Clinical effects of sinus surgery and adjuvant therapy in cystic fibrosis patients — can chronic lung infections be postponed? *

Summary

Background: The paranasal sinuses can be a bacterial reservoir for pulmonary infections in patients with cystic fibrosis (CF) due to the paranasal sinuses often being colonised by CF-lung-pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria. The environment in the sinuses and the lower airways is similar, albeit the sinus colonisations are dominated by the non-inflammatory IgA antibodies and lack of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. The sinuses can therefore be an evolutionary ‘nest’ in early airway colonisations, where the bacteria diversify, evolving antibiotic resistance and other phenotypes associated with adaptation to the CF airways in general. Subsequently the bacteria migrate and intermittently colonise.

Methodology: In this prospective, non-randomised, uncontrolled, intervention cohort study, the clinical effect of sinus surgery followed by two weeks’ intravenous antibiotics, 6 months’ antibiotic nasal irrigations was assessed in 106 CF patients.

Results: One year after sinus surgery, the prevalence of intermittently colonised patients had decreased by 38%, while the prevalence of non-colonised patients had increased by 150%. The frequency of pulmonary samples with CF pathogens was reduced after surgery. Specific IgG against *P. aeruginosa* decreased after six months. Additionally, the self reported symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis and quality of life improved.

Conclusion: Combined sinus surgery and postoperative systemic and topical antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the frequency of pulmonary samples positive for CF pathogens in the first year after sinus surgery.
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Chronic lung infections in cystic fibrosis

the lungs and may ultimately cause chronic lung infections (2-4,7).
Likewise, the sinuses in lung transplanted (LTX) CF patients are regarded as a bacterial reservoir, causing lung allograft infections and rejections (8).
CF pathogens can potentially be eradicated with functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) and postoperative antibiotic treatment (9,10). It has been hypothesized that FESS can reduce the frequency of lung colonisations and may postpone chronic lung infections (2,3). Nevertheless, large-scale prospective studies on the effects of FESS on lung colonisation and infection in CF are lacking (11), and data on surgical therapy for CF patients with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) are primarily level III evidence (12,13). Thus, the aim of this prospective cohort study was to assess various clinical effects of FESS followed by topical steroids and intravenous and nasal antibiotics.

Materials and methods

Study population
Patients were recruited among the 300 CF patients treated at the CF Centre in Copenhagen. The diagnosis of CF was based on clinical characteristics, abnormal sweat electrolytes, and genotype. CF patients followed a routine protocol with monthly medical examinations including lung function tests and lower airway samples taken for microbiological culture (coughed sputum, induced sputum or endolaryngeal suction). Additional lower airway samples were taken whenever patients were hospitalised or when clinical and/or paraclinical parameters indicated a risk of lung colonisation or infection. Approximately every third month, blood samples were taken for analyses including specific antibodies against relevant Gram-negative bacteria (12).

Grading of pulmonary infection

Modified Leeds criteria (14) were employed:
1. Non-infected: no growth of CF pathogens (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Achromobacter xylosoxidans or Burkholderia cepacia complex) over 12 months (CF-).
2. Intermittently colonised: growth in > 0% but ≤ 50% of samples over 12 months (CF+(i)).
3. Chronically infected: growth in > 50% of a patient’s monthly lower airway samples over 12 months (CF+(c)).

Design
A prospective, non-randomized, uncontrolled, intervention study was conducted over 3½ years including CF patients aged more than six years with FESS from July 2007 to January 2011. Those included had approximately one year of follow-up with postoperative treatment, lower airway samples cultured, spirometry, body weight, serum samples, and questionnaires (Figure 1). These data were compared with preoperative data from the same patients extracted from our CF database.

In patients who had FESS more than once within the period of the study, only the pre- and postoperative data of the primary FESS was included in the calculations. In four patients, no CF pathogens were found in the lungs at any time the year prior to the primary FESS; in contrast, CF pathogens were found in the lungs the year prior to the secondary FESS. In these four patients, only the pre- and postoperative data referring to the secondary FESS was evaluated, as the main outcome of this study was to evaluate whether FESS could reduce CF-lung-pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria.

During the study period, the protocol was supplemented by additional clinical outcome measures: the sinonasal outcome test (SNOT-22) was included from May 2009, the Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R) questionnaire from November 2009, and bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) during FESS from December 2009.

Inclusion criteria
The patients were selected for FESS based on the following criteria:
1. Search for an infectious focus: (CF+(i)) patients with increasing frequency of positive lower airway cultures or repeatedly declining lung function (> 10%), despite inten-

Figure 1. Time-line showing the interventions in the study.
sive antibiotic chemotherapy. Patients with an unknown infectious focus and increasing antibodies against *P. aeruginosa, A. xylosidans* or *B. cepacia* complex were given the highest priority.

2. Patients who had recently been LTX.

3. Patients with severe symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) according to the European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis [21], when conservative treatment was unsuccessful.

Perioperative BAL and functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS)

A BAL was performed under general anaesthetic. The subsequent FESS was to ventilate and drain the paranasal sinuses and to make these accessible for postoperative instrumental cleansing and irrigation with saline and topical antibiotics. Each patient was evaluated for symptoms of chronic rhinosinusitis [21] followed by a clinical examination. The extension of surgery (e.g., exploration of the frontal or sphenoid sinuses) was undertaken based on the mandatory preoperative CT scan and perioperative findings. As a standard, we applied FESS with an uncinectomy, an anterior ethmoidectomy and a medial antrostomy, leaving a significantly enlarged maxillary ostium comprising more than half the medial maxillary wall as recommended [21]. Visible intramucosal abscess-like structures (especially found in the maxillary sinuses) were resected along with other inflamed mucosal tissue when accessible. Following the surgical procedure, the opened and now accessible sinuses were irrigated with saline and colistimethate sodium.

Bacteriology

To optimise sinus culture results, multiple perioperative samples were obtained including nasal secretions, pus, mucosal tissue, polyps, and bone. All patients had samples were collected with sharp instruments and by suction. Sinus and lower airway samples were cultured aerobically and anaerobically at 37 °C on standard agar media for 5–7 days as previously described [21].

Postoperative treatment

Postoperative adjuvant therapy included: two weeks of IV antibiotics [18], at least 6 months of twice daily nasal irrigation with saline and antibiotics (starting Day 1 with colistimethate sodium but could be adjusted according to susceptibility), and 12 months of topical nasal steroids (mometasonfuroate). As a standard each patient had four postoperative visits to the ENT outpatient clinic where crusts and secretions were endoscopically cleansed (Figure 1). Due to lack of preceding research, the duration of the medical treatment was only determined by the time of outpatient visits where the treatment could be discussed.

Lower airway cultures

The percentage of the lower airway samples taken monthly and positive for CF pathogens (*P. aeruginosa, A. xylosidans* or *B. cepacia* complex) 365–0 days before FESS was compared with cultures taken 1–365 days after FESS. Additionally, the grade of pulmonary infection (CF-, CF+(i), CF+(c)) at surgery was compared with the grade one year postoperatively [24]. In patients who underwent LTX within the year before FESS, only the days after the LTX were evaluated. If more than one CF pathogen was cultured, only the most frequently cultured bacterium was evaluated. We did not differentiate between how the lower airway samples were obtained (expectoration, endolaryngeal suction or BAL).

Spirometry

Spirometry was performed at every clinical visit. The average of all measurements expressed as percent of predicted values [16,17] and trend slopes of FVC and FEV1 were calculated 6 and 12 months pre-and postoperatively in 104 patients (two LTX patients had no postoperative values).

Body mass index

Body mass index standard deviation scores (BMI z-scores) [28] were calculated at every clinical visit. The average percentages and z-BMI trend slopes were calculated 6 and 12 months pre-and postoperatively.

Serum antibodies

Elevated levels of specific anti-Pseudomonas IgG antibodies measured by ELISA is a risk factor for developing chronic *P. aeruginosa* infection [19]. Averages of anti-Pseudomonas IgG values measured 6 and 12 months pre-and postoperatively were compared in patients with no chronic infection.

SNOT-22

The SNOT-22 questionnaire focuses on sinonasal conditions [20]. It contains 22 items graded from 0 (no problem) to 5 (problem as bad as it can be). Some items are specific while others are more general, for example cough and fatigue. We initiated a back and forth translation from English to Danish according to accepted international criteria.

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL)

The Cystic Fibrosis Questionnaire-Revised (CFQ-R) measures disease-specific HRQOL [21]. The questionnaires and domains can be viewed at: http://www.psy.miami.edu/cfq_QLab. Two questionnaires were used: one for patients aged more than 13 years (maximum score of 201), and one for those aged 6–13 years (maximum score of 177). As we were interested in the changes over time and not the actual score, the scores of the two questionnaires were analysed together.

Ethics

In consultation with the local ethics committee, it was deter-
mined that only approval of the additionally BAL was needed. The study was approved by the local ethics committee (H-A-2008-141). All patients gave informed consent, for patients <18 years of age, consent was also obtained from their parents.

Statistical analysis
SAS 9.1.3 was used for statistical analyses. The data were continuous and in all cases the differences between the pre- and postoperative data had an approximately normal distribution. The data fulfilled the criteria for normality and equal variance. A two-sample paired t-test or an ANOVA test was used to compare the pre- and postoperative data.

When comparing the change in lung infection status, the nominal data were analysed by McNemar’s test (two categories were merged).

Table 1. Demographic data on the included 106 CF patients divided by their grade of pulmonary infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-infected</th>
<th>Intermittently colonized</th>
<th>Chronically infected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male/female</td>
<td>8 / 8</td>
<td>29 / 32</td>
<td>12 / 17</td>
<td>49 / 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age in years at FESS (range)</td>
<td>18 (6–44)</td>
<td>15 (6–50)</td>
<td>30 (11–46)</td>
<td>19 (6–50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F508del homozygous / heterozygous/ other mutations</td>
<td>13 / 3 / 0</td>
<td>41 / 16 / 4</td>
<td>17 / 12 / 0</td>
<td>71 / 31 / 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The distribution of 106 CF patients in different groups according to grading of pulmonary infection at FESS and the year after FESS; a significant increase of (CF-) patients and a decrease of (CF+(i)) is observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung infection status at FESS</th>
<th>Lung infection status a year after FESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CF- / 16 patients</td>
<td>CF- / 14 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF+(i) / 61 patients</td>
<td>CF+ (i) / 35 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF+ (c) / 29 patients</td>
<td>CF+ (c) / 24 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF+ (i) / 2 patients</td>
<td>CF+ (c) / 0 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF- / 22 patients</td>
<td>CF- / 4 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF+(i) / 1 patients</td>
<td>CF+ (c) / 4 patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF+(i) / / patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CF-: non-colonised; CF+(i): intermittently colonised; CF+(c): chronically infected.

Table 3. An overview of four chronically infected CF patients showing a long postoperative period without re-growth of P. aeruginosa (PA) or A. xylosoxidans (AX) in the lower airway samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CF patient</th>
<th>Lung bacteriology</th>
<th>Sinus bacteriology</th>
<th>Precipitating antibodies against PA or AX prior to FESS</th>
<th>Mean PA IgG six months prior to FESS</th>
<th>Mean expected FEV1 six months prior FESS</th>
<th>Lower airway samples with PA or AX one year prior to FESS</th>
<th>Lower airway samples with PA or AX one year prior to FESS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11-year-old girl</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>97 %</td>
<td>62 %</td>
<td>523 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-year-old boy</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>94 %</td>
<td>60 %</td>
<td>593 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-year-old man. LTX</td>
<td>AX</td>
<td>AX</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57 %</td>
<td>77 %</td>
<td>Still no regrowth after 982 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-year-old woman. LTX</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>PA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td>60 %</td>
<td>75 %</td>
<td>508 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results

Study population characteristics
Demographic data on the 106 included patients are shown in Table 1 and their criteria for FESS appear in Figure 2; in the study period, 21 patients had surgery twice and 4 had surgery three times; 4 patients had revision surgery during the first postoperative year because of a satisfactory but transient effect of the primary surgery.

The average time between LTX and FESS was 3.5 years (½–11 years). None of the patients had LTX during the year after FESS.

Upper airway culture results

All but one patient had bacterial growth from at least one sinus in their perioperatively obtained samples, but only 8 patients showed fungus isolates (Aspergillus, Candida species, and unidentified yeast). In 71 patients (67%) the same type of CF pathogen was perioperatively cultured from the sinuses and in the lungs the previous year. In 35 patients (33%) there was no correlation between lung and sinus bacteriology; these also included one (CF+(i)) patient with A. xylosoxidans in the sinuses but P. aeruginosa in the lungs, one (CF+(c)) patient without CF pathogens in the sinuses, and 4 (CF-) patients (2 LTX) where P. aeruginosa was found only in the sinuses.

Lower airway culture results

The one-year prevalence of CF+(i) patients decreased by 38% (CI: 24%–51%) after FESS (58% at surgery compared with 36% one year postoperatively; p < 0.01). The one-year prevalence of (CF-) patients increased by 150% (CI: 71%–310%) after FESS (15% at surgery compared with 38% one year postoperatively; p < 0.01) (Table 2).

The frequency of pre- and postoperative lower airway cultures with pathogenic growth is illustrated in Figure 3 and shows a significant decrease in occurrence of CF pathogens in the postoperative samples. In subgroup analyses, the significant reduction of positive lower respiratory cultures was seen in the (CF+(i)) patients with simultaneous growth of CF pathogens in their sinuses and lungs (27% vs. 16%; p < 0.01), and in (CF+(c)) patients (87% vs. 76%; p < 0.05); the latter mainly due to four successful cases shown in Table 3. There was a high correlation between the number of positive lower airway cultures and the number of days from a positive culture to the next negative.

Figure 3. Frequencies of lower airway cultures with growth of CF-pathogenic Gram-negative bacteria a year before and after FESS, distributed on lung infection status and on the perioperatively bacterial findings in the sinuses.
Table 4. Pre- and post-FESS obtained clinical data from the 106 included CF patients divided by their grade of pulmonary infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-infected</th>
<th>Intermittently colonized</th>
<th>Chronically infected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>%-pathogenic sinus bacteria (P. aeruginosa, A. xylosoxidans or B. cepacia complex)</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>72 %</td>
<td>95 %</td>
<td>72 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. of lower airway cultures one year prior / one year after FESS</td>
<td>10.4 (2–15)</td>
<td>13.5 (8–22)</td>
<td>14.1 (2–35)</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean no. of lung function tests and z-BMI one year before / one year after FESS</td>
<td>9 (0–15)</td>
<td>13 (1–30)</td>
<td>10 (1–18)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FEV1 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS (%)</td>
<td>82/80 (79/79)</td>
<td>85/86 (86/84)</td>
<td>72/72 (70/68)</td>
<td>81/81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FEV1 slope (%) 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS</td>
<td>-4.33/-1.48</td>
<td>-0.67/1.44</td>
<td>0.57/5.63</td>
<td>-0.85/1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FVC 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS (%)</td>
<td>93/91</td>
<td>95/95</td>
<td>85/85</td>
<td>92/92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean FVC slope (%) 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS</td>
<td>-4.05/-2.90</td>
<td>-1.16/0.22</td>
<td>0.62/0.67</td>
<td>-1.11/1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean z-BMI 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS</td>
<td>-0.67/-0.64</td>
<td>-0.05/-0.01</td>
<td>-0.45/-0.62</td>
<td>-0.25/-0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean IgG 12/6 months before and 6/12 months after FESS (No. of patients)</td>
<td>2.1/1.8 (10)</td>
<td>3.4/3.7 (54)</td>
<td>3.1/3.6 (64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Results of the questionnaires before and after FESS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Pre-operatively</th>
<th>Three months</th>
<th>Six months</th>
<th>Twelve months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients who completed SNOT-22, (response rate), [mean time after FESS]</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>79 (92%) [103 days]</td>
<td>64 (80%) [367 days]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean SNOT-22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11*</td>
<td>14*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients who completed CFQ-R, (response rate), [mean time after FESS]</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53 (79%) [168 days]</td>
<td>42 (69%) [369 days]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (CFQ-R) 6–13 years:</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>144</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 13 years:</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>170*</td>
<td>163</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>162*</td>
<td>157</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: p < 0.05.

Table 6. Culture data for 12 months divided by grade of pulmonary infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non-infected</th>
<th>Intermittently colonized</th>
<th>Chronically infected</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>culture (rho = 0.93; p = 0.01).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spirometry results
A negligible, but nevertheless, significant decrease was seen in FVC and FEV1 the year after FESS (Table 4).

Body mass index results
No significant changes were seen in the BMI z-scores (Table 4).

Specific serum antibody levels
Specific anti-Pseudomonas IgG antibodies decreased significantly during the first 6 postoperative months; however, the decrease was non-significant within 12 months after FESS (Table 4).

SNOT-22 results
A decrease was seen in sinonasal symptoms 3 and 12 months after FESS (p < 0.01) (Table 5). As expected, cough, waking up...
tired, and fatigue were highly rated as important items that affected the health, but nasal blockage, facial pressure and sense of smell/taste were also highly rated and improved after 3 months ($p < 0.01$). After 12 months an improvement could still be detected in nasal blockage and facial pressure ($p < 0.01$).

Health-related quality of life (HRQOL) results
An increase in HRQOL was seen 6 months postoperatively ($p < 0.05$). However, at 12 months postoperatively no significant differences in HRQOL were observed (Table 5).

Surgical complications
No perioperative major blood loss was observed but one patient had an accidental penetration of the orbital lamina (with unaffected vision). One patient was hospitalized three days after surgery because of epistaxis, one patient experienced transient paresthesia of the skin below the eye and discomfort when looking to the side (the orbit was not entered during surgery), and one patient had epiphora requiring intervention. Apart from one patient who experienced decreased sense of smell (surgically unexplained), no persistent sequelae were noted.

Discussion
In this prospective cohort study, we present data from a large cohort of CF patients undergoing FESS and adjunctive therapy. A significant reduction in the frequency of lower airway cultures with CF-pathogens accomplished after FESS is shown for the first time. Subsequently, the proportion of intermittently colonised (CF+(i)) patients decreased as a consequence of the increased number of non-infected (CF-) patients. Specific IgG against *P. aeruginosa* decreased and quality of life including sinonasal symptoms was improved. Only a small decrease in lung function was seen after a year, which is in agreement with an annual average decline of $1–2\%$ in CF patients (22).

Other studies have addressed the effect of sinus surgery on CF lungs using varying parameters and showing inconsistent results (23). Comparable to our study, one prospective study performed extensive sinus surgery intending to eradicate sinus bacteria in a group of 82 LTX patients (10), showing that *P. aeruginosa* and *B. cepacia* complex could be eradicated from the sinuses, resulting in reduced lung allograft infections. Shatz (24) found decreased antibiotic use, a lower hospitalisation rate, and an increase in FEV1 six months after FESS among 15 non-LTX patients. Lewiston et al. (25) postoperatively installed tobramycin in the sinuses and reported a lower rate of *P. aeruginosa* in the lungs of 11 LTX patients. Other studies differ in several ways: as they were retrospective, most did less extensive surgery compared with our procedures, none focused on the grading of pulmonary infection and only few included intensive follow-up with use of nasally applied antibiotics. These studies found no postoperative reduction in lung colonisation; however, some found a slight improvement of lung function, lower hospitalisation rates, and reduced use of antibiotics (26–34).

In the present study, (CF+(i)) patients simultaneously sinus and pulmonary colonised experienced the greatest benefit from our treatment protocol; it is likely that the treatment protected the lungs in this group of ‘risk’ patients from subsequent recurrent lung colonisation and chronic infection. The challenge is to detect these specific patients and to estimate when they may benefit from FESS. Our results support previously published studies showing a that LTX patients benefits from FESS (25,51), and further indicate that patients at the early stage of chronic lung infection with well-preserved lung function and low levels of specific antibodies, such as the patients in Table 3, may benefit from FESS. We put forward the possibility that these patients may be false positively categorized as (CF+(c)) since lower airway samples can be cross-contaminated by secretions from the upper airways; in these cases the antibody response has shown to be helpful in distinguishing (CF+(i)) patients from (CF+(c)) (12,19).

The SNOT-22 questionnaire is suitable as a disease-specific instrument in sinonasal research and is widely used in non CF patients (39). We found that the questionnaire is a good tool for evaluating CRS symptoms; however, the risk of CF patients underreporting symptoms of CRS should be taken into account (39). The majority of patients reported decreased nasal discharge and decreased nasal blockage after FESS despite very low preoperative scoring of these two items.

The CFQ-R has undergone validity testing (37) and is a widely used HRQOL measure for CF. CRS affects quality of life (38) but the CFQ-R contains only one question on CRS. Nevertheless, in our population, CFQ-R improved six months after FESS.

All our results, both positive and negative, highlight the need for guidelines for when to offer CF patients sinus surgery and/or nasal care.

Although we did not address the need for revision surgery, our prospective study gives weight to the conclusions of the recently published European position paper on rhinosinusitis and fulfills the requirements for new studies (11): “Future prospective studies are needed to further elucidate the role of medical and surgical therapy in CF patients with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS), but the data on surgical therapy support the safety and efficacy of endoscopic sinus surgery”.

The strengths of our study are the large cohort, its prospective design taking multiple parameters into consideration, the relatively extensive surgery done to eradicate CF pathogens, and,
in particular, the unchanged CF treatment protocol throughout the intervention (13). Longer follow-up times and larger cohorts with the possibility of randomising patients into different treatment groups are needed to further elucidate the role of medical and surgical therapy among CF patients. With this study design, the effect of FESS on pulmonary infections could not be sufficiently evaluated in (CF-) patients who had CF pathogens only in the sinuses and not in the lungs.

Our study is limited by the lack of a control group and the tardy initiation of the questionnaires. Further, we were initially reluctant to explore all sinuses. Nevertheless, the aim for each FESS procedure was to explore all sinuses creating mega-antrostomies, now also recommended in the recently published guidelines (10). Apart from nasal irrigations with saline in CF sinuses, there is low level evidence to support our postoperative adjuvant therapy (10). The use of topological steroids, additional dornase-alfa (10), and choice of antibiotic drug(s) may be considered in the future.

Conclusion

In conclusion, sinus surgery combined with postoperative antibiotic treatment significantly reduced the frequency of pulmonary samples positive for CF pathogens during the first postoperative year. Our results support FESS with adjuvant therapy, especially in intermittently colonised CF patients; however, guidelines are warranted for criteria for FESS based on clinical and paraclinical parameters.
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