findings in "silent sinus syndrome". J. Neuroradiol. 2007;34(5):347-348.
- Vaidhyanath R, Kenningham R. Silent sinus syndrome: A cause of enophthalmos. Br. J. Hosp. Med. 2008;69(8):478.
- Sánchez-Dalmau BF, Pascual L, Lao X, Maiz J. Sinus syndrome, an uncommon cause of enophthalmos. Arch. Soc. Esp. Oftalmol. 2008;83(2):125-127.
- Gomez J, Villafañe FG, Palacios E. Enophthalmos in silent sinus syndrome. Ear, Nose and Throat J. 2008;87(9):496-498.
- Habibi A, Sedaghat MR, Habibi M, Mellati E. Silent sinus syndrome: report of a case. Oral Surg. Oral Med. Oral Pathol. Oral Radiol. Endod. 2008;105(3):e32-e35.
- Tan TY, Shashinder S, Subrayan V, Krishnan G. Silent sinus syndrome due to a maxillary mucocele. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2008;35(2):285-287.
- Korn BS, Weisman RA, Kikkawa DO, Mafee M. Multidisciplinary approach to management of silent sinus syndrome. Laryngoscope. 2009;119(SUPPL. 1):180.
- Babin E, Bertrand M, Retout A, Gardea E, Choussy O. Silent sinus syndrome with spontaneous orbital floor reconstruction. B-ENT. 2009;5(2):125-128.

- Miman MC, Akarcay M, Doganay S, Erdem T, Firat Y. Silent sinus syndrome. Ann phthalmol. 2009;41(2):115-117.
- Arikan OK, Onaran Z, Muluk NB, Yilmazbaş P, Yazici I. Enophthalmos due to atelectasis of the maxillary sinus: silent sinus syndrome. J Craniofac Surg. 2009;20(6):2156-2159.
- 75. Stevens K, Omer S, Toocaram B, Rich P, Almemar A. The imploding antrum syndrome: An unusual cause of double vision. Pract. Neurol. 2010;10(2):101-104.
- Heilmeier U, Decker C, Miller C, Hintschich C, Reiser MF, Mueller-Lisse UG. An unusual case of non-traumatic enophthalmos. Radiologe. 2010;50(10):902-906.
- Alons-ter Hoeven J, de Keizer RJ, van der Meij AG, van Merkesteyn JP. Silent sinus syndrome secondary to a radix relicta in the antrum. J Craniofac Surg. 2010;21(2):607-609.
- Sesenna E, Oretti G, Anghinoni ML, Ferri A. Simultaneous management of the enophthalmos and sinus pathology in silent sinus syndrome: A report of three cases. J Cranio-Maxillofal Surg. 2010;38(6):469-472.
- Waqar S, Dhir L, Irvine F. Silent sinus syndrome: A cause of unexplained enophthalmos. Can. J. Ophthalmol. 2010;45(5):547-548.
- Zhang C, Phamonvaechavan P, Christoff A, Guyton DL. Silent sinus syndrome causing cyclovertical diplopia masquerading as superior oblique paresis in the fellow eye. J. AAPOS. 2010;14(5):450-452.
- Babar-Craig H, Kayhanian H, de Silva DJ, Geoffrey, Rose E, Lund VJ. Spontaneous silent sinus syndrome (Imploding antrum syndrome): Case series of 16 patients. Rhinology. 2011;49(3).
- George J, Durr M, Pletcher S. Endoscopic treatment of silent sinus syndrome with dramatic resolution. Laryngoscope. 2011;121(SUPPL. 4):S230.
- Liss JA, Stefko ST, Patel RD. A Case of Bilateral Silent Sinus Syndrome Presenting with Chronic Ocular Surface Disease. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstruct Surg. 2011;27(6):e158-e160.
- Haefliger IO, Botonakis I, Briener HR, Pimentel AR. Case-report of a silent sinus syndrome: An underdiagnosed disease. Klinische Monatsblatter fur Augenheilkunde. 2011;228(4):376.
- Izadi S, Khooshabeh R. Sarcoidosis presenting as imploding antrum syndrome. Orbit. 2011;30(1):7-9.
- Singh KA, Barber Ii WB, Codner MA. Silent sinus syndrome: A rare presentation after botulinum toxin injection. Aesthetic Surg J. 2011;31(2):181-183.
- Mavrikakis I, Detorakis ET, Yiotakis I, Kandiloros D. Nonsurgical Management of Silent Sinus Syndrome with Hyaluronic Acid Gel. Ophthal Plastic Reconstruct Surg. 2012;28(1):e6-e7.
- Ferri A, Ferri T, Sesenna E. Bilateral silent sinus syndrome: Case report and surgical solution. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2012;70(1):e103-e106.

- Bas A, Tutar O, Samanci C, Kepek F. Silent sinus syndrome: CT and MRI findings. BMJ Case Reports. 2012.
- Barbosa M, Portmann D, Potena M, Rezende R, Maggio V. Silent sinus syndrome - Two cases report. Rev. Laryngol. Otol. Rhinol. 2012;133(2):101-103.
- Cobb ARM, Murthy R, Cousin GCS, et al. Silent sinus syndrome. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2012;50(6):E81-E85.
- Suh JD, Ramakrishnan V, Lee JY, Chiu AG. Bilateral silent sinus syndrome. Ear, Nose and Throat Journal. 2012;91(12).
- 93. Bahgat M, Bahgat Y, Bahgat A. Silent sinus syndrome. BMJ case reports. 2012;2012.
- Gaudino S, Di Lella GM, Piludu F, et al. CT and MRI diagnosis of silent sinus syndrome. Radiol. Med. 2013;118(2):265-275.
- Kohn JC, Rootman DB, Xu D, Goldberg RA. Infratemporal fossa fat enlargement in chronic maxillary atelectasis. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 2013;97(8):1005-1009.
- Saldanha M, Bhat V, Bhandary BSK, Scaria ST. Silent sinus syndrome: A case report and review of literature. Clin. Rhinol. 2013;6(3):144-148.
- Saffra N, Rakhamimov A, Saint-Louis LA, Wolintz RJ. Acute diplopia as the presenting sign of silent sinus syndrome. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstruct Surg. 2013;29(5):e130-e131.
- Grusha IO, Stoiukhina AS, Kiseleva TN. [Silent sinus syndrome (clinical case). New potential for enophthalmos correction]. Vestnik oftalmologii. 2013;129(3):63-67.
- Guillen DE, Pinargote PM, Guarderas JC. The silent sinus syndrome: Protean manifestations of a rare upper respiratory disorder revisited. Clin. Mol. Allergy. 2013;11.
- 100. Eisa N, Alraiyes AH, Chadi Alraies M. Facial asymmetry: Silent sinus syndrome. BMJ Case Reports. 2013.
- 101.Singman EL, Matta NS, Silbert DI. Silent sinus syndrome presenting after a roller coaster ride: A case report. Am. Orthopt. J. 2014;64(1):123-125.
- 102.Van Mechelen K, Van Rompaey V, Van Laer C, Claes J. Silent sinus syndrome: Unusual cause of acquired spontaneous unilateral maxillary atelectasis. Tijdschr. Geneeskd. 2014;70(16):902-906.
- 103. Mohindra S, Mohindra S, Gupta AK. Silent sinus syndrome in a female child with enophthalmos and diplopia. Clin. Rhinol. 2014;7(1):40-42.
- 104. Gómez L, Fontán E, León JC, Garrido J. Silent sinus syndrome. Clinical case. Arch. Soc. Esp. Oftalmol. 2014;89(3):121-123.
- 105. Fonseca FLD, Mazoti L, Polati M. Unusual ocular manifestations of silent sinus syndrome. Rev. Bras. Oftalmol. 2014;73(1):44-46.
- 106. Kram YA, Pletcher SD. Maxillary sinus posterior wall remodeling following surgery for silent sinus syndrome. Am. J. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Med. Surg. 2014;35(5):623-625.
- 107.Kilty SJ. Maxillary sinus atelectasis ( silent sinus syndrome): treatment with balloon sinuplasty. J Laryngol Otol. 2014;128(2):189-

191.

- 108. Chang DT, Truong MT. A child with silent sinus syndrome and spontaneous improvement after sinus surgery. Int J Pediatric Otorhinolaryngol. 2014;78(11):1993-1995.
- 109. Gan WL. Silent sinus syndrome as a recognised cause of unilateral painless enophthalmos. BMJ Case Reports. 2014.
- 110.Savvateeva DM, Svistushkin VM, Averbukh VM, Dzhafarova MZ. The silent sinus syndrome in the patients presenting with the uncinate process apposed to the orbital wall. Vestn. Otorinolaringol. 2015;80(6):10-13.
- 111.Lin GC, Sedaghat AR, Bleier BS, et al. Volumetric analysis of chronic maxillary atelectasis. Am. J. Rhinol. and Allergy. 2015;29(3):166-169.
- 112. Grusha Y, Khovrin V, Stoyukhina A, Sheptulin V. Hyaluronic Acid Gel Re-Injection for Enophthalmos Correction in Silent Sinus Syndrome. Orbit. 2015;34(6):351-353.
- 113.Gomez J, Liu D, Palacios E, Nguyen J. Diplopia: An uncommon presentation of silent sinus syndrome. Ear Nose Throat J. 2015;94(7):258-260.
- 114. Gökmen O, Çetinkaya A, Yeşilırmak N, Büyüklü F. Case report of unilateral silent sinus syndrome with variable enophthalmos. Turk Oftalmol. Derg. 2015;45(3):125-127.
- 115. Clarós P, Ahmed H, Minka Ngom EG, Clarós A. The silent sinus syndrome: A reconstruction of the orbital floor with Medpor implant. Revue de laryngologie - otologie - rhinologie. 2015;136(1):37-40.
- 116.Berczyński P, Smektała T, Oskwarek D, et al. Orbital floor reconstruction planning in the course of silent sinus syndrome using online fab lab-case report. J. Stomatol. 2015;68(1):95-103.
- 117. Magalhães C, Baptista M, Lopes G, Duarte D. Silent sinus syndrome: An underdiagnosed entity. BMJ Case Reports. 2015;2015.
- 118.Dumitrescu D, FănuȚă B, Stepan AE, et al. Silent sinus syndrome--report of a case. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2015;56(1):229-237.
- 119.Kashima T, Goldberg RA, Kohn JC, Rootman DB. One-stage bone strip reconstruction technique with balloon sinus dilatation surgery for chronic maxillary atelectasis. Clin Ophthalmol. 2016;10:2363-2368.
- 120. Martinez-Capoccioni G, Varela-Martinez E, Martin-Martin C. Silent sinus syndrome an acquired condition and the essential role of otorhinolaryngologist consultation: a retrospective study. EurArch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol. 2016;273(10):3183-3188.
- 121.Eyigör H, Çekiç B, Turgut Çoban D, et al. Is there a correlation between the clinical findings and the radiological findings in chronic maxillary sinus atelectasis? J Craniofac Surg. 2016;44(7):820-826.
- 122. Kim SH. Successful treatment of silent sinus syndrome with combined endoscopic sinus surgery and blepharoplasty without orbital floor reconstruction. J Craniofac Surg.

2016;27(6):e586-e588.

- 123. Sen D, Arora V, Adlakha S, Miglani H. The imploding antrum: An unusual case of nontraumatic painless enophthalmos. Ind J Ophthalmol. 2016;64(10):786-788.
- 124. Ferro A, Basyuni S, Santhanam V. Not so silent sinus syndrome: A case report. International J Surg Case Rep. 2016;23:1-3.
- 125. Chavez-Montoya R, Araujo-Ramírez O, Castillo-López IY, Govea-Camacho LH. Imploding antrum syndrome: three cases with different management approaches. Cir. Cir. 2017;85(6):529-534.
- 126.Farneti P, Bellusci A, Parmeggiani A, Pasquini E. Metachronous bilateral silent sinus syndrome: A case report. Iran. J. Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;32(3):175-179.
- 127. Shieh WS, Huggins AB, Rabinowitz MR, Rosen MR, Rabinowitz MP. A case of concurrent silent sinus syndrome, thyroid eye disease, idiopathic orbital inflammatory syndrome, and dacryoadenitis. Orbit (London). 2017;36(6):462-464.
- 128. Tieghi R, Malagutti N, Valente L, Carnevali G, Clauser LC. Combined endoscopic and trans palpebral orbital reconstruction for silent sinus syndrome. J Craniofacial Surg. 2017;28(4):955-958.
- 129.Trope M, Schwartz JS, Tajudeen BA, Kennedy DW. Bilateral silent sinus syndrome: a rare case and review of literature. Allergy Rhinol. 2017;8(2).
- 130. Lau HT, Lim KH. Isolated unilateral upper alveolar numbness in silent sinus syndrome. BMJ Case Reports. 2017;2017.
- 131.Varas G, Dolman PJ. Maxillary sinus wall thickening in silent sinus syndrome. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2017;135(5).
- 132.Kikuta S, Horikiri K, Kanaya K, Kagoya R, Kondo K, Yamasoba T. Repetitive sinus-related symptoms may accelerate the progression of chronic maxillary atelectasis. Case Rep Otolaryngol. 2017;2017:4296195.
- 133.Wang ZX, Lai YY, Chen FH, Shi JB, Zuo KJ. [Clinical features, diagnosis and treatment of silent sinus syndrome]. Zhonghua Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2018;53(11):820-824.
- 134. Saito Y, Miyamoto Y, Mochizuki H, et al. Inverted papilloma of the paranasal cavity with findings suggestive of silent sinus syndrome (SSS). J. Otolaryngol. Jpn. 2018;121(6):799-804.
- 135. Cohn JE, Sokoya M, Hararah M, Kadakia S, Ducic Y. Management of enophthalmos from silent sinus syndrome with a customized orbital implant. Otolaryngol. Case Rep. 2018;8:17-19.
- 136. Tribich S, Mahoney CJ, Davies NW. Silent sinus syndrome: An unusual case of facial numbness. Pract. Neurol. 2018;18(6):494-496.
- 137.Reggie SN, Kalyam K, Holds JB, Chung SM. Laughter-Induced Transient Vision Loss in a Patient With Silent Sinus Syndrome. J Neuroophthalmol. 2018;38(1):30-31.
- 138.Lee DS, Murr AH, Kersten RC, Pletcher SD. Silent sinus syndrome without opacification

of ipsilateral maxillary sinus. Laryngoscope. 2018;128(9):2004-2007.

- 139. Clarós P, Sobolewska AZ, Cardesa A, Lopez-Fortuny M, Claros A. Silent sinus syndrome: combined sinus surgery and orbital reconstruction-report of 15 cases. Acta Oto-Laryngologica. 2019;139(1):64-69.
- 140.Nemet A, Martin P, Ofir S, Kasem F. [Ophthalmic manifestations of silent sinus syndrome]. Harefuah. 2019;158(2):101-104.
- 141. Jacobs JM, Chou EL, Tagg NT. Rapid remodeling of the maxillary sinus in silent sinus syndrome. Orbit (London). 2019;38(2):166-168.
- 142.Weiss V, Glanzer S, El-Shabrawi Y. Painless spontaneous enophthalmos: silent sinus syndrome—case report. Spektrum Augenheilkunde. 2019;33(1):21-23.
- 143. Vahdani K, Rose GE. Bilateral Silent Sinus (Imploding Antrum) Syndrome. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstr Surg. 2019;35(3):E67-E69.
- 144.Bhalla N, Rosenstein J, Dym H. Silent Sinus Syndrome: Interesting Clinical and Radiologic Findings. J. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2019;77(10):2040-2043.
- 145. Arnon R, Gluck O, Winter H, Pikkel J, Rubinov A. Combined Single-Step Procedure for Correction of Silent Sinus Syndrome. Case Rep Ophthalmol. 2019;10(1):95-100.
- 146. Hildenbrand T, Klein SB, Schramek N, Weber RK. Rare diseases of the maxillary sinus. HNO. 2020;68(8):581-589.
- 147. Hura N, Ahmed OG, Rowan NR. Atypical presentation of silent sinus syndrome: a case report and literature review. Allergy Rhinol. 2020;11.
- 148. Manila NG, Tahmasbi Arashlow M, Ehlers S, Liang H, Nair MK. Cone-beam computed

tomographic imaging of silent sinus syndrome: A case series and a literature review. Imaging Sci Dent. 2020;50(4):365-371.

- 149. Freiser ME, McCoy J, Shaffer AD, Stapleton AL. Silent sinus syndrome in children. Int J Pediat Otorhinolaryngol. 2020;134.
- 150.Petraroli M, Riscassi S, Panigari A, Maltese M, Esposito S. Silent sinus syndrome and williams syndrome: two rare diseases found in a pediatric patient. Front. Pediatr. 2020;8:211
- 151.Le JK. Case report: A rare case of silent sinus syndrome and vertical diplopia. Optom. Vis. Sci. 2020;97(7):485-488.
- 152.Bonavolontà P, Dell'Aversana Orabona G, Cama A, et al. Application of a computer navigation system in the treatment of silent sinus syndrome: Case reports and technical note. J. Plast. Reconstr. Aesthetic Surg. 2020;73(4):783-808.
- 153.Rullan-Oliver B, del Toro-Diez E, Portela-Arraiza JC. Natural progression of bilateral maxillary silent sinus syndrome: A metachronous case report. Sage Open Med Case Rep. 2020;8.
- 154.AlSaloom NS, Ashri NY. Silent sinus syndrome (first case report, Saudi Arabia with recommendation). Int J Surg Case Rep. 2020;74:104-108.
- 155. Leidens N, Franco A, Santos MCJ, Makeeva IM, Fernandes A. Early-diagnosed silent sinus syndrome and cone-beam computed tomography in a pediatric patient: a case report. J. Korean Assoc. Oral Maxillofac. Surg. 2020;46(2):155-159.
- 156.Zheng HD, Mecham JC, Abdel-Aty Y, Lal D, Marino MJ. Microbiology, histopathology, and radiographic findings in silent

sinus syndrome. Am. J. Rhinol. Allergy. 2021;35(5):685-692.

- 157.Habib LA, Yoon MK. Patient specific implants in orbital reconstruction: A pilot study. Am J Ophthalmol Case Rep. 2021;24:101222.
- 158.Keren S, Sinclair V, McCallum E, Martinez-Devesa P, Norris JH. Silent sinus syndrome: potentially misleading features that should be recognized. Can. J. Ophthalmol. 2023 58(2):113-117.
- 159. Monis PL, Parikh MH, Bhowmick H, Pai K, Shetty S, Salins PC. Silent sinus syndrome: a case of sinking eyeball. J. Maxillofac. Oral Surg. 2021;20(4):577-580.
- 160. Deekonda P, Jones HAS. Silent sinus syndrome secondary to lymphoma: an unusual case with radiological evidence of rapid progression. Ann. Otol. Rhinol. Laryngol. 2022;131(8):918-922.

Edward C. Kuan, MD, MBA Department of Otolaryngology – Head and Neck Surgery University of California Irvine 101 The City Dr South Orange, CA 92868 USA

Tel: +1-714 456-7017 Fax: +1-714 456-7823 E-mail: eckuan@uci.edu