Treatment with a topical glucocorticoid, budesonide, reduced the variability of rhinomanometric nasal airway resistance*

Abstract

Background: Previous rhinomanometry studies have shown significant long-term variability of the nasal airway resistance and questioned the clinical validity of rhinomanometry.

Research question: Could treatment with a topical glucocorticoid, budesonide, influence the long-term variability of active anterior rhinomanometry?

Methods: Eight healthy volunteers participated in an unblinded controlled trial without, and later with, nasal budesonide once a day for 5 months. Their nasal airway resistance was measured every two weeks with active anterior rhinomanometry before and after decongestion with xylometazoline hydrochloride. In addition, subjective nasal obstruction was evaluated on a Visual Analogue Scale before each measurement. The participants had a year earlier been investigated with rhinomanometry every two weeks during 5 months but without budesonide treatment. We compared the variability of nasal airway resistance during the two periods with and without treatment with topical budesonide.

Results: Budesonide significantly reduced mean nasal airway resistance and the standard deviation of the mean after decongestion for 6 of 8 participants. The mean reduction of the nasal airway resistance was 40% for the decongested nasal cavity compared to the period without treatment with nasal budesonide. Subjective nasal obstruction assessed by Visual Analogue Scale was reduced in 3 of the 8 participants.

Conclusion: The variability of nasal airway resistance was significantly reduced by treatment with topical budesonide for 6 out of 8 healthy volunteers participating in an unblinded repeated 5 month trial where the participants served as their own controls.
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Introduction

Rhinomanometry is a tool for measuring nasal airway resistance (NAR) by registering flow and pressure fall through the nasal cavity. In a previous study, we showed that the rhinomanometric NAR has high long term variability. NAR is determined on the basis of swelling and constriction of the erectile tissue at the inferior turbinate and at the nasal septum. The α2-adrenoreceptor agonists xylometazoline and oxymetazoline, which are recommended by the International Standardization Committee of Rhinomanometry (ISCR) as decongestants in rhinomanometry, produce both reduction in mucosal blood volume and blood flow. Intranasally administered glucocorticoid with no or little systemic effects was introduced in 1973. Because of its multitude of anti-inflammatory effects, it has become an established therapy for nasal disorders such as nasal polyposis, allergic and non-allergic rhinitis. The side effects are usually minor. Septal perforation and ulcers in the nose are rare adverse effects. The onset
of action is within 4-12 hours after administration, but maximal efficacy is achieved within a few days [8]. Topical glucocorticoids have been used for 40 years, but their mode of action is still inadequately understood.

When glucocorticoids are applied to the skin, a "vasoconstriction" visible as a blanching phenomenon is seen after a few hours, known as the McKenzie test [9]. The extent of blanching is used to grade the glucocorticoid potency. We would expect the same reaction in the nasal mucosa. However, Bende et al. found no significant differences in the mucosal blood flow using the 133Xe wash-out method after a one week administration of nasal budesonide as compared with placebo [10]. Cervin et al. showed similar results after only one dose (64 μg) of intranasal budesonide by measuring the mucosal blood flow with Laser Doppler flowmetry after 20 minutes [11]. It seems likely that a more complex process than vasoconstriction is responsible for the clinical effect of nasal glucocorticoids [12,13].

Rhinomanometry is often used in the decision making process for nasal surgery [14,15]. In the general population there is a high frequency of septal deviations, with prevalence figures of over 50%, and most are non-symptomatic and non-traumatic [16]. The patients’ symptoms often do not concur with the NAR [17,18]. Therefore, it is important that the rhinomanometric NAR is reliable to help the surgeon make the right decision about nasal surgery. From the Swedish ENT quality register (http://kvalitet.onh.nu/), we found that only 76% (range from different centres 40-100%) of 3877 patients (2008-2010) were satisfied with their surgery. From the Swedish ENT quality register (http://kvalitet.onh.nu/), we found that only 76% (range from different centres 40-100%) of 3877 patients (2008-2010) were satisfied with their surgery. From the Swedish ENT quality register (http://kvalitet.onh.nu/), we found that only 76% (range from different centres 40-100%) of 3877 patients (2008-2010) were satisfied with their surgery. From the Swedish ENT quality register (http://kvalitet.onh.nu/), we found that only 76% (range from different centres 40-100%) of 3877 patients (2008-2010) were satisfied with their surgery.

We hypothesize that one reason for the long-term variability of NAR could be a subclinical nasal mucosal inflammation giving an insufficient decongestion with 0.1% xylometazoline hydrochloride during rhinomanometric measurements. Hence, the aim of this study was to investigate if it was possible to reduce the long-term variability of the NAR measured with active anterior rhinomanometry by treatment with the nasal glucocorticoid budesonide.

Materials and methods

Patient population

Eight healthy volunteers (6 women, 2 men, ages 42-64, mean 55 years) signed an informed consent form and all had a rhinoscopy and a standard skin prick test for allergy (alder, hazel, birch, timothy, mugwort, house dust mites, moulds and pets) before study start. None of the participants had any subjective allergic symptoms, but one participant (no. 3) had a minor positive reaction to grass (timothy) in the skin prick test. One participant was a cigarette smoker (no. 5). Two had a deviated nasal septum (nos. 7 and 8) and six participants had a reasonably straight septum according to rhinoscopy. No widely accepted objective classification of septal deviation has been developed for routine use [19,20].

Rhinomanometry

In our previous study, 9 participants did 10-15 active anterior rhinomanometries during 5 months, at 2 week intervals from late autumn to early spring to test the long-term reproducibility of NAR. Eight of those 9 people participated in the present study, and one had moved. The participants treated themselves with the topical glucocorticoid budesonide (Rhinocort®, AstraZeneca) once a day. Seven preferred nasal spray (2 x 64 μg), and one preferred nasal powder in a Turbuhaler® (2 x 100 μg) in each nostril. During a 5-month period from November to March the 8 participants again did 10 active anterior rhinomanometries at 2 week intervals. We performed the rhinomanometric measurements according to the ISCR at the same time of day for each individual [17,18]. Before the rhinomanometries, all participants were acclimatized in the examination room at 21°C and 50% relative humidity for at least 30 minutes. They had alcohol, nicotine and caffeine restrictions 4 hours before each measurement. We calibrated the rhinomanometer (Rhino Comp®, Sweden) once a day before the first measurement. The same equipment was used by the same well trained nurses who performed all the measurements in both studies. The pneumotachograph was checked by connecting a metal artificial nose to the built-in calibration pump. Calibration continued until measurements gave values determined by the manufacturer. The equipment was tested regularly by our medical technical department. The anterior active rhinomanometry was performed before and after decongestion of the nasal mucosa with administration of two puffs (0.28 ml) of xylometazoline hydrochloride 1 mg/ml (Otrivin®, Novartis) into each nasal cavity followed by one extra puff (0.14 ml) in each nasal cavity 7-8 minutes later, thus a total of 0.42 ml (420 μg) of xylometazoline in each nasal cavity [21]. After the participants had gently blown the nose, the rhinomanometry was repeated 15 minutes after the first spray dose. A transparent nose mask was used, and one nostril was sealed with adhesive tape for the pressure recording. The flow was obtained from the other cavity with the pneumotachograph. NAR values for the right and left nasal cavities were obtained on each occasion and values for the total nose were calculated from the individual cavities. NAR was represented in v2 values as previously outlined by Broms [22]. The relevant NAR is \( R_2 = \tan v_2 \).

Statistical evaluation was based on v2, an angle that varies between 0 and 90 degrees and is calculated from a point on the whole curve where it intersects a circle with a radius of 200 Pa on the abscissa and 200 cm/sec on the ordinate (Figure 1) [23]. All curves reach the circle and therefore v2 can be calculated from the whole curve. Resistance at 150 Pa, R150, can be calculated from R2. NAR can be given as resistance R at 150 Pa or as v2 according to ISCR and the con-sensus report on acoustic rhinometry and
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The normal mean $v_2$ value ($+\text{SD}$) for the decongested mucosa is $13.1 \pm 6.8$ degrees, $R_2 = 0.23 \text{ Pa}/(\text{cm}^3/\text{s})$, and $R_{150} = 0.36 \text{ Pa}/(\text{cm}^3/\text{s})$ (21). The upper 95% confidence limit was taken as maximum normal value according to Broms (24).

Each participant was asked to assess the degree of nasal stuffiness before each rhinomanometry on a 100 mm VAS scale. VAS 0 mm implied a completely free nose, and 100 mm a completely blocked nose. We compared the reproducibility for the two test periods regarding mean $v_2$, standard deviation, median VAS and coefficient of variation CV for the NAR for each participant.

The study was approved by Linköping University Ethical Review Board.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were analysed using the SPSS version 20.0 software for Windows. Statistical analysis was carried out using Student’s t-test to compare the $v_2$-means, and the F-test to compare their variances (SD2). Differences in VAS between the two test periods were tested with the Mann-Whitney test. We used Gauss approximation to assess the variance of the relative change in $v_2$. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The coefficient of variation (CV) was used to test the reproducibility of the rhinomanometric measurements.

**Results**

The results for each participant are summarized in Figure 2 and 3 and Table 1. We found no correlation between difficulties in decongesting the nasal mucosa with xylometazoline and any particular season or time of year. None of the participants showed any sign of becoming habituated to rhinomanometry during the investigations.
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Table 1. The results from each of the 8 participants from the narrowest side of the decongested nasal cavity. GCS: glucocorticosteroids. CV: coefficient of variation (CV in brackets is without GCS). n.s.: non significant. % diff: \((\text{mean v2} - \text{GCS}) - (\text{mean v2} + \text{GCS}) / (\text{mean v2} - \text{GCS})\).

We used Gauss approximation to assess the variance of the relative chance in v2.

The mean value for v2 and the standard deviation (SD) of the mean v2 decreased significantly \((p < 0.05)\) for 6 of the 8 participants, when they used nasal budesonide during a 5 month test period compared to a similar test period without budesonide treatment. The mean v2 decreased 25\% for the undecongested nose and 40\% for the decongested nose. For participant no. 5, one side of the nose developed a higher mean v2 and an increased SD during the period with budesonide treatment. Participant no. 6 already had a low SD in the first period without budesonide, and SD was not significantly decreased after budesonide treatment.

Five participants did 10 test-retest rhinomanometries on the same day in order to test the short-time reproducibility of NAR, with a resulting CV of 8-17\%. During the 5-month test period with budesonide, the CV range for the decongested NAR was 8-50\% and mean CV 19\% compared to the measurements without budesonide, where the mean CV was 27\% and the range 8-53\%.

The median VAS was significantly decreased for participants no. 6, 7 and 8 when the two test periods with and without topical budesonide were compared \((p < 0.05)\).

**Discussion**

In this unblinded study, 8 subjects acted as their own controls by doing rhinomanometric measurements every two weeks during two 5 month periods with and without nasal glucocorticoid treatment. Budesonide seemed to stabilise the nasal mucosa. Rhinomanometric NAR values were lower and varied less over time after treatment with budesonide than NAR from the nasal cavity decongested with xylometazoline only.
From our previous study on the reproducibility of NAR over 5 months without nasal glucocorticoids, the CVs for the repeated measurements every second week varied 8-53%, and the CV median was 27% [1]. The CV median for NAR in the present study during long-term budesonide treatment was 19% but the range was still high, 8-50%. This was due to the fact that both the mean v2 and the SD of the mean v2 decreased (CV = 100 x SD/mean).

The reproducibility of a clinical measurement depends on equipment reliability, the skill of the performer, patient cooperation, and real variation in the measured parameter. As for this study, we performed the procedure strictly according to the guidelines from the ISCR [4,5]. The equipment was carefully calibrated daily according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The performers were well trained nurses with decades of experience of rhinomanometry. Broms’ limit values, the upper 95% CI, of performers were compliant nasal mucosa in smokers than in non-smokers of the nasal mucosa after exercise [30]. Some authors found a less compliant nasal mucosa in smokers than in non-smokers [31]. The results of participant no. 7 are difficult to explain. During the first 5 month period without nasal glucocorticoids NAR was very high bilaterally with great variation. During the next 5 month period with glucocorticoid treatment, NAR decreased significantly on both sides of the nasal cavity and was even normalised on the wider side. No. 7 was the only participant who preferred budesonide in Turbuhaler®. The amount of budesonide per puff of spray is 64 μg and per inhalation with the Turbuhaler® 100 μg. So no. 7 was given a higher dose of budesonide. Could this big reduction of NAR be caused by treatment of a subclinical inflammation in the nasal mucosa [32]?

Although clinically effective, the precise mode of action of topical glucocorticoids in the treatment of non-allergic nasal obstruction has not been clarified. In this open study we found a significant decrease of NAR after one week of treatment with topical budesonide, and this effect was sustained during the five months of treatment. We are aware that we had a small number of participants so future studies on more subjects should be done to confirm our findings.

**Conclusions**

We have shown that topical nasal budesonide reduced rhinomanometric NAR and its variability in an open before and after study where 8 clinically non-allergic volunteers acted as their own controls during a 5 + 5 months trial. Yet, the nasal airway measured with active anterior rhinomanometry was still not totally decongested as a pure skeletal structure. There was still a variable mucosal component.
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