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Abstract

Background: Topical medication is increasingly used following functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). Information on particle sizes that maximise maxillary sinus (MS) delivery is conflicting, and the effect of antrostomy size on delivery is unclear. The purpose of this study was to estimate antrostomy and particle size effects on topical MS drug delivery.

Methodology: Sinonasal reconstructions were created from a pre- and a post-FESS CT scan in each of four chronic rhinosinusitis patients. Additional models were created from each post-FESS reconstruction representing four alternative antrostomy sizes. Airflow and particle deposition were simulated in each reconstruction using computational fluid dynamics for nebulised and sprayed delivery.

Results: MS ventilation and drug delivery increased following FESS, the largest virtual antrostomy led to greatest delivery, and MS delivery was sensitive to particle size. Particles within a 5-18 µm and 5-20 µm size range led to peak MS deposition for nebulised and sprayed particles, respectively. Post-FESS increases in drug delivery varied across individuals and within individuals by the type of antrostomy created.

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that FESS, particularly with larger antrostomies, improves topical drug delivery, and that certain particle sizes improve this delivery. Further research is needed to contextualise these findings with other post-surgical effects.
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Introduction

Functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) is commonly performed in chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) patients who fail medical management (1). Goals of FESS are to improve mucociliary clearance and ventilation by removing obstructive diseased tissue (2), and FESS is thought to improve topical drug delivery (3,4). The ostiomeatal complex (OMC) is an important region in the function of the anterior ethmoid, frontal, and maxillary sinuses. Surgical treatment of this region includes uncinectomy, maxillary antrostomy, and if needed, ethmoidectomy. However, maxillary antrostomy in FESS is not a standardised procedure (5), and may range from a “small hole” middle meatal antrostomy, to extensive enlargement of the ostium along with resection of the posterior half of the inferior turbinate, akin to a medial maxillectomy (6,7).

Topical approaches can provide locally higher drug concentrations while minimising side effects associated with systemic delivery of antimicrobials and corticosteroids (8), and topical steroids are commonly utilised in pre-FESS and post-FESS patients as part of routine medical management (4,9). There is also interest in topical antibiotic delivery to the sinonasal mucosa (10). While a recent position paper states that topical antibiotics cannot be routinely recommended because of a lack of evidence regarding efficacy (3), it is unclear to what extent those results are due to ineffective delivery.
Topical drugs can be delivered by nasal drops, spray devices, nebulisation, and irrigation. High volume irrigation effectively removes bacteria, biofilms, and inflammatory by-products, and may produce better coverage of the post-operative sinonasal passages than sprays (13,12). However, the role of nasal irrigation in drug delivery is less clear (15). Because the retention of irrigated fluids is less than 5% (16) and mucosal contact time during irrigation is short, the dose of medication that a patient receives is likely to be larger with more traditional methods such as sprays (16). This study therefore did not include nasal irrigation as an established method of topical nasal drug delivery. Traditional spray devices produce droplets in approximately the 50-100 μm range (13), and deposit predominantly in the anterior nose (15). Despite this limitation, the efficacy of nasal steroid sprays in the treatment of CRS is well established (16). While there are a variety of nebuliser devices utilising mechanisms such as passive diffusion, vortices, or pulsation, their particles are smaller than from spray pumps, within a 1-30 μm size range (13,16). Nebulised particles achieve greater penetration past the nasal valve and distribution throughout the sinonasal cavity than sprayed particles, and this has been attributed to their smaller size and lower velocity (13,17).

Despite common use of various topical medications to treat CRS, drug delivery to the maxillary sinus (MS) is not well understood. Several studies have shown greater drug deposition in post-operative sinuses than pre-operatively (2,18), but results differ on optimal particle sizes for delivery. Experimental studies of nebulised particles have suggested that sizes maximising post-operative sinus deposition are either < 1 μm (16) or 3-10 μm (19). One experimental study of sprayed particles determined that 5.6 μm resulted in higher delivery to the post-operative paranasal sinuses than 16.4 μm (20). However, limitations of these experimental studies, including use of only a few particle sizes and measurement in cadavers (16), molds (19,20), or single individuals (19), makes generalisation difficult.

Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations produce reliable (21,22), easily quantifiable information and have been widely utilised in studying aerosol deposition within the nasal passages (23,24-27). CFD approaches have recently been used to examine aerosol delivery to the post-operative MS in particular (26,28), though only in single individuals and the results of Abouali and colleagues (28) were based on virtual modification of a healthy individual.

The goal of this preliminary study was to extend a prior study of maxillary sinus ventilation in pre- and post-surgical states of four CRS patients (18) to investigate the effect of particle size on MS deposition of nebulised and sprayed particles. We sought to 1) determine maximal sinus deposition in each subject pre- and post-operatively and specific particle sizes associated with that deposition, and 2) use virtual surgery models to estimate the effect of a range of possible antrostomies on the magnitude of maximal sinus deposition and the range of particle sizes at which those peaks occur.

Materials and methods

Patient recruitment and treatment

As described previously (19), four patients with CRS undergoing FESS after failing maximal medical management were recruited to participate in this prospective study, and all provided written, informed consent as required and approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of North Carolina Hospitals. To ensure a homogenous study population, only patients without polyps and with sinus disease limited to the OMC region with no frontal or sphenoid sinus involvement were included. Subject 1 had bilateral disease and underwent bilateral FESS, while all other subjects had unilateral disease and FESS on that side only. Each subject received a fine-cut (≤ 0.6 mm) pre-FESS CT scan of their paranasal sinuses as part of standard care. Since nasal air-tissue contrast is clearer in CT scans than in MRI scans, and to avoid confounding effects of differing imaging modalities, an additional fine-cut axial CT scan was obtained at least 12 weeks post-FESS in each subject using a cone beam scanner following the “as low as reasonably achievable” protocol.

Sinonasal model construction

Mimics™ 13.1 (Materialise, Inc., Plymouth, MI, USA) software was used to generate anatomically realistic 3D reconstructions of the nasal and paranasal sinus airways from the pre- and post-operative CT scans. In each scan, the airspace was selected by setting a maximum threshold of -300 to -351 Hounsfield units based on visual inspection. The resulting pixel selection was then hand-edited to achieve anatomical accuracy. All four subjects received an uncinctomy, maxillary antrostomy, with possible ethmoidectomy, resulting in “standard” maxillary antrostomies of similar sizes (1.08 to 1.60 cm³) (29). Each post-FESS model was then digitally altered using Mimics™ to create four other antrostomy types: “large” created by extending the post-surgery antrostomy to the posterior wall of the MS while keeping the standard superior and inferior boundaries; “mega” extended from the large antrostomy by removing the posterior portion of the medial wall of the MS to the floor of the sinus along with resection of the posterior half of the inferior turbinate; and two miniature antrostomies, representing either superior-anterior, “SupAnt,” or inferior-posterior, “InfPost,” partial uncinectomies using the pre-surgical medial maxillary wall as a guide (Figure 1). These miniature antrostomies were omitted in Subject 4 due to the presence of an accessory ostium.

The 3D reconstructions were exported from Mimics™ in stereolithography file format and imported into the computer-aided design and meshing software ICEM-CFD™ 12.1 (ANSYS,
Canonsburg, PA, USA). MS region(s) was marked in each model for regional quantification of particle deposition (Figure 2). A 2-cm outlet tube was added to the nasopharynx to provide fully developed outlet flow for numerical stabilisation, and nostril and outlet planes were defined.

To solve fluid flow and particle trajectory equations, unstructured tetrahedral meshes with prism layers at the air-tissue boundary were created using ICEM-CFD™. Mesh quality analysis was performed to ensure that distorted (low quality) elements did not impact the accuracy of numerical solutions. Sensitivity analysis on outlet flow and average pressure at the posterior septum showed that the use of a computational mesh consisting of approximately 4 million graded tetrahedral elements resulted in mesh independent airflow results.

### Airflow and particle simulations

Airflow simulations were performed using the CFD software Fluent™ 12.1.4 (ANSYS, Inc.) under laminar, steady-state flow conditions in the inspiratory direction. Resting flow rates were estimated for each patient by doubling the minute volume predicted by the weight-based curves as described by Garcia et al. \(^{[31]}\) and driven by a fixed negative pressure drop from nostrils to outlet. Pressure drops were determined separately for pre- and post-FESS models in each patient, and the post-surgical pressure drop was used for all virtual surgery models. Boundary conditions included setting pressure at the nostrils to zero, setting flow velocity to zero at the tissue boundaries, and assigning driving pressure drops at the outlet, using pressure-inlet, no-slip, and pressure-outlet boundary types, respectively. Airflow allocation to the MS was calculated as the percent of total airflow through the nasal passage on the operated side that circulated through the MS.

Particle trajectories were simulated using the Discrete Phase Model in Fluent™ with a Lagrangian tracking scheme. Particles were assumed to be spherical and have unit density. To be consistent with prior literature \(^{[23,28]}\), particles were assumed to behave like aqueous droplets and expected to adhere to nasal walls on contact. Therefore particles touching the wall were considered trapped, and those reaching the outlet or contacting the tube were considered to have escaped the nasal passages. Particles trapped within the MS were recorded as a fraction of total number of particles released from the nostril on that side. Simulated particle deposition presented in this study was reported only where these particles initially deposited, and no interactions between particles and mucociliary clearance mechanisms were simulated.

To simulate nebulised delivery, particles were released evenly across the nostril plane with no initial velocity. Particle aerodynamic diameters were studied in 1-μm increments across a 1-30 μm size range discussed in the literature \(^{[13,16]}\). Additional mesh sensitivity analysis conducted on total nasal deposition fraction (DF) indicated that the use of four 0.1mm-thick prism layers produced mesh-independent particle deposition results. A sensitivity analysis of both MS and total nasal DF to the number of particles released across the nostril plane was performed, indicating that 10,000 particles released per nostril plane was sufficient for results independent of particle number. Using similar parameters as previous studies \(^{[24,27,28,32]}\), idealised spray simulations were performed under the same flow conditions.
Particle diameters were studied in 5-μm increments from 5-110 μm, approximating the range of particle sizes produced by typical spray devices (33), similar to the range used in other CFD studies (27,28,32). The spray cone angle was set to 68˚ and 1,000 particles released to represent each cone. An initial velocity of 3 m/s was given to sprayed particles, representing the lower end of the 1.5-14.7 m/s range found in commercial products (34), as previous studies have indicated poor penetration past the nasal valve at higher velocities (24). Because of random particle distribution within the cones, reported results represent the average of five simulations based on previous work by Frank et al. (27,32). A lattice of approximately 50 spray release points was constructed to represent the physical limits of comfortable nozzle use (24). A 1-mm gap was left along the lateral wall to account for nozzle width (Figure 3). The direction of each spray was defined from the nostril centre point to each release point. Because Kimbell and colleagues found that penetration of sprayed particles past the nasal valve varied significantly depending on the position and direction of release (24), spray results are reported as an aggregate from all release points. A spray nozzle was not included in the models.

**Results**

**MS airflow**

As indicated in our prior report (30), airflow allocation to the MS before surgery was essentially zero, except in the case of Subject 4 who had an accessory ostium. Following surgery and virtual modifications to the maxillary antrostomy, this allocation ranged from 0.2% to 50.5% of the total airflow on the side where surgery or virtual alteration was done. In all individuals, each increase in size above a miniature antrostomy always led to increased allocation (Figure 4).

**Particle deposition**

Almost no nebulised or sprayed particles deposited in the pre-surgery sinuses. For both modes of delivery, MS DF was less than 0.05% in all cases except Subject 4 in which there was an accessory ostium. In Subject 4, pre-surgery MS DF peaked at 1.1% for nebulised particles and 0.3% for sprays aggregated over all potential release points explored here. Particle sizes that maximised nebulised MS DF ranged from 7 to 16 μm among the four subjects in this preliminary study and were similar to those that maximised sprayed MS DF (5-20 μm; Figure 5). Peak nebulised MS DF ranged from 0.5% to 4.9% and sprayed MS DF ranged from 0.6% to 2.0%.

In the virtual modifications, particle sizes that maximised MS DF were similar to those for post-surgery, ranging from 5-18 μm and 5-20 μm for nebulised and sprayed delivery, respectively. None of these sizes in the virtual cases differed by more than 4 μm with nebulised particles or 10 μm with sprayed from those
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Figure 3. Side view of the anterior nasal airway illustrating our spray setup. The black spherical component represents all regions within the nose at a depth of 1 cm from the centre of the nostril plane, and the white region is the area of spray release in a sweep from 75° to 30° from the horizontal with a 1-mm lateral border. The lattice of release points is shown by the dots within the white region in the 2D representation of the release surface. Tip of a spray nozzle only included for visualisation. Figure modified from Kimbell et al. (24).
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Figure 4. Ostium/antrostomy surface area and airflow allocation to the maxillary sinus for pre-surgery, post-surgery (our standard antrostomy) and each of the virtual surgeries (InfPost, SupAnt, Large, Mega; see text for description) for all four subjects. Maxillary sinus (MS) flow allocation is expressed as a percent of the total airflow through the nasal passage on the operated side.
that maximised MS DF in the standard antrostomy. The mega antrostomy resulted in the highest nebulised and sprayed MS DF in all subjects, but gains over peak post-surgery MS DF were variable, ranging from 0.4 to 16.7 percentage points for nebulised and from 0.1 to 26.5 percentage points for sprayed particles. The miniature antrostomies consistently resulted in the least deposition of nebulised and sprayed particles among the virtual modifications for all subjects (Figures 6 and 7). While the largest antrostomy consistently resulted in highest peak deposition, increasing antrostomy size did not always lead to increased peak deposition. In 3 of 5 cases, increasing antrostomy size from the standard to large actually decreased peak deposition for both modes of delivery.

Discussion

MS airflow
In agreement with previous work, increasing the size of the natural ostium was shown to increase the distribution of airflow into the MS (30,35-37). However, a cadaveric sheep study by Bruemund et al. found no further significant increase in MS airflow from increasing antrostomy size from 2-4 mm to 6-9 mm (37), and a CFD study by Zang et al. demonstrated little change in MS airflow between antrostomies 8 to 15 mm in size (38). Our results suggest that larger antrostomies may further increase airflow, resulting in a substantial portion of the total nasal airflow entering the MS. This discrepancy may be because the size of our antrostomies was somewhat greater than in these other studies.

Particle deposition
Our study builds on existing evidence comparing pre- and post-FESS delivery. In a cadaveric study, St Martin et al. found a significant increase in post-surgical deposition to the MS using particles with a mass mean aerodynamic diameter of 1 µm, although deposition occurred in both pre- and post-states (18). In another cadaver study, Harvey et al. found that FESS significantly improves delivery to the MS using three different delivery devices (2). Likewise, the CFD study of nebulised delivery by Abouali et al. found that FESS provides an approximately 10-fold increase in drug delivery to the MS (29). Only Hwang et al., in comparing normal subjects and post-FESS patients, found less paranasal sinus delivery in the post-surgical cohort using a vortex nebuliser (39).

Our prediction that there is essentially no drug delivery to the MS in the absence of a patent accessory ostium across our entire spectrum of particle sizes is consistent with these reports. The magnitude of delivery post-FESS was generally modest, up to 4.9% with nebulised and 2.0% with sprayed, which is comparable to the magnitude of delivery found in other CFD studies (28,29). While we would anticipate that the ethmoid and frontal sinuses would also be more accessible to topical medication post-FESS, we did not attempt to quantify these changes in the present study although similar methods could be applied to do so. It has been established that since smaller particles have less inertia,
they tend to follow streamlines and largely bypass the nasal passages, whereas larger particles deposit in anterior regions of the nose due to inertial impaction \(^{(19)}\). These findings imply that the particle size for maxillary delivery should be small enough to follow streamlines and penetrate past the nasal valve, but also large enough to deposit within the nasal passages. The study by Abouali and colleagues, which involved a virtual uncinectomy and middle meatal antrostomy of a healthy volunteer, investigated a similar method of delivering nebulised microparticles of several sizes and found that peak MS deposition occurred with 10 µm particles \(^{(29)}\). Likewise, Chen and colleagues also found a peak MS deposition with 10 µm particles from a simulated sprayed delivery in a single, post-surgical patient \(^{(28)}\). Our nebulised size range of 5-16 µm agrees with the above reports suggesting 10 µm, and is somewhat larger than the approximately 1 and 5.67 µm recommended sizes from experimental studies \(^{(16,20)}\). This size range was consistent for sprayed particles at 5-20 µm, with possible implications for targeting this size range by delivery devices to improve therapeutic drug delivery. MS deposition is highly sensitive to particle size, and the ‘ideal’ particle size varies both across individuals, and to a lesser degree, by the type of antrostomy created.

Hyo et al. predicted that deposition in the MS is function of the particle size, pressure gradient between the nasal cavity and sinus, and ostium size; with ostium size likely having the dominant effect \(^{(19)}\). In agreement with this hypothesis, our study found that the largest virtual antrostomy resulted in the greatest particle deposition. Counter to expectations, however, the large antrostomy resulted in less MS deposition than the standard antrostomy in the majority of nebulised and sprayed cases. In other cases, only a marginal gain was predicted relative to a standard post-FESS by creating a mega antrostomy. These findings suggest competing forces that drive MS delivery between increasing ventilation and increasing antrostomy surface area.

Limitations

Our study involves a number of simplifications and limitations. Most of the patients in our group had unilateral sinus disease and FESS (3 of 4), which may not be generalizable to patients with bilateral FESS. This study is too small to make any statistical inference that these groups may have different outcomes with respect to particle deposition. Particles were assumed to be spherical in shape, and effects of evaporation or particle coagulation were not addressed. Consistent with Hahn and colleagues’ findings under moderate flow conditions \(^{(41)}\), our study utilised laminar flow conditions. Whether larger antrostomies alter this flow condition has not been investigated. Additionally, this study used steady-state airflow; how maxillary deposition would differ under time-dependent flow is another area for future work.

Our particle transport simulations predicted only initial deposition locations and did not consider effects of mucociliary activity or other “runoff” effects on subsequent particle transport, factors that could affect post-surgical outcome. Similar to other CFD studies, the effects of facial features and spray nozzle on airflow and particle distribution were ignored. However, a recent study by Li et al. demonstrated that inclusion of an external face may alter flow patterns and increase particle deposition \(^{(29)}\). Likewise, Inthavong et al. found that a spray nozzle created swirling vortices that increased particle dispersion \(^{(21)}\).

Although this study demonstrated that larger antrostomies may optimise topical drug delivery, there are other important considerations in deciding which antrostomy to perform that were not addressed. As the MS is naturally shielded from airflow by the uncinate process, the alterations in airflow to the MS resulting from the larger antrostomies may have significant effects for such issues as increasing mucosal drying or delivery of allergens. Further research is needed to provide context for our findings on drug delivery with these other important considerations before translating this work to clinical practice.

**Conclusions**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the largest CFD study of topical drug delivery to the MS to date and presents preliminary quantitative findings on MS delivery of both nebulised and sprayed medication in pre-, post-, and virtual FESS cases. Deposition behaviour was further characterised by the size of the drug particles used in each scenario. Based on the above results of this preliminary study, we conclude that:

1. Our study supports the greater feasibility of topical medical therapy for the post-surgical MS. We have expanded on previous studies by suggesting that larger antrostomies generally increase drug delivery, although there appear to be competing mechanisms for mid-sized antrostomies.

2. Drug delivery to the MS is highly sensitive to particle size. In order for deposition to occur in the post-surgical sinus, appropriately sized particles must be used. Peak delivery occurred with particle sizes within 5-18 µm and 5-20 µm ranges for nebulised and sprayed delivery, respectively. Because of this variability, it may be beneficial to use particles across these size ranges rather than targeting a single size.

3. We saw considerable inter-individual variability in post-FESS delivery, and within an individual based on antrostomy size. While it is unknown what constitutes clinically significant delivery, our results suggest that topical medication may not be a viable option in all patients, or with certain antrostomy types in a given individual insofar as delivery to the MS is concerned. By predicting the degree of drug delivery that can be anticipated for a given combination of individual sinonasal anatomy and antrostomy size, use of a CFD environment may have a role in clinical decision making about the use of topical medication post-FESS.
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