Anatomical considerations in the aetiology of fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles

Valerie J. Lund, London, England

SUMMARY

Aetiological factors in the formation of fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles are examined in 80 patients. The degree and duration of obstruction and the absence of alternative drainage routes are important in the development of this condition but to investigate the mechanism of bone resorption and mucocele expansion, PGE2 levels have been measured in the mucosa from mucoceles and normal frontal sinuses. Significantly greater levels of PGE2 were demonstrated in the mucocele mucosa. Whilst aetiological factors can be defined in 71 %, the largest single group has no known cause and it is likely that they represent a vulnerable population in whom there is a degree of intrinsic narrowing and tortuosity of the fronto-nasal duct with total obstruction produced by minor sub-clinical inflammatory changes.

INTRODUCTION

Despite experimental and clinical investigation, the aetiology of fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles remains obscure. A number of factors have been described but questions remain. Why is it that every patient who experiences midfacial trauma, has nasal polyps, sinus surgery or chronic sinusitis does not develop a mucocele? Why is it that even in the precense of these conditions which affect more than one sinus, patients rarely develop bilateral mucoceles? Clearly more than straight-forward blockage of the frontonasal duct is involved.

SURGICAL ANATOMY

The configuration and drainage of the frontal sinus is variable. The sinus may be compartmentalised by incomplete bony septa but a complete intersinus septum is usually present. (One hundred cadaver examinations undertaken by the author have demonstrated that 90% of intersinus septa are complete.) Supernumerary sinuses are extremely common and normally drain independantly by separate ostia communicating with the frontal region of the middle meatus and seperate pneumatisation of the horizontal portion is not uncommon.

Considerable variation exists in the manner by which the sinus communicates

Paper presented at the llth Congress of the European Rhinologic Society and 5th ISIAN, Athens (Greece), June 1986.

with the nasal cavity and is directly related to the embryology. When it arises as a direct extension of the whole recess, it may open by an ostium into the anterior part of the middle meatus. When the sinus arises from one of the furrows or from one of the cells of the infundibulum, a frontonasal duct is present. Therefore, the sinus may drain via the duct alone, separate from the infundibulum ethmoidale or partly by both routes. The length, diameter and tortuosity of the duct varies considerably and therefore in those with a long serpentine course and encroached upon by a neighbouring anterior ethmoidal cell, the slightest swelling of the mucosa will cause occlusion.

METHOD AND MATERIALS

Eighty patients with fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles were treated between 1962– 1983 by Professor D.F.N. Harrison at the Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital. The cases were examined with particular reference to relevant aetiological factors and the time interval between these factors and presentation with the mucocele. In all cases patients underwent a modified Lynch-Howarth approach, radical fronto-ethmoidectomy (including opening of the frontal intersinus septum) and the majority had insertion of a large fenestrated (1 cm diameter) silastic tube from the frontal sinus into the nasal cavity, which was removed at a later date in out-patients. In all cases, there was no evidence of acute infection, the contents of the mucocele being sterile to culture.

RESULTS

There were 48 men and 32 women, their ages ranging from 13-81, with an average of 53.5 years. There was an average of 35 months follow-up (all patients with less than six months follow-up being included), with right and left sides equally affected. 90 % had a large fenestrated indwelling silastic tube which was left in place an average of five months.

Aetiological factors

Relevant aetiological factors were sought and seven groups defined. These included direct serious trauma involving fracture of facial bones, nasal polyps untreated or removed surgically, frontal sinusitis treated medically or surgically, a miscellaneous group which included osteomas and maxillary sinus surgery for cysts, and those in whom no aetiological factor could be determined. The polyps had been removed intranasally whereas surgical treatment of frontal sinusitis was in all cases by external fronto-ethmoidectomy.

The results are presented in Table 1. Whilst aetiological factors can be defined in 71%, the largest single group has no known cause but is representative of the entire group in both distribution of sex and age. When the time interval between aetiology and presentation is considered it is significantly longer in those in whom

	aetiological factors						
	none	trauma	polyps no surgery	polyps surgery	infection anti- biotics	infection surgery	other
number	23	9	12	9	8	13	6
%	29	11	15	11	10	16	8
male%	65	55	66	77	50	46	50
female %	35	45	34	23	50	54	50
age range	24-81	20-59	37-70	46-70	18-63	42-80	39-55
average age	55	42	52	56	39	60	49
time interval (yrs)		8-30	L.	.25-30	.17-8	14-57	9-15
average time (yrs)		21		12.5	1.8	29	12

Table 1.	Aetiological	factors in th	e development	of frontoethmoidal	mucoceles.

trauma or surgery for infection or polyps occurs when compared with the group in whom infection had been treated conservatively with antibiotics (p = 0.000, p = 0.000 and p = 0.02 respectively). In addition infection treated by surgery is associated with a significantly longer interval than polyps treated by surgery (p = 0.0003)

Prostaglandin estimation

Mucous membrane lining the fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles has been analysed for levels of PGE2 (ng/mg wet tissue) using the technique described by Harris et al. (1973). These levels have been compared with those obtained from mucous membrane taken from apparently normal frontal sinuses opened during cranio-tomy procedures for non-infective conditions and the results (Table 2) show significantly higher levels of PGE2 in the mucocele tissue.

prostaglandin levels (ng PGE2/mg wet tissue)				
normals	mucoceles			
14.82	23.20			
14.82	24.00			
16.00	24.01			
16.18	29.58			
21.20	40.40			
25.76	44.10			
	49.86			
	59.02			
n=6	n = 8			
range = 14.82-25.76	23.20-59.02			
mean = 18.13	36.77			
p = < 0.001				

Table 2.	Results	of	prostaglandin	estimations.

DISCUSSION

A number of aetiological factors are commonly quoted in the literature on frontoethmoidal mucoceles. These may be broadly divided into those related to obstruction of the frontonasal duct and those associated with inflammation. Polyps, trauma, surgery and tumours comprise the obstructive group, infection, increased mucus secretion, cystic degeneration and allergy the inflammatory group (Bordley and Bosley, 1973; Canalis et al., 1973; Dobie, 1983; Finn et al., 1981; Howarth, 1921; Kastenbauer, 1967; Lund and Lloyd, 1983; Palubinskas and Davis, 1959; Schenck et al., 1974; Schuknecht and Lindsay, 1949; Sellars and De Villiers, 1981). However, no attention has been paid to the group in whom no factor can be defined. Experimental studies using animal models have been largely inconclusive. Schenck et al. (1974) were unable to produce a mucocele by simple obstruction of the fronto-nasal duct in dogs but found a mucus-containing cyst partially filling the sinus when mucoperiosteum was stripped in addition to obstruction which they did not believe constituted a true mococele. No analysis of aetiological factors has been possible on small series, often treated by a variety of people in a variety of ways. The series presented comprises patients treated by one person in exactly the same fashion and allows such an analysis.

It can be seen that each of these factors may be responsible and that there are some significant differences in time interval exist between the groups. A long period of time (23 years) separates trauma and surgery from presentation whereas when infection is treated by antibiotics, a significantly shorter time interval occurs (22 months) suggesting simply the presence of a sterile pyocele. The degree and duration of obstruction and the absence of alternative drainage routes via the ethmoids or through a deficient intersinus septum, is obviously important in the development of a mucocele but the characteristic bone resorption and expansion requires some other mechanism such as superadded inflammation possibly initiated by infection.

Histological examination of mucocele mucosa demonstrates the presence of chronic inflammation, fibrosis and a dynamic balance at the mucocele/bone interface where osteoclast and osteoblast activity results in osteolysis, new bone information and sclerosis (Batsakis, 1979). The mucocele mucosa is capable of producing a bone-resorbing factor, PGE2 in higher concentrations than found normally. Whilst it would be of value to examine a series of specimens from patients with acute and chronic frontal sinuses, to date insufficient numbers are available for statistical comparison. Further studies are in progress to confirm that mucosal fibroblasts are the principal source of the prostaglandin, to quantify other relevant substances such as collagenase and to examine the effects of mononuclear cell products, thereby demonstrating a similar mechanism to that established in the analagous situation of odontogenic cysts (Harvey et al, 1984). These results would suggest a mechanism for bone resorption mucocele expan-

Fronto-ethmoidal mucoceles

sion associated with an inflammatory process at the mucocele/bone interface. The capacity for prostaglandin synthesis by the lining emphasises the importance of removing all mucosa at operation. The creation of alternative drainage channels is achieved by opening the intersinus septum and insertion of a fenestrated silastic tube for as long as can be tolerated, the success of which is reflected in a low recurrence rate (Rubin et al., 1985).

The problem of the group in whom no aetiological factor can be determined remains. They constitute one of the largest sub-groups and do not differ in age or sex distribution from the group as a whole. Is it this group in whom cystic degeneration of a mucus gland occurs producing a dilated mucus-containing sac lined by sinus epithelium in accordance with Palubinskas and Davis (1959)? Is it, as Kastenbauer (1967) has suggested in the absence of any previous history, a consequence of a pathological process of pneumatisation? A total congenital anatomical obstruction is probably less likely than the possibility of a vulnerable population in whom there is a degree of intrinsic narrowing and tortuosity of the frontonasal duct with total obstruction produced by minor sub-clinical inflammatory changes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Professor D.F.N. Harrison, Dr. G.A.S. Lloyd, Professor M. Harris and Dr. D. Harvey for their considerable help and invaluable advice.

RÉSUMÉ

Les facteurs causatives dans la formation des mucocoeles du sinus fronto-ethmoidale sont examiné en 80 patients. Les degré et la durée de l'obstruction et l'absence du drain alternatif sont importants dans la développement d'une mucocoele mais pour étudier le mecanisme de la resorption d'os et l'expansion de la mucocoele, le prostaglandine, PGE2, était mesuré dans les mucocoeles et les sinus frontales normales. Pendant que les facteurs causatives peuvent trouver en 71 %, le groupe le plus grand est sans facteurs causatives et c'est peut-être une population vulnérable pour qu'il exist un degré de limitation intrinsèque et la tortusité du conduit fronto-nasale avec l'obstruction total produits par les petites changement inflammatoires.

REFERENCES

- 1. Batsakis JG. Tumours of the Head and Neck. 2nd Edition. Baltimore/London: Williams and Wilkins, 1979
- 2. Bordley JE, Bosley WR. Mucoceles of the frontal sinus: causes and treatment. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 1973; 82: 696-702.
- Canalis RF, Zajtchuk JT, Jenkins HA. Ethmoidal mucoceles. Arch Otolaryngol 1973; 104: 286-291.

- 4. Dobie RA. Posttraumatic frontal and frontoethmoid mucoceles causing irreversible visual loss. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1983; 91: 691-694.
- 5. Finn DG, Hudson WR, Baylin G. Unilateral polyposis and mucoceles in children. Laryngoscope 1981; 91: 1444-1449.
- 6. Harris M, Jenkins MV, Bennett A, Wills MR. Prostaglandin production and bone resorption by dental cysts. Nature 1973; 245: 213-215.
- 7. Harvey W, Guat-Chen F, Gordon D, Meghji S, Evans A, Harris M. Evidence for fibroblasts as the major source of prostacyclin synthesis in dental cysts in man. Archiv Oral Biol 1984; 29: 223-229.
- 8. Howarth WG. Mucocele and pyocele of the nasal accessory sinuses. Lancet 1921; 2: 744-746.
- 9. Kastenbauer E. Uber die Muco-und Pyocelen der Sternoho. H N O 1967; 15: 277-281.
- 10. Lund VJ, Lloyd GAS. Radiological changes associated with benign nasal polyps. J Laryngol Otol 1983; 97: 503-510.
- 11. Palubinskas AJ, Davies H. Roentgen features of nasal accessorty sinus mucoceles. Radiology 1959; 72: 576-584.
- 12. Rubin JS, Lund VJ Salmon B. Frontoethmoidectomy in the treatment of mucoceles. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1985; 112: 434-436.
- 13. Schaeffer JP. The nose, paranasal sinuses, nasolacrimal passageways and olfactory organ in man. Philadelphia: Blakiston, 1920.
- 14. Schenck NL, Rauchbach E, Ogura JH. Frontal sinus disease. II Development of the frontal sinus model: occlusion of the nasofrontal duct. Laryngoscope 1974; 84: 1233-1247.
- 15. Schuknecht HF, Lindsay JR. Benign cysts of the paranasal sinuses. Arch Otolaryngol 1949; 49: 609-630.
- 16. Sellars SL, De Villiers JC. The sphenoid sinus mucocoele. J Larygol Otol 1981; 95: 493-502.

Valerie J. Lund, FRCS Professorial Unit Institute of Laryngology and Otology Gray's Inn Road LONDON WC1X 8DA England