

## Nasal polyposis, sense of smell, and surgery

Isam Alobid

Rhinology Unit and Smell Clinic, Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Hospital Clínic i Universitari, c/ Villarroel, 170, Barcelona 08036, Spain

Nasal polyposis (NP) is an inflammatory condition of unknown etiology which is present in 2-4% of the European adult population<sup>(1,2)</sup>. Smell loss is one of the most frequent complaints in patients with nasal polyps, often being the first symptom of the disease<sup>(3)</sup>, and having a negative impact on quality of life<sup>(4,5)</sup>. Olfactory deficits secondary to NP have traditionally been attributed to the inability of odorant molecules to access the olfactory mucosa, which is believed to remain histologically normal despite the aggressive inflammatory process in the respiratory regions of the nose<sup>(6)</sup>. However, the loss of smell associated with NP may be due to either mucosal obstruction or inflammation of the olfactory cleft<sup>(7)</sup>.

The management of NP has been the topic of frequent controversial debates for many decades. Most authors agree on the fact that management of NP should be primarily based on a medical approach to be completed by endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) only in the case of drug failure<sup>(8,9)</sup>.

The objectives of the management of NP are: to reduce or eliminate polyps, open the nasal airway, improve or restore the sense of smell, prevent polyp recurrence, and improve patients quality of life<sup>(10)</sup>.

Although many patients receive ESS to improve the sense of smell, relatively little research has been performed to investigate determinants of postoperative outcome. Until recently, the evaluation of smell loss was mainly based on patient's self-reported symptoms and rarely quantitative smell tests were performed and used as criterion for improvement, leading thus to criticism of the outcomes claimed<sup>(11)</sup>.

Pade and Hummel<sup>(12)</sup> only could identify supra threshold olfaction as they were using the odour identification tool in "Sniffin Sticks". After sinus surgery, improvement was found in 23%, no change was seen in 68%, and decreased function was seen in 9% of the patients. In terms of the sense of smell, surgery produced the highest success rates in patients with eosinophilia and a high degree of NP. Pade and Hummel<sup>(12)</sup> explained this change of olfactory function that surgery removes/damages olfactory receptor neurons in the olfactory epithelium. However, Say et al.<sup>(13)</sup> suggest that, for example, resection of the superior turbinate during the endoscopic transethmoidal sphenoidotomy procedure does not affect olfactory function. Similar results have been reported by Lanza

et al.<sup>(14)</sup> stating that biopsies directly from the olfactory neuroepithelium had no measurable effect on the ability to identify odours. Damm et al.<sup>(15)</sup> demonstrated that even the volume in the nasal valve area correlates with odour thresholds, meaning that even when surgery is performed in areas remote from the olfactory cleft, change of these nasal volumes might affect olfactory function, at least in some patients.

Furthermore, Litvack et al.<sup>(16)</sup> have reported significant improvement in olfactory scores after ESS in anosmics with NP at 6 months, which sustained at 12 months. However, they used a smell identification test, not a threshold test. Minovi et al.<sup>(17)</sup> showed that in terms of the sense of smell nasal, surgery produced the highest success rates in women, high degree of NP, and aspirin-intolerance. Olsson and Stjarne<sup>(18)</sup> demonstrated that ESS alone improved both sense of smell and olfactory thresholds in patients with NP with or without asthma.

Danielides et al.<sup>(11)</sup> studied ESS in patients with concomitant medical treatment and found an odour threshold increase already at 1 month. Duration of olfactory deficit and previous sinus surgery presented highly significant predictive value for the short-term outcome of the olfactory function after ESS. All patients suffering from NP will probably improve olfaction significantly in a period up to six months after surgery.

However, studies with a long-term follow-up (> 5 years) are needed to evaluate the efficacy of surgery on sense of smell in patients with NP.

### REFERENCES

1. Fokkens W, Lund V, Bachert C, et al. EAACI position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps executive summary. *Allergy* 2005; 60: 583-601.
2. Fokkens W, Lund V, Mullol J; European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and Nasal Polyps Group. EP3OS 2007: European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2007. A summary for otorhinolaryngologists. *Rhinology* 2007; 45: 97-101.
3. Benítez P, Alobid I, de Haro J, et al. A short course of oral prednisone followed by long term intranasal budesonide is an effective treatment of severe nasal polyps. Comparative study of various methods of assessment. *Laryngoscope* 2006; 116: 770-775.
4. Alobid I, Benítez P, Bernal-Sprekelsen M, et al. Nasal polyposis and its impact on quality of life. Comparison between the effects of medical and surgical treatments. *Allergy* 2005; 60: 452-458.
5. Alobid I, Benítez P, Bernal-Sprekelsen M, et al. The impact of asthma and aspirin sensitivity on quality of life of patients with nasal polyposis. *Qual Life Res.* 2005; 14: 789-793.

6. Doty RL, Mishra A. Olfaction and its alteration by nasal obstruction, rhinitis, and rhinosinusitis. *Laryngoscope* 2001; 111: 409-423.
7. Guilemany JM, Angrill J, Alobid I, et al. United airways again: high prevalence of rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps in bronchiectasis. *Allergy* 2009; 64: 790-797.
8. Bachert C, Hörmann K, Mosges R, et al. An update on the diagnosis and treatment of sinusitis and nasal polyposis. *Allergy* 2003; 58: 176-191.
9. Berggren F, Johansson L. Cost effectiveness of nasal budesonide versus surgical treatment for nasal polyps. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2003; 21: 351-356.
10. Mygind N. Advances in the medical treatment of nasal polyps. *Allergy* 1999; 54 (Suppl. 53): 12-16.
11. Danielides V, Katotomichelakis M, Balatsouras D, et al. Evaluation of prognostic factors for olfaction in nasal polyposis treated by Endoscopic Sinus Surgery. *Rhinology* 2009; 47: 172-180.
12. Pade J, Hummel T. Olfactory function following nasal surgery. *Laryngoscope* 2008; 118: 1260-1264.
13. Say P, Leopold D, Cochran G, et al. Resection of the inferior superior turbinate: does it affect olfactory ability or contain olfactory neuronal tissue? *Am J Rhinol*. 2004; 18: 157-160.
14. Lanza DC, Deems DA, Doty RL, et al. The effect of human olfactory biopsy on olfaction: a preliminary report. *Laryngoscope* 1994; 104: 837-840.
15. Damm M, Vent J, Schmidt M, et al. Intranasal volume and olfactory function. *Chem Senses* 2002; 27: 831-839.
16. Litvack JR, Mace J, Smith TL. Does olfactory function improve after endoscopic sinus surgery? *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2009; 140: 312-319.
17. Minovi A, Hummel T, Ural A, et al. Predictors of the outcome of nasal surgery in terms of olfactory function. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2008; 265: 57-61.
18. Olsson P, Stjarne P. Endoscopic Sinus Surgery improves olfaction in nasal polyposis, a multi-center study. *Rhinology* 2010; 48: 150-155.



Isam Alobid  
 Rhinology Unit and Smell Clinic  
 Department of Otorhinolaryngology  
 Hospital Clínic i Universitari  
 c/ Villarroel, 170  
 Barcelona 08036  
 Spain

Tel: +34- 932 279 872  
 Fax: +34- 932 275 050  
 E-mail: 32874iao@comb.es

## ERRATUM

In the pubmed publication of the manuscript “Hydrodissection for subperichondrial septoplasty- an experimental anatomical study” by P. Dubach et al. (*Rhinology* 2010; 48: 195-200), the name of the last author is not written correctly: M. Caversaccio. The correct spelling is with only one o and not double 00: M. Caversaccio. This erratum is to indicate that fact and making the change in the Pubmed record possible.