Pedicled lateral nasal wall flap for the reconstruction of the nasal septum perforation. A radio-anatomical study*
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Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this radio-anatomical study is to ensure that the potential donor area of the pedicled lateral nasal wall flap (PLNW) is adequate to reconstruct nasal perforation.

Material and methods: Analysis was conducted on 40 de-identified CT angiographies. The area and length of the PLNW, the septum, and the nasal floor were measured. In a cadaver study, 20 hemi-cranial sagittal sections were also analyzed. The anterior-posterior length of the PLNW flap and the distance between the sphenopalatine foramen and piriform aperture were measured. A clinical study with endoscopic closure of a large perforation was conducted in three patients.

Results: The CT angiographies demonstrated an average PLNW area of 10.80 ± 1.13 cm² and a nasal floor area of 3.78 ± 0.58 cm². The septal area (22.54 ± 21.32 cm²) was significantly larger than the total PLNW flap area (14.59 ± 1.21 cm²). The average length of the flap was 5.58 ± 0.39 cm, while the septum was 6.66 ± 0.42 cm; therefore the PLNW flap is insufficient to reconstruct the entire septum. The cadaver study showed that the length of the PLNW flap was 5.28 ± 0.40 cm. These results demonstrate that measurements obtained from CT scans are reliable data and similar to those found in the radiological study. Complete closure was achieved in all three patients.

Conclusion: The PLNW flap does not render enough tissue to reconstruct a total septal perforation; however, up to 84% of the septum could be repaired with a PLNW. The potential donor area obtained by CT scan and clinical practice support the approachability of PLNW to repair large septal perforation.
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Introduction

Expanded endonasal approaches (EEA) for the treatment of skull base tumours are rapidly evolving. Good exposure, a complete resection, and the subsequent reconstruction of the resultant defect are paramount requirements for an optimum outcome1-3. In some cases partial or complete septal resection needs to be carried out to achieve an adequate approach or to reconstruct the skull base defect. Subsequently, septal perforation and aesthetic changes may occur in some cases4,5.

Other causes of septal perforations include trauma, surgery, inflammatory or infections, neoplasms, or abuse of inhaled
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substances. Nasal septal perforations may be stratified based on location (anterior and posterior) or by size as suggested by Neu- 
mann (5): Small: diameter ≤ 0.5 cm; Medium: diameter between 
0.5-2 cm and Large: diameter > 2 cm. Anterior perforations 
can cause a sensation of nasal obstruction, crusting, intermittent 
episodes of epistaxis, malodorous discharge, or result in a whis-tling sound upon nasal breathing. Perforations of the posterior 
septum are typically asymptomatic and, as such, rarely require 
treatment. However, intranasal crusting may be problematic 
for the patient, especially if the edges of the perforation are not 
well healed (6).

Non-surgical treatment with frequent lavage with saline irrigations, application of emollients, and antibiotic treatment for infec-tion may ameliorate nasal symptoms. In individuals who remain 
symptomatic despite the aforementioned treatments, surgical 
management may be of benefit. Silicone button prosthesis may 
also relieve these symptoms (7).

The surgical indications and the choice of the ideal reconstruc-tion technique are influenced by the quality of remnants of the 
septal mucosa that will be used in the repair. In addition, size 
and location of the perforation are crucial factors in determining 
the required procedure and type of tissue as well as predicting 
the success of repair (8). Numerous local endonasal mucosal flaps 
have been described and the variety of available techniques is 
evidence enough that no single approach is widely recognized 
as being reliable to close all perforations. Advancement flaps are 
currently accepted as standard techniques to close small-medium sized perforations and the flap from the inferior turbinates is 
advocated for caudal septal perforations (8-10). Open rhinoplasty 
approaches, the use of temporal parietal-fascia, or facial artery 
smucuculomucosal flaps have been also described (11-13). 

Recently, a novel technique based on the use of pedicle lateral 
nasal wall flaps (PLNW), both anterior (APLNW) or posterior 
(PPLNW), has proven to be a reliable and versatile reconstructive 
option for extensive defects of the skull base (14,15). A PLNW flap 
may serve as an alternative procedure to reconstruct large nasal 
septum defects. However, it is extremely important to be fami-
lar with its vascular anatomy to avoid mucosal damage and flap 
necrosis. The lateral nasal wall receives blood supply from a mul-tiple anterior, posterior, and superior arterial branches, although 
its main arterial trunk arises posteriorly from the posterior lateral 
nasal artery (a branch of the sphenopalatine artery) (Figure 1). It 
enters the inferior turbinate on the superior aspect of its lateral 
attachment between 1.0 and 1.5 cm from its posterior tip. Then, 
the artery enters a bony canal and bifurcates into two branches. 
One branch remains high and lateral, while the other runs in a 
lower and more medial position (14). Moreover, the lateral alar 
artery (a branch of the facial artery) and the anterior ethmoidal 
artery supply the anterior and superior areas of the anterior 
PLNW flap. These blood supplies may allow an anteriorly or pos-teriorly PLNW flap design to close septal perforations.

To our knowledge, the literature has no data regarding the 
potential donor site and dimensions of the PLNW flaps and how 
they might match large septal defects. This radio-anatomical 
and clinical study was developed to allow optimization of the 
design of PLNW flaps and to ensure that, when harvesting the 
flap, its area and length may be adequate to reconstruct large 
septal defects.

**Materials and methods**

Radiological study

2D projection

Analysis of computed tomographic angiographies (CTA) was 
conducted on the open source DICOM viewer Osirix (Pixmeo, 
Switzerland), which has the ability to compute reliable surface 
areas and distances between landmarks. A random assignment 
of 20 males and 20 females’ CTA was chosen from a de-identified 
database of CT samples approved by the internal review board. 
It is a very broad group. All patients were over 18 years of age 
with no skull base or sinonasal pathology, who underwent CT 
angiograms for either trauma or intracranial pathology (i.e. 
avaneurysms).

Reliable points were chosen for the flap analysis. We used 
the sphenopalatine foramen (SPF) as the point of reference to 
calculate the measurements. The first step was to find the SPF in 
the sagittal plane. This landmark demarcated the most posterior 
and superior portion of the flap and provided X-Y coordinates 
that could be extrapolated out of the plane of the SPF and onto 
the more medial plane of the lateral nasal wall. The second point 
was chosen at the floor of the nasal cavity.
inferior to the first point at the same X coordinate. Moving anteriorly, a third point corresponded to the anterior-inferior limit of the flap at the level of the piriform aperture. The forth and fifth points were chosen at the superior limit of the flap incisions over the anterior border of the ascending maxillary process and the posterior aspect of the lacrimal bone, respectively. With these five points a tracing tool interconnected all, rendering the dimensions of the flap and allowing the calculation of total surface area (cm$^2$) (Figure 2A). For additional analysis, the total anterior-posterior distance of the flap, the width of the nasal floor from septum to lateral wall (cm), as well as the total floor area (cm$^2$) (Figure 2B) were measured.

For comparison, the total surface area (cm$^2$) of the nasal septum was also measured. This required choosing a CT image that included the entire septum, from nasal floor to the floor of the anterior cranial fossa. The septum was traced with the same tool used for the flap, and the total area and anterior-posterior distance were calculated. This set of measurements represented the potential dimensions of the PLNW flap to close a subtotal septal perforation.

Clinical study
A clinical study was developed to ensure the approachability of this flap to close large perforations. Three patients with symptomatic large septal perforation were included. The septal repair was done endoscopically in two stages. First, the authors closed the perforation using a PLNW flap and 3 months later the pedicle was taken down under general anesthesia. The PLNW flap was designed according to the size and shape of the defect. The floor and lateral nasal wall were infiltrated with a solution of bupivacaine (0.25%) containing epinephrine (1:100.000). Two parallel incisions of nasal mucosa were made following frontal process of the maxilla. One incision followed the maxillary line (corresponded intranasally to the junction of the uncinate and maxilla) while a parallel incision followed a line at the piriform aperture reaching the anterior nasal spine. The third anterior-posterior incision was made in the floor to the anterior nasal spine. After removing the turbinal bone, the elevation of the

3D projection
Fresh injected cadaver was used to compare 3D surface with 2D projection of the PLNW flap. The specimen underwent a pre- and post-dissection CT scan with a multislice helical acquisition protocol (slice thickness: 0.6 mm; gantry angle $0^\circ$). The head was positioned in the scanner (Siemens SOMATOM Sensation 64 to obtain a projection perpendicular to the palate. The images achieved were subsequently stored into a PACS (Picture Archiving and Communication System). Each CT image set was stored to create a database of Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) files. This database was loaded into a Virtual Reality System, known as Dextroscope (Dextroscope; Volume Interactions Pte. Ltd., Singapore) to build up a tridimensional model of the head. The flap was finally removed and the total area of the dissected flap was measured (Figure 3).

Figure 2. A) Computed tomographic analysis of the lateral nasal wall by Osirix. The first step was to find the sphenopalatine foramen. The second point was chosen at the floor of the nasal cavity inferior to the first point at the same X coordinate. Third point corresponded to the anterior-inferior limit of the flap. The forth and fifth points were chosen over the anterior border of the ascending maxillary process and the posterior aspect of the lacrimal bone, respectively. B) Computed tomographic analysis of the nasal floor. The first point was to find the sphenopalatine foramen. The second point was the posterior aspect of the nasal septum. The forth and fifth points was chosen over the piriform aperture.
mucoperiosteum proceeded in an anterior to posterior direction while the neurovascular pedicle was preserved. The perforation edges were rimmed to obtain fresh margins and the flap was sutured with absorbable suture to the surrounding tissue (Figures 4A, B). Silastic sheets were inserted to support the flap and to prevent adhesions. The floor and the contralateral side of the flap were left bare for closure by secondary intention. Patients were advised to use saline douches to minimize crust formation. Division of the pedicle and suture of the posterior margin of the flap, under general anesthesia, were done 3 months postoperatively.

Cadaver study
Ten injected fresh cadaver specimens were enrolled in this anatomical study (5 females and 5 males). A total of 20 hemi-cranial sagittal sections were analysed. The anterior-posterior length of the PLNW flap as well as the distance between the sphenopalatine foramen and piriform aperture were measured (Figure 5).

Statistical analysis
Statistics were performed with the SPSS 20.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) software. Since our data using Bonferroni correction did not assume normal distribution, a non-parametric test (Wilcoxon test) was used. After completion of measurements, the averages, and standard deviations were calculated with a 95% confidence interval. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Radiological study
2D projection
Table 1 summarizes all radiological anatomy measurements of 40 CTAs related to the potential donor site and nasal septum, correlating them to specific illustrations. Since there were no significant differences in length, width, and area flap or septum area between males and females, the total measurements were analysed (Table 1). The CT scan study demonstrated an average lateral flap of 10.80 ± 1.13 cm² and nasal floor of 3.78 ± 0.58 cm².

On the other hand, the septal area (22.54 ± 21.32 cm², p < 0.05) was significantly larger than the total PLNW flap area (14.59 ± 1.21 cm²). The average length of the flap was 5.58 ± 0.39 cm while the septum was 6.66 ± 0.42 cm. Subsequently, the PLNW flap is insufficient to reconstruct the entire anterior-posterior aspect of the septum.

To measure the optimum size and location of the septal perforation that might be closed by PLNW flap without damaging the pedicle, we calculated the percentage of the anterior and posterior PLNW flaps (5.58 cm) that could cover the septum (6.66 cm). The measurements showed that the posterior PLNW flap could cover 83.8% of the posterior aspect of the septum while the anterior PLNW may cover 83.8% of the anterior aspect, avoiding excessive flap tension that may produce ischemia and reperforation. Arbitrarily, 5 mm were added to each approach to allow for some flap retraction that may happen during the scarring. It is possible, however, to use two PLNW flaps for septal repair, thus circumventing this problem. Combined anterior and posterior PLNW flaps from both sides (5.58 x 2 = 11.16 cm) could be an alternative approach for symptomatic total septum perforation (6.66 cm). Under this condition, there was no need to perform a total PLNW dissection and thereby preventing pedicle damage.

3D projection
Nasal mucosa covering the lateral wall and floor of the nose was called “fixed” surface while the mucosa of the inferior turbinate was called “free” surface (Figure 3D). The area of the real dissected PLNW flap was 27.1 cm². The total 3D estimated donor site (free and fixed surfaces) was 31.2 cm², however, the total 2D was 14.9 cm² (the area between 5 points and the floor of the nose). This result demonstrates that the 3D estimated surface is larger than the 2D surface. Moreover, the 2D area is smaller than a real
Table 1. All measurements related to the pedicle lateral nasal wall flap, nasal floor, and nasal septum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval Range</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral Wall Flap Portion (cm²)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>10.55-11.57</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>10.06-11.03</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal Floor Flap Portion (cm²)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.56-4.23</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>3.41-3.95</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Flap Area (cm²)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>14.95</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>14.44-15.46</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>13.67-14.77</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of Flap (cm)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5.53-5.83</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>5.30-5.67</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of Nasal Floor (cm)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.26-1.42</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.23-1.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal Septum Area (cm²)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>22.54</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>19.28-23.81</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21.32</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>20.37-22.26</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of nasal Septum (cm)</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>6.38-6.69</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>6.49-6.88</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

flap (55%) and consequently, the estimated area measured by a 2D CT scan is quite reliable for surgical planning. Taking into account the distance between the lateral wall and nasal septum and that the flap may shrink by 20 to 40% over time, we estimate that any perforation up to half the size of the 2D CT scan could be closed by a PLNW flap.

Clinical study
Three patients (55.7 ± 7.5 years; 2 males and 1 female) with large septal perforation (34.0 ± 3.0 mm, large diameter) were operated on and complete closure of their defect was achieved. All perforations were located in the area of the anterior-mid septum. The donor site was left open for closure by secondary intention during 3 months. All patients complained of variable degrees of nasal obstruction due to crusts mainly on the flap side.

Cadaver study
Hemi-cranial sagittal sections of fresh cadavers were analysed (10 males and 10 females). The anterior-posterior length of the PLNW flap was 5.28 ± 0.40 cm without significant differences between males (5.44 ± 0.37 cm) and females (5.12 ± 0.42 cm). These results are similar to those found in the radiological study (male 5.68 ± 0.35 and females 5.49 ± 0.42) demonstrating that measurements obtained from CT scan are reliable data. Moreover, the distance between the sphenopalatine foramen and the piriform aperture was 4.54 ± 0.48 cm without significant differences between males (4.36 ± 0.43 cm) and females (4.72 ± 0.50 cm). The resulting distances and areas of our study were similar to the anatomical measures in the study of Lang et al. \[17\]. The total lateral wall length as measured by Lang was 5.18 cm (range from 3.91 to 6.21 cm / male 4.80 and female 4.70 cm).

Discussion
Several important points are the result from our study: measurements obtained from 2D and 3D CT scan are reliable data and the clinical study support the approachability of the PLNW flap; the flap is not large enough to reconstruct a total septum perforation; however, up to 83% of the anterior or posterior nasal perforation can be repaired with a PLNW; the combined use of anterior and posterior PLNW flaps yields a tension-free closure and may be an alternative method for extended septal reconstruction in case of symptomatic patients.

The length and area of the flap in our study were similar to anatomical measures from the Lang et al. study \[17\]. Since the PLNW alone cannot repair a total septal perforation that reaches the columella, the authors propose the use of double anterior
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and posterior flaps to rebuild a new septum. In some clinical cases, using the PLNW, we could achieve a partial or near total closure resulting in the resolution of nasal crusting and nasal obstruction.

Endoscopic expanded skull base approaches to the skull base techniques that have been greatly improved over the past several years. The expansion of surgical indications and the subsequent clinical scenarios have propelled a search for alternative flaps and other methods of reconstruction. Although some of the perforations remain unnoticed by the patients, they may lead to impairment of airflow and are accompanied by a wide variety of symptoms such as nasal obstruction, crusting, discharge, dryness, recurrent epistaxis, pain, and whistling. Because the goals of reconstruction are to create a new septum and consequently eliminate crusting, ameliorate breath difficulty, and avoid aesthetic changes, the dimensions of the flap must be adequate to cover almost all of the defect.

The current medical literature describes countless techniques for closing septal perforations, which may be a reflection of the complexity of any such surgical undertaking. Complete anatomical closure of symptomatic total septal perforations is still challenging; usually the outcome is only partially successful and further surgery is needed. Repair of septal perforation can be performed using either closed or open approaches. The former is less invasive, minimizes healing time due to rapid mucosализation, and does not leave any external scars, but it is a more difficult technique due to the limited exposure of the surgical field. The difficulty of performing mucosal suturing via an endonasal approach limits the possibility of applying conventional surgical techniques that are used in open approaches, such as pericranium flap, temporal muscle, etc. Patients in whom local advancement flaps from the septum have failed need flaps from other areas. Those who have had extensive previous septal surgery with cartilage removal are no candidates for local advancement flaps.

Historically, pedicled inferior turbinate flaps have been used for the closure of small nasal septal perforations. Larger perforations are not suitable to be addressed with this flap. Understanding the potential dimensions of the septal defect and PLNW flaps vascularization aids the surgeon to achieve the best possible outcome. The size and location of nasal perforation should be considered when planning to repair the septum. PLNW flaps have a rich vascular supply based on the branch of the posterolateral nasal artery that, in turn, is a branch of the sphenopalatine artery. Branches of the facial and ethmoidal arteries provide supply for the anterior PLNW.

Anatomical dissections of fresh cadaver specimens demonstrated that the inferior turbinate mucosal flap provides 4.97 cm². However, our radiological study demonstrates that additional mucosa extending beyond the lateral nasal wall, including the mucoperiosteum of the inferior lateral wall, inferior meatus, nasal floor, and Agger Nasi can be harvested to increase the surface area of the PLNW up to 14.5 cm². Consequently, the surface area of these enlarged flaps may be three times greater than the inferior turbinate flap.

The advantages of the PLNW flap are abundant blood supply and easy rotation. Additionally, the flap consists of respiratory tract mucosa, which allows the repaired septum to achieve normal physiology. The major disadvantage of the PLNW is the requirement for a second procedure to release the pedicle. Another issue is that the flap is bulky and may cause partial nasal obstruction. In addition, the donor surface of the flap must heal by secondary intention producing crusting during the healing process. Previous studies using inferior turbinate demonstrated no signs of atrophic rhinitis or ozaena secondary to the use of turbinate flap.

This study is the first attempt in the literature to obtain PLNW flap measurements in reference to its potential to repair a septal perforation. Standardization may, however, not be possible because of the anatomical variations.

**Study limitations**

Landmarks and areas measured by the CT scan study don’t exactly match with endoscopic findings. The middle meatus between the upper aspect of the inferior turbinate and the lower edge of the uncinate process (maxillary sinus fontanelle) has membranous tissue and usually it is difficult to dissect. However, the mucosa of the medial aspect of the inferior meatus (area not calculated due to turbinate collapse and overlapping with lateral mucosa of the inferior meatus) could be the compensatory area of the fontanelle.

Although dimensions of the PLNW flap are suitable to repair septal perforation, there is no evidence that the blood supply is appropriate for the whole flap areas. Further studies, using quantitative assessment of perfusion and vascular feasibility by angiography, are recommended to validate our pilot study.

**Conclusions**

Reconstruction of huge or near total septal defects remains a challenging problem. Using pedicled mucoperiosteal flaps allows for maintaining normal nasal physiology. The current study demonstrates that anterior and posterior PLNW flaps are viable alternatives to repair symptomatic large defects, and each one may cover approximately up to three quarters of the nasal septum. Familiarity with nasal blood supply and understanding of the potential dimensions of the septal defect allow for more op-
tions to correct a difficult problem. This radio-anatomical study demonstrates that CT scan data and clinical practice support the approximability of PLNW to repair large septal perforation.
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