EPOS2020: development strategy and goals for the latest European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis*
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Abstract

Background: The European Position Papers on Rhinosinusitis from 2005, 2007 and 2012 have had a measurable impact on the way this common condition with high impact on quality of life is managed around the world. EPOS2020 will be the latest iteration of the guideline, addressing new stakeholders and target users, presenting a summary of the latest literature and evolving treatment modalities, and formulating clear recommendations based on all available evidence.

Methodology: Based on the AGREE II framework, this article demonstrates how the EPOS2020 steering group will address six key areas to ensure consistency in quality and presentation of information in the latest rhinosinusitis clinical practice guideline: scope and purpose; stakeholder involvement; rigour of development; clarity of presentation; recommendations and applicability; editorial independence.

Results: By analysing the guidance from AGREE II, we formulated a detailed development strategy for EPOS2020. We identify new stakeholders and target users and ratify the importance of patient involvement in the latest EPOS guideline. New and expanded areas of research to be addressed are highlighted. We confirm our intention to use mixed methodologies, combining evidence-based medicine with real life studies; when no evidence can be found, use Delphi rounds to achieve clear, inclusive recommendations. We also introduce new concepts for dissemination of the guideline, using Internet and social media to improve accessibility.

Conclusion: This article is an introduction to the EPOS2020 project, and presents the key goals, core stakeholders, planned methodology and dissemination strategies for the latest version of this influential guideline.
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Introduction
Rhinosinusitis is a common condition in most of the world, leading to a significant burden on society in terms of healthcare consumption and productivity loss [1-4].

Acute rhinosinusitis (ARS) has a 1-year prevalence of 6-15% and is usually the consequence of a viral common cold. ARS is usually a self-limiting disease but serious complications leading to life threatening situations and even death have been described [5]. It is one of the most common reasons for prescription of antibiotics and proper management is extremely pertinent in the context of the global crisis of antibiotics [6]. Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a significant health problem and affects 5-12% of the general population [7, 8]. CRS can present with (CRSwNP) or without nasal polyps (CRSsNP). The first group in particular often needs recurrent surgical procedures and systemic treatment with corticosteroids and is associated with severe asthma [9-14].

The European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis (EPOS 2020) will be the latest in the EPOS series of guidelines on rhinosinusitis from an international cohort of experts in the field.

The first European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps (EP3OS) [15, 16] was published in 2005, and was soon followed by EP3OS 2007 [17, 18] in response to the first position paper’s extremely positive reception and the growing body of research in this area.

The latest European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis, EPOS 2012 [19, 20] was hugely successful and widely cited worldwide. It delivered a comprehensive review of the key topics in an accessible format with clear research priorities.

EPOS has inspired researchers to use standard definitions of disease, study the epidemiology and management of ARS and CRS, reduce unnecessary (mainly antibiotic) treatment and form new collaborations to answer unmet research questions [9, 21-28].

EPOS2020 will build on this success. We plan to utilize a combination of methodologies to expand on the existing research base and explore areas not covered in the previous guidelines. This article outlines our development strategies in order to achieve these goals based on the AGREE II framework [27], a tool which aims to ensure consistency in quality of clinical practice guidelines, provide a methodological strategy for the development of guidelines and clarify what and how information ought to be reported in guidelines.

Scope and purpose
The core objective of the EPOS2020 guideline is to provide real, practical, collaborative management strategies for rhinosinusitis based on a combination of evidence based and clinically relevant research. We aim to build on the success of previous EPOS position papers, in particular EPOS2012, which was widely acclaimed for its presentation of science translated into clinical care and treatment of disease. EPOS2012 can also be credited with the sharp rise in understanding of separate endotypes in CRS and the relationship to different phenotypes. This knowledge will lead to significant adjustments in the management of CRS, especially in CRSwNP [28, 29].

All topics from EPOS2012 will be revised and updated based on the latest research and evidence. Areas that EPOS2020 will explore in more detail than in previous guidelines are summarised in Table 1. In particular, evolving treatment modalities especially with biologicals, need reevaluation in terms of current treatment algorithms [20, 31].

The EPOS 2020 guideline will apply to the adult and paediatric patient population with ARS (viral / common cold, post-viral, bacterial), CRSsNP and CRSwNP. It will furthermore be applicable to patients with associated conditions, such as allergic rhinitis, asthma, bronchiectasis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), severe upper airway disease and rare diseases including primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD), cystic fibrosis, vasculitis and immune deficiencies. EPOS2020 will also address patients with associated symptoms, such as facial pain / pressure, sleep disorders, smell disorders and odontogenic problems, many of which are principal symptoms in the diagnosis of rhinosinusitis.

Stakeholder involvement
The EPOS2020 guideline development group is made up of international ENT / rhinology specialists representing a range of
geographical locations and specialties, as well as other experts interested in the field (including allergologists, pulmonologists, GPs, pharmacists, paediatricians, microbiologists) and patient representatives. The group will also include key methodology experts.

Our primary target users will be ENT / rhinology specialists, providing them with an up-to-date review of the latest research and clear diagnostic and treatment strategies and recommendations. For non-ENT primary practitioners, such as GPs (including family and emergency physicians), pharmacists and paediatricians our aim is to inform them of the latest research and recommendations and provide clear care pathways. Other specialists including allergologists / immunologists, pulmonologists, doctors of internal medicine and secondary practitioners such as nurses will be provided with clear, unambiguous definitions of the terminology and information on the latest research and recommendations.

Patient involvement will be a defining element of EPOS2020, and this is reflected by the inclusion of key patient representatives in the development group, along with a dissemination strategy which will target users beyond the immediate clinical sphere. The initiatives started within EUFOREA that will find a solid base in EPOS2020 and will help to better inform patients and further empower them to seek optimal help in the management of their disease [32-34].

The position paper will provide guidelines for relevant government bodies, national and international drug agencies (e.g. the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the USA) and scientific societies, particularly regarding the use of terminology, definitions and classification for clinical trials. In addition, EPOS2020 will be an important tool for insurance companies and payers, and will act as a key reference tool for national and international mass media providing disease information to the general population.

Rigour of development
EPOS2020 will use mixed methodologies to incorporate grades of evidence and grades of expert opinion / common consensus. The aim is to combine expert opinion with methodological back-up, to be as inclusive as possible and to be clear in our definitions.

Methodology
We will use evidence-based medicine (EBM) methodologies to search for our evidence, incorporating randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with ‘Real World Experience (RWE) – observational studies (surgery) / real-life studies, and using network analysis and meta-analysis of systematic reviews to combine results from clinical trials.

For all topics we will search MEDLINE (either via OVID or via PubMed) and EMBASE (via OVID or embase.com). In the case of (controlled) trials we will also search the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, the Cochrane Database of Systemic Reviews, and clinical trial registers including www.clinicaltrials.gov, the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) and www.trialregistration.org. Depending on the topic and/or the available evidence we will also search Web of Science, Google Scholar and Google. There will be no language or date restriction. Complete search strategies will be shown in appendices to the final document.

A drawback of EBM methodology is that it relies heavily on RCTs, which are a limited way of looking at the bigger picture. This methodology is also difficult to apply to certain areas of research for EPOS2020, for example when exploring the role of surgery in rhinosinusitis. Most surgical evidence is based on observational studies, with recent research showing some justification for incorporating non-randomized studies [35, 36].

Delphi trials will be directed to ENT / rhinology specialists / primary practitioners to reach expert consensus on areas including (but not limited to) diagnostics, certain treatments for acute rhinosinusitis, and in CRS, appropriate medical therapy and surgery (and technique to use) in adult and paediatric rhinosinusitis, as well as adjunctive techniques such as image guidance. Inclusion and exclusion criteria for selecting the evidence will differ for each section of the EPOS2020 guideline. When enough RCTs with sufficient patient numbers are available, other evidence will not primarily be included. In all other situations all forms of evidence, case control, cohort studies, real-life studies and Delphi rounds will be used. GRADE (Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation, http://www.gradeworkinggroup.org/) will be used whenever possible.

In-depth criteria for each section will be included in appendices to the final EPOS2020 document, see EPOS2012 for examples [19]. Details of the strengths and limitations of the body of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Key considerations for EPOS2020 literature review.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study methodology limitations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriateness / relevance of primary and secondary outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consistency of results across studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction of results across studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnitude of benefit versus magnitude of harm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability to practice context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directions for future research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taken from the AGREE II guidelines [27].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and the risk of bias will also be laid out in full. Table 2 shows the key factors which will be considered for all studies and reviews undertaken for EPOS2020, according to AGREE-II guidelines (17).

Recommendations

Recommendations will be formulated based on review and analysis of the latest research into rhinosinusitis, combined with expert opinion. Using Delphi methodology, we will ensure that expert opinion is reached by common consensus in areas where the evidence base is limited. In this way we will be able to incorporate grade of evidence and grade of expert opinion.

During the formulation of recommendations for management (diagnosis, treatment and follow-up) of rhinosinusitis, all potential benefits, side effects and risks will be considered and clearly outlined, where necessary with supporting data. The grade of recommendation will also reflect these considerations, which was an effective way of presenting recommendations in EPOS2012.

Results of literature reviews and summaries of the relevant evidence base will be clearly presented to back up all recommendations. A complete reference list and bibliography will be provided to ensure that readers can access the evidence on which our recommendations are based, and to demonstrate the extent of our research.

Review and updates

The EPOS2020 position paper will be subject to a full external review by experts from a variety of specialties prior to publication for the purposes of quality assurance. We will take into account feedback from reviewers representing different communities in the world and different stakeholders (as mentioned above) on draft recommendations, applicability and feasibility when formulating the final document. A list of reviewers will be supplied as an appendix to the final document. A description of the methods for conducting the external review, along with recommendations from reviewers and outcomes of the review will be presented in an ‘External Review’ appendix.

The European Rhinologic Society has produced position papers on rhinosinusitis in 2007 and 2012 (following on from the first European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps (EP3OS) initiated by the European Academy of Allergology and Clinical Immunology in 2005) (16, 18, 19). EPOS2020 will be the latest iteration of this. We expect to update the position paper again after another 5 to 6 year interval. However, the scope of the guideline may need to change in that time as the body of research into rhinosinusitis and its associated conditions continues to grow. With this in mind, following publication of EPOS2020, we will conduct a full review to measure uptake of our recommendations and the overall impact of the guidelines.

Clarity of presentation

All recommendations in EPOS2020 will be presented clearly. Levels of evidence will be presented in tables (as in EPOS2012) and recommended diagnosis, treatment and referral pathways will be presented in straightforward, accessible diagrams (as in EPOS2012). We will make it clear which target users and population the recommendation is targeted at/applicable to and explain the intended outcome of the recommendation, along with any associated caveats and risks. Where evidence and results are uncertain this will be clearly explained, and relevant recommendations will be formulated accordingly.

All options for the screening, prevention and management (including treatment, diagnosis and follow-up) of rhinosinusitis will be presented clearly in EPOS2020. Recommendations on these options will be graded based on the available evidence and when evidence is limited, based on expert opinions using Delphi rounds. Each option will be considered as relevant to the most applicable target population and target user. For example, in EPOS2012 a full section of the guideline was dedicated to presenting evidence-based schemes for diagnosis and treatment of ARS and CRS in adult and paediatric populations and for ENT specialists and primary care practitioners.

Specific recommendations for diagnosis and treatment of each aspect of rhinosinusitis covered by the guideline will be summarised clearly, along with recommended areas for further research.

In addition to a section of the guideline presenting our recommendations in clear algorithms, we will make several ‘Pocket Guide’ versions of EPOS2020 aimed at different stakeholders (otorhinolaryngologists, pharmacists, patients, primary care, other non-ENT specialists), translated into as many languages as possible. This was very successful for EPOS2007 and EPOS2012 as a way of presenting our key recommendations and management schemes in an accessible format for target users. We intend to develop this further with an interactive version of the guideline after publication ‘wiki EPOS’, featuring extra links, videos, interactive flowcharts. This will be available online, and potentially as an easily accessible ‘EPOS app’. We feel that an app version would be particularly relevant to our target population, as a way of empowering patients – directing people through the available technologies and treatments.

Recommendations and applicability

The main facilitators and barriers to applying the EPOS2020 guideline will be explored and reviewed, with feedback from key stakeholders. Although entitled ‘European’, which reflects
will be derived from our key recommendations. For example, number, frequency and duration of symptoms. This will enable the application and use of the guideline to be monitored and evaluated.

Editorial independence
Although funded in large part by the European Rhinologic Society, Rhinology Journal, EUFOREA and the Rhinology Foundation and involving many of its members, EPOS2020 will be an international endeavour with contributions from a wide range of associated expert specialists who will analyse the latest research into rhinosinusitis and present up-to-date recommendations to all target users and populations. The views and interests of the ERS and other external sources of funding will not have any impact on our final recommendations, and this will be clearly stated in the final document.

There will be a large group of key stakeholders involved in the development of the EPOS2020 position paper. The competing interests of all guideline development group members will be recorded and any potential impact on the development of the guideline and our final recommendations will be addressed.

Conclusion
Patients with rhinosinusitis deserve the best possible management of their disease. We hope this article acts as a useful starting point for EPOS2020 and provides a detailed summary of our planned research strategy and methodologies. Our aim is for the next European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis to promote better-informed decision-making by patients, members of the community, clinicians, and public health policy-makers on the management of rhinosinusitis.
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