

Plastinated nasal model: a new concept of anatomically realistic cast*

Marc Durand^{1,2,3,4}, Jérémie Pourchez^{3,5}, Bruno Louis⁶, Jean-François Pouget⁷, Daniel Isabey⁶, André Coste⁶, Jean-Michel Prades^{2,3,4,8}, Philippe Rusch^{2,3,4,8}, Michèle Cottier^{2,3,4,8}

¹ Centre Hospitalier Emile Roux, ENT center, Le Puy en Velay, France

² Université Jean Monnet, Faculté de Médecine, IFR Inserm 143, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

³ LINA, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

⁴ Université de Lyon, F-42023, Saint-Etienne, France

⁵ Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Étienne, Centre Ingénierie et Santé, IFR Inserm 143, Saint-Etienne, France

⁶ INSERM U955, Institut Mondor de Recherche Biomédicale, Biomécanique Cellulaire et Respiratoire, PRES Paris-Est, Université Paris 12, Faculté de Médecine, Créteil, France

⁷ Clinique Mutualiste, Saint-Etienne, France

⁸ CHU de Saint-Etienne, F-42055, Saint-Etienne, France

SUMMARY

Background: For many years, researchers have been interested in investigating airflow and aerosol deposition in the nasal cavities. The nasal airways appear to be a complex geometrical system. Thus, *in vitro* experimental studies are frequently conducted with a more or less biomimetic nasal replica.

Aim: This study is devoted to the development of an anatomically realistic nose model with bilateral nasal cavities, i.e. nasal anatomy, airway geometry and aerodynamic properties as close as possible to *in vivo* behaviour.

Methods: A specific plastination technique of cephalic extremities was developed by the Anatomy Laboratory at the Saint-Etienne University in the last 10 years. The plastinated models obtained were anatomically, geometrically and aerodynamically validated using several techniques (endoscopy, CT scans, acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry).

Results: Our plastination model exhibited a high level of anatomic quality, including a very good mucosa preservation. Aerodynamical and geometrical investigations highlighted a global behaviour of plastinated models perfectly in accordance with a nasal decongested healthy subject.

Conclusions: The present plastination model provides a realistic cast of nasal airways, and may be a useful tool for nasal flow, drug delivery and aerosol deposition studies.

Keywords: anatomic model, nasal airway cast, plastination, maxillary sinuses

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, nebulization is the preferred route for drug delivery in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. As therapeutic agents can be delivered directly to the respiratory tract, the inhaled route offers smaller doses to be used and a more rapid onset of action compared to systemic therapy. In this context, the practice of nasal drug delivery by nebulization is also widely used in otorhinolaryngology, even if there is a lack of reliable data concerning the evaluation of its efficacy⁽¹⁾. To more accurately define the relevance of nasal drug delivery, a better understanding of the deposition of nebulized drugs in the human nasal cavity is required. Aerosol deposition may be evaluated using different nasal replica.

In broad outline, three main families of human nasal casts can

be distinguished: “pipe models”⁽²⁻⁴⁾, plastic replicas^(5,6) and models obtained from cadavers⁽⁷⁾. Unfortunately, these usual experimental casts show specific restrictions: “pipe models” may not adequately mimic the anatomy of the human cavity, plastic replicas can suffer from a lack of thin anatomical details (such as the sinus ostium morphology), and models from cadavers induce issues of time stability and biosecurity.

Thus, we propose to create a new concept of functional human plastinated nasal cast. Plastination permits the preservation of anatomical specimens in a physical state approaching that of the living condition. This technique was introduced by Dr. Gunther von Hagens in the end of 1970s⁽⁸⁾. This process consists in replacing water and lipids in biological tissue by

curable polymers. Then, polymers are hardened resulting in dry, odorless and durable anatomic specimen. Nevertheless, plastination is usually restricted to descriptive and topographic studies of anatomy. That is why, the development of a specific plastination protocol is needed to create a plastinated human model devoted to functional studies (e.g. airflow and aerosol deposition experiments). To assess the relevance of our new concept of plastinated nasal models, some preliminary *in vitro* studies were performed. A first study highlights scintigraphic images of plastinated casts using a technetium (^{99m}Tc)-labelled solution to investigate the penetration of aerosols inside maxillary sinuses⁽⁹⁾. A second one allows to validate the ability of a Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) software describing pressure drop and flow⁽¹⁰⁾.

The technical specifications of the plastinated nasal model to develop are: anatomical features as close as possible to *in vivo* human airways, time-stability to perform an experimental campaign during several years, water-washable to clean the specimen between tests, accessibility of the maxillary sinuses to assess the aerosol deposition, easy handling daily, dry and odourless, biologically safe and transportable without restricted constraints. This study presents an original plastination protocol as well as clinical, geometric and aerodynamic characterisation of plastinated nasal airways models using several techniques (endoscopy, CT scans, acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nasal specimens

Three nasal specimens were successively plastinated. We used adult heads, one female (specimen 1) and two males (specimens 2 and 3). On specimens 2 and 3, we carefully cut away the lateral wall of the maxillary sinuses leading to access inside. Different steps successively occurred (Table 1) during the plastination process: anatomical sampling, section, fixation, dissection, dehydration and degreasing, polymer forced impregnation in a vacuum, and then curing and polymerization.

Fixation and anatomical dissection

The first step of plastination consisted in anatomical sampling from a cadaver donated to the Anatomy Laboratory of Saint-Etienne University. The cadavers were obtained from deceased men or women whose last will and testament documented the

wish to leave the body to the Anatomy Laboratory. The cadavers were clinically checked by a qualified ENT specialist prior to the anatomical sampling. After freezing the specimen at -80°C , a lateral-paramedian section of the cephalic extremity was carried out. This section allows to access of the maxillary sinuses, which nevertheless keep a normal volume and aerodynamic behaviour. Besides, working only with this section offers also a significant time gain during the plastination procedure because of a fast penetration of solvents into the anatomical specimen. During the fixation step, the specimen was embalmed by immersion in a 10% vol. formaldehyde solution so as to halt decomposition. Long fixation duration, around 3 months, was generally necessary to avoid tissue retraction phenomena during the polymer forced impregnation stage. The temperature was maintained at 5°C during this fixation process.

Dehydration and delipidation

Removal of fat and water from tissues of fixed specimen was the stage in which the specimens were immersed, under freezing conditions at -25°C , in several successive baths of pure acetone. The acetone was used as a degreasing and dehydration agent because this solvent was able to draw out all fats and water and replace them inside the cells. The specimens were passed through several baths of acetone until water and lipids content of the last acetone solution was less than 1%. Therefore, at least 4 baths of pure acetone were required to fully delipidate a cephalic extremity before sectioning was possible. The duration of each acetone bath varied between one and four weeks depending on the volume and tissue content of the specimen to be plastinated, as well as the bath number. Between 1 and 2 months were generally necessary to satisfactorily dehydrate and degrease a specimen.

Silicon forced impregnation

Polymer forced impregnation under vacuum conditions was the key principle of plastination. The temperature was always maintained at -25°C . We immersed the specimen in a silicon solution bath (S10 Biodur[®]) placed in a vacuum chamber. We gradually reduced the pressure until the acetone boiled. At this moment, acetone was vaporized and suctioned out of the tissue, and continuously extracted from the specimen. The resulting negative pressure causes the silicon solution to gradually permeate the tissue. A precise control of the depression applied



Table 1. Overall description of the plastination procedure developed

Main stages of the plastination process	Keypoints of each stage	Global duration of each stage
Anatomical sampling	Post-mortem deadline within 24 hours	~ 3 hours
Lateral section of specimens	Freezing at -80°C	~ 3 days
Fixation and dissection	Embalming by immersion at 5°C in a 10 % formaldehyde solution	~ 3 months
Dehydration and degreasing	At least 4 successive baths of pure acetone at -25°C	~ 1-2 months
Polymer vacuum-forced impregnation	Immersion of the specimen in a silicon bath at -25°C , well-controlled depression applied	~ 10-20 days
Polymer hardening	Two step curing process and frequently manicured of the specimen when polymer oozing	~ 2-3 months

versus time was therefore essential and necessitated specific know-how. The duration of the polymer forced impregnation was between 10 and 20 days.

Silicon curing

After this impregnation, a gas curing takes place to polymerize the silicon and thus to keep the polymer inside the specimen tissue. The gas curing was carried out at room temperature in a closed chamber. The hardening product (S6 Biodur®) was a liquid containing silicate with a high saturated vapor pressure. It evaporates and builds up a gaseous atmosphere inside the chamber. This active vapor reacts with the silicon at the specimen surface, and then the polymer begins side to side-linkage. Rapidly, the outer surface of the specimen was cured because of silicon polymerization. Over a period, the curing gas diffuses into the specimen and polymerization proceeds deeper. After one month contact with the curing gas in a close environment, a final curing step begins. This final curing aimed at totally hardening the center of the specimen.

Anatomical and aerodynamic characterisation of plastinated nasal models

The overall objective of the anatomical and aerodynamic characterisation consisted of:

- Checking by nasofiberscopy the preservation of nasal airway anatomy during the plastination protocol.
- Performing CT-scans observations of the final plastinated models to evaluate and improve the quality of the plastination procedure.
- Evaluating the reliability of nasal cavity geometry (determined by acoustic rhinometry) and airflow resistance (measured by rhinomanometry) of the final plastinated models compared to *in vivo* data known from the literature.

The clinical anatomy study by nasofiberscopic examinations (Machida flexible fiberscope, Japan) was performed in the three specimens during the plastination process: from the anatomical sampling stage on the cadavers to the final curing step. This monitoring allows early detection of any problem with the specimen during the 6 months duration of the plastination procedure. Plastinated nasal models were also characterised using tomodensitometry (General Electric Prospeed Advantage Scanner with Sun Sparc Solaris console) in the three specimens. These techniques were performed on plastinated models to evaluate the preservation of mucosa in the cast as well as to precisely define the geometrical characteristics of ostia and maxillary sinus cavities.

The geometry of nasal cavities was also characterised using acoustic rhinometry in specimens 2 and 3^(10,11). Briefly, the device consisted of two microphones (piezoresistive pressure transducers 8510 B; Endevco, France; Le Pré Saint-Gervais, France) and a horn driver mounted on a wave tube (inner diameter: 1.2 cm and overall length: 22 cm) connected at one end to a nostril of the model with a nosepiece, allowing tight closure of the nasal entrance. The horn driver generated an acoustic wave, and the two microphones recorded the result-

ing pressure. These digitized data were analysed to obtain the cross-sectional areas of the nasal airway as a function of the distance along the longitudinal axis, with a spatial step increment of $DL \approx 0.41$ cm. Each nasal fossa of the plastinated specimens was separately examined leading to the longitudinal area profiles from the tip of the nostril to the middle meatus region.

Finally, rhinomanometry was used to provide an objective quantification of nasal airway resistance in specimens 2 and 3. Steady flow was measured with a Fleisch pneumotachograph (Lausanne, Switzerland) coupled to a differential pressure transducer (Validyne DP45, Northridge, CA, USA) by short tubes allowing to estimate the pressure drop. Inspiratory flows for the three different gases were generated by a negative pressure generator made of a turbine rotating at a constant adjustable speed, which was connected to the nasopharyngeal extremity of the plastinated airway model. Each nasal cavity was investigated individually. The pressure difference and transnasal airflow were simultaneously measured. The aerodynamic resistance was defined as the ratio of pressure drop across the nose over the volume rate of nasal airflow, when the transnasal pressure reached 1 cmH₂O. To measure the airflow resistance of the right nasal cavity, maxillary sinus cavity were in "closed" position and the left nostril was occluded.

RESULTS

Overall observations

In this paper, we focused on 3 specimens allowing to exhibit the improvement of the plastination protocol with time (Figure 1). Moreover, we obviously examined an improvement of subcutaneous and mucosa preservation between specimens 1 and 3. As a matter of fact, specimen 1 perfectly showed a high tissue retraction because of bad polymer forced impregnation during the first tests. On the contrary, specimen 3 highlighted a very low tissue retraction and an astonishing preservation, certainly the closest possible to living tissue. Thus, these observations appeared as a sign of a significant improvement of the plastination procedure with time.

Nasofiberscopy

The clinical anatomy study showed that the plastinated nasal




Figure 1. Example of three plastinated nasal models elaborated thanks to our specific plastination procedure.



Figure 2. Nasofiberscopy examination of the plastinated specimen 2. Observation of a *concha bullosa* of the middle turbinates on the right nasal cavity.




Figure 3. Virtual endoscopy from CT scans of the plastinated specimen 2: Posterior view of choanae, visualization of nasal wall as well as the middle and inferior turbinates.

specimens 2 and 3 were very similar to living anatomical conditions daily observed by ENT physicians. In fact, the coloration was clearly checked and all anatomical details were well-preserved. As an example, we clearly found evidence in specimen 2 of a *concha bullosa* referring to the pneumatization of the middle turbinates of the right nasal cavity (Figure 2). Endoscopic observations also confirmed that the final plastination protocol, specimen 3, guarantees an excellent preservation of nasal airways anatomy.

Tomodensitometry

CT scans confirmed the high preservation of nasal airway anatomy of specimen 3. On the one hand, 3D reconstruction and virtual endoscopy from imaging data exhibited a high quality of an anatomy close to living conditions (Figure 3).

On the other hand, we emphasize a significant increase of the mucosa thickness on the turbinates of specimen 3 (Figures 3 and 4), thanks to the plastination procedure improvement. Finally, specimen 3 also exhibited very dissimilar maxillary sinus ostium morphologies (Figure 4). Indeed, while the right maxillary sinus ostium appeared as anatomically normal, the left maxillary sinus ostium was doubtless abnormally short and broad. In particular, the diameter of the left maxillary sinus ostium was three times larger compared to the right maxillary sinus ostium.

Acoustic rhinometry

Acoustic rhinometry was found to reasonably resolve the airways geometry of the plastinated casts. The comparison of areas obtained by acoustic reflexion and 3D reconstruction followed by image analysis using specimen 2, which is characterised by a pneumatised middle turbinate (i.e. a *concha bullosa*) in the right nasal cavity, were previously described⁽¹²⁾. Both methods provided a relatively good agreement mainly in the anterior part of the nose because of the acoustic method lead to overestimation of the area lying beyond the ostium. Specimen 3 was also investigated using the same techniques (Figure 5). In all plastinated specimens examined, we always found a minimal cross-sectional area around 0.5 cm² and a cross-sectional area higher than 1.5 cm² from the middle meatus region.

Rhinomanometry

We measured the resistance of each nasal cavity separately while the opposite nostril was occluded. From the pressure vs flow curves, the unilateral airflow resistances found on specimen 3, for both nasal cavities, were perfectly similar at 0.18 Pa.s.cm⁻³ (i.e. 1.8 cmH₂O.s.L⁻¹). The bilateral airflow resistance was measured at a lower value compared to unilateral resistances, around 0.13 Pa.s.cm⁻³. Similar measurements of airflow resistance was also performed on specimen 2 (Table 2). We found a rise of airflow resistance for the right nostril (0.21 versus 0.16 Pa.s.cm⁻³ for the left nasal cavity).

DISCUSSION

Plastinated nasal casts versus living noses

To validate this new concept of a realistic anatomical cast, the reliability of the plastinated nasal model should be thoroughly compared with the normal living anatomy as well as the *in vivo* geometric and aerodynamic data of a healthy subject. A plastinated model devoted to functional studies needs a specific plastination protocol. In fact, the main challenge of the plastination technique is to ensure a very low degree of tissue retraction. Therefore, high nasal mucosa preservation



Table 2. Comparison of airflow resistance investigated by rhinomanometry obtained on specimens 2 and 3.

	Specimen 2	Specimen 3
Bilateral	0.115 Pa.s.cm ⁻³	0.13 Pa.s.cm ⁻³
Right	0.21 Pa.s.cm ⁻³	0.18 Pa.s.cm ⁻³
left	0.16 Pa.s.cm ⁻³	0.18 Pa.s.cm ⁻³




Figure 4. CT scans performed on the specimen 3. Observation of the high preservation of the mucosa and of the different morphology of the maxillary ostia on both side.

remains a key point to provide conserved specimens with an appearance remaining close to the anatomy of a living nose. Endoscopic and CT scan observations showed a significant improvement of the plastination procedure between specimen 1 and 3. We also proved that our final plastination protocol, as shown in specimen 3, leads to an excellent conservation of nasal airway anatomy with a high mucosa preservation. We conclude that the improvement of our plastination protocol with time allowed us to obtain plastinated specimen not too far from live anatomy.

Acoustic rhinometry is frequently used to determine the nasal cross-sectional areas *in vivo* through acoustic reflection⁽¹¹⁾. It is a reliable and non-invasive method to assess the first six centimetres of the nasal fossa anatomy⁽¹³⁾. Although this method has been used in clinical practice, some authors underline its potential limitations in case of sudden large spatial changes in the nose⁽¹⁴⁾, or when the posterior cross-sectional areas lead to a significant constriction⁽¹²⁾. Acoustic rhinometry as performed on specimen 3 emphasized a perfect symmetry of both nasal cavities from the nostril to the ostium of the maxillary sinus (Figure 5). Moreover, if we compare the acoustic rhinometry results on plastinated models with data performed *in vivo*, the closest correlations have been noted between the plastinated nasal casts and the geometrical information obtained




Figure 5. Comparison of acoustic rhinometry results obtained on specimens 2 and 3.

in healthy subjects after the application of a nasal decongestant. In particular, a good correlation was observed between the cross-sectional areas generated with plastinated specimens 2 and 3 (Figure 5) and post-decongested acoustic rhinometry data in healthy subjects as previously described⁽¹⁵⁾.

Rhinomanometry is a well-established and reliable technique that measures nasal patency in terms of nasal airflow and resistance to airflow^(16,17). The unilateral airflow resistances measured on specimen 3, for left and right nasal cavities, were similar. This tendency was consistent with the geometry of the nasal cavity investigated by acoustic rhinometry showing an identical longitudinal area profile for both left and right nasal cavities (Figure 5). We also measured a significant rise of airflow resistance for the right nostril of specimen 2. This asymmetry can easily be explained by the presence of a *concha bullosa* in the right nasal cavity of specimen 2. Besides, the measured pressure–flow relationship reflects the functional status of the nasal airway. Thus, this technique can be carried out to measure the nasal resistance before and after surgery. A consensus in the literature seems to be reached since a unilateral resistance greater than $0.35 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ suggests a clinically significant nasal obstruction. As a rule, the nasal resistance can be categorized into four grades⁽¹⁸⁾. From a clinical standpoint, a nasal resistance below $0.19 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$, corresponding to grade 1, indicates a subject free from nasal obstruction, while above $4 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$ (grade 4), the airflow resistance indicates a subject suffering from a very severe or complete nasal obstruction. According to this nasal resistance scale, the plastinated replica presents an airflow resistance similar to that of a decongested healthy subject, as the unilateral airflow resistance obtained in specimen 3 was around $0.18 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$.

Plastinated nasal casts versus other nasal replicas

According to the specific advantages and drawbacks of experimental nasal models found in the literature, it is important to establish if the human plastinated nasal model leads to a significant scientific breakthrough. To simulate nasal airways,

experimental casts with increasing complexity can be used. The “pipe model”⁽²⁻⁴⁾ is usually a two compartment model where pipes represent nasal and sinus cavities. Following this overall strategy, Moller et al. elaborated a polyoxymethylene replica where sinuses were modelled by cylindrical glass vials⁽²⁾. Cakmak et al. developed a model consisting of a brass pipe with a short neck that branched off and opened into an enclosed cavity⁽³⁾. Maniscalco et al. built a model composed of a syringe (representing the sinus) connected horizontally to a plastic cylinder (representing the nasal cavity)⁽⁴⁾. Although “pipe models”⁽²⁻⁴⁾ are very useful to collect data in a first approach, it remains unclear how relevant the data are to study *in vivo* drug delivery. Obviously, “pipe models” do not adequately mimic the complex anatomy of the human nasal cavities *in vivo*. Especially, these casts may underestimate the difficulty of getting nasally administrated drugs beyond the nasal valve and front surfaces of the turbinate because of a lack of anatomical features. As a result, the plastinated nasal cast seems to be very useful to study aerosol depositions and is without doubt preferable to any “pipe model”.

To improve biomimetic geometry of the experimental replicas, plastic/silicon models^(5,6) can also be reconstructed from medical imaging data. For example, Schreck et al. used magnetic resonance images (4 mm apart) to make a threefold-enlarged plastic cast of a left nasal cavity⁽⁵⁾. Computed tomography scans coupled with the rapid prototyping technique allowed to develop elaborate models such as the silicon right nasal cavity established by Kelly et al.⁽⁶⁾. Moreover, the plastic/silicon models^(5,6) reconstructed from imaging data significantly improve the biomimetic geometry of replicas. Some replicas were created from living persons (i.e. from CT scans of the nasal cavities of an adult volunteer) and present both nasal cavities for numerical simulations⁽¹⁹⁾. Nevertheless, these plastic/silicon models point out specific restrictions. Besides, the spatial resolution of imaging techniques (around some millimeters), as well as the smoothing procedure imposed by the reconstruction process, could considerably compromise the reliability of the very local anatomical details. Indeed, a three-dimensional reconstruction made from CT scan images too far apart may prevent the capturing of thin anatomical features, such as the maxillary sinus ostium whose internal diameter does not exceed 2-5 mm. Thus, this lack of very thin anatomical details observed in plastic models can strongly affect the replica behaviour, especially in term of sinus ventilation or aerosol deposition in sinus cavities.

Finally, it certainly makes more sense to compare plastinated nasal casts with other models that are obtained from cadavers. These latter models possess a high number of detailed, anatomical features. Nevertheless, they also induce specific issues of time stability (i.e. a relative short-term use to avoid tissue degradation) and biosecurity (i.e. handling, transportation, formaldehyde vapours)⁽⁷⁾. All things considered, to carry out dozens of experimental tests in a relatively long period (e.g. many months or years to develop new prototypes of nebulizers

and to assess their aerosol deposition in specific nasal regions of the same human nose), the plastinated nasal cast is a very helpful tool. Thus, the plastinated cast appears to be an interesting compromise between the anatomical quality of models from cadavers, and biosecurity, stability and easy-to-use of plastic nasal replicas.

However, the plastinated nasal replica has some constraints. It is obvious that the vasoactive role of the mucosa present in living tissue can never be reproduced by the plastination technique. Besides, in contrast to a plastic replica, nothing can be temporarily removed from such a plastinated cast (e.g. the inferior turbinate or the uncinat process) to study effects on flow and cross-sectional. Additions can be made, for instance to enhance the thickness of the mucosa. However, to assess the impact of some anatomical parameters, “pathological” nasal specimens (with cartilaginous deviation, functional surgery designed to enlarge the nasal fossa) can be plastinated and thus results are compared to data obtained from “normal” plastinated nasal casts. We must underline that the main drawbacks of plastinated replicas are the high-duration to elaborate one cast (around six months) as well as the specific plastination know-how needing many years of operational experience. Due to this limitation, even if approximately 150 laboratories in the world frequently use the plastination technique, the plastinated nasal cast is not a tool which can be applied easily and quickly without previous experiences on plastination procedures. Nevertheless, the authors build relationships with a wide range of stakeholders and research groups to encourage and promote know-how transfer and experience sharing. We hope that this knowledge dissemination activities dealing with this specific plastination technique will allow the plastinated nasal casts to become more and more accessible. However, even if plastination appears as a tedious and complex technique, we support the conclusion that plastinated human nose casts allow significant scientific breakthroughs compared to existing experimental nasal replicas.

CONCLUSION

A novel, anatomically realistic nasal cast with bilateral nasal cavities, based on the plastination technique, was found suitable. We demonstrated that in the nasal plastinated model, tissue retraction was avoided as well as anatomical details were well preserved. The comparison of the geometric and aerodynamic characteristics of specimen 3 with *in vivo* data clearly indicates that the plastinated cast matches a decongestant healthy subject. We succeeded to develop a cast with similar advantages of cadaveric models but without their specific drawbacks.

The development of anatomically realistic, plastinated nose models with bilateral nasal cavities will certainly be a valuable tool to acquire knowledge on the accurate role of anatomical parameters (e.g. ostium morphology, functional endoscopic sinus surgery) to differential deposition of aerosols in the maxillary sinus by particle size, or to validate CFD software, which

will be used to predict the functional effect of a treatment (e.g. functional surgery designed to enlarge the nasal fossa).

REFERENCES

- Bonfils P. L'aérosolthérapie par nebulisation en oto rhino laryngologie. *Ann. Otolaryngol. Chir Cervicofac.* 1997; 114: 147-156.
- Möller W, Schuschnig U, Meyer G, et al. Ventilation and drug delivery to the paranasal sinuses: studies in a nasal cast using pulsating airflow. *Rhinology* 2008; 46: 213-220.
- Cakmak O, Celik H, Cankurtaran M, et al. Effects of paranasal sinus ostia and volume on acoustic rhinometry measurements: a model study. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003; 94: 1527-1535.
- Maniscalco M, Sofia M, Weitzberg E, et al. Sounding airflow enhances aerosol delivery into paranasal sinuses. *Eur J Clin Invest.* 2006; 36: 509-513.
- Schreck S, Sullivan KJ, Ho CM, et al. Correlation between flow resistance and geometry in a model of the human nose. *J Appl Physiol.* 1993; 75: 1767-1775.
- Kelly JT, Prasad AK, Wexler AS. Detailed flow patterns in the nasal cavity. *J Appl Physiol.* 2000; 51: 5-19.
- Hilton C, Wiedmann T, St Martin M, et al. Differential deposition of aerosols in the maxillary sinus of human cadavers by particle size. *Am J Rhinol.* 2008; 22: 395-398.
- von Hagens G. Impregnation of soft biological specimens with thermosetting resins and elastomer. *Anat Rec.* 1979; 194: 247-255.
- Durand M, Rusch P, Granjon D, et al. Preliminary study of the deposition of aerosol in the maxillary sinuses using a plastinated model. *J Aerosol Med.* 2001; 14: 83-93.
- Croce C, Fodil R, Durand M, et al. In vitro experiments and numerical simulations of airflow in realistic nasal airway geometry. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2006; 34: 997-1007.
- Louis B, Glass G, Kresen B, et al. Airway area by acoustic reflexion: the two-microphone method. *J Biomech Eng.* 1993; 115: 278-285.
- Cankurtaran M, Celik H, Cakmak O, et al. Effects of the nasal valve on acoustic rhinometry measurements: a model study. *J Appl Physiol.* 2003; 94: 2166-2172.
- Cole P. Acoustic rhinometry and rhinomanometry. *Rhinology* 2000; 16: 29-34
- Tomkinson A, Eccles R, acoustic rhinometry: an explanation of some common artefacts associated with nasal decongestion. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci.* 1998; 23: 20-26.
- Corey JP, Gungor A, Nelson R, et al. A comparison of the nasal cross-sectional areas and volumes obtained with acoustic rhinometry and magnetic resonance imaging. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 1997; 117: 349-354.
- Cole P. Rhinomanometry 1988 – practice and trends. *Laryngoscope* 1989; 99: 311-315.
- Coste A, Lofaso F, d'Ortho MP, et al. Protruding the tongue improves posterior rhinomanometry in obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Eur Respir J.* 1999; 14: 1278-1282.
- Soga T, Nakata S, Yasuma F, et al. Upper airways morphology in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: effects of lateral positioning. *Auris Nasus Larynx* 2009; 36: 305-309.
- Pless D, Keck T, Wiesmiller KM. Numerical simulation of airflow patterns and air temperature distribution during inspiration in a nose model with septal perforation. *Am J Rhinol.* 2004; 18: 357-362.

Jérémie Pourchez

Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Mines de Saint-Étienne
Centre Ingénierie et Santé, IFR Inserm 143
Saint-Etienne
France

Tel: +33-4-7742 0180

Fax: +33-4-7749 9694

E-mail: pourchez@emse.fr

ADVERTISEMENT

INSTITUTE OF PHYSIOLOGY AND PATHOLOGY OF HEARING
invites you to take part in

**I INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE AND IV COURSE
IN THE ENDOSCOPIC SINUS SURGERY**

in the **International Center of Hearing and Speech**
in Kajetany/Warsaw, Poland, **9–11 June 2011**

Conference Chairman:
Prof. Henryk Skarżyński

Special guest of the Conference and Course:
Prof. Peter-John Wormald from Australia

Among invited lecturers are:
Prof. Elgan Davies (United Kingdom), Prof. Timoleon Terzis (Greece),
Prof. Bożena B. Wróbel (USA)

Scientific program includes:

- **Conference** – free paper and poster sessions
- **theoretical Course** – lectures of leading experts in the field and case studies presenting surgical techniques
- **practical Course** – hands-on training of advanced surgical procedures on fresh head specimens

Participants of the practical course will train advanced techniques of the endoscopic sinus surgery; they will perform all procedures presented by instructors. Places at the practical part will be assigned in order of application; practical experience in sinus surgery is mandatory.

Participants in the theoretical part will observe all procedures performed in the surgical room and in the laboratory, with commentary of the instructor. There are 150 places available.

More details and registration at: www.ifps.org.pl
Contact: fess@ifps.org.pl

International Center of Hearing and Speech
of the Institute of Physiology and Pathology and Hearing
Kajetany, 17 Mokra Str., 05-830 Nadarzyn, Poland

in cooperation with  **Medtronic**